Public participation is especially important at several points of the analysis. Interested parties may visit with the Forest Service officials at any time during the analysis. However, two periods of time are specifically identified for the receipt of comments. The first comment period is during the scoping process when the public is invited to give written comments to the Forest Service. The scoping period ends on March 24, 1997. The second review period is during the 45 day review of the DEIS when the public is invited to comment on the DEIS.

The DEIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and available for public review in May, 1997. At that time, the EPA will publish a notice of availability of the DEIS in the Federal Register.

The comment period on the DEIS will be 45 days from the date the notice of availability is published in the Federal

Register.

Ăt this early stage in the scoping process, the Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviews of DEIS must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Secondly, environmental objections that could be raided at the draft environmental impact statement stage, but that are not raised until after completion of the FEIS may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803 F. 2d 1016, 1022 (9th cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the FEIS.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the DEIS or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. (Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions

of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.)

After the comment period ends on the DEIS, the comments will be analyzed and considered by the Forest Service in preparing the FEIS. The FEIS is expected to be filed in August, 1997.

Dated: January 21, 1997.

Denis Hart,

District Ranger, Helena Ranger District, Helena National Forest.

[FR Doc. 97-3023 Filed 2-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

# Grain Inspection, Packers and Stockyards Administration

## **Posting of Stockyards**

Pursuant to the authority provided under Section 302 of the Packers and Stockyards Act (7 U.S.C. 202), it was ascertained that the livestock markets named below were stockyards as defined by Section 302 (a). Notice was given to the stockyard owners and to the public as required by Section 302 (b), by posting notices at the stockyards on the dates specified below, that the stockyards were subject to the provisions of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 et seq.).

	Facility Number, name, and loca- tion of stock- yard	Date of posting
AL-190	Natural Bridge Stockyard, Natural Bridge, Ala- bama.	October 18, 1996.
GA-218	R & R Goat and Livestock Auction, Swainsboro, Georgia.	November 9, 1996.
MN-191	Iron Range Livestock Ex- change, Inc., Aitkin, Min- nesota.	October 24, 1996.
WI-145	Richland Cattle Center L. L. C., Richland Center, Wis- consin.	November 1, 1996.

Done at Washington, D.C. this 28th day of January 1997.

Daniel L. Van Ackeren,

Director, Livestock Marketing Division Packers and Stockyards Programs. [FR Doc. 97–3033 Filed 2–6–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-P

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

### **Procurement List; Additions**

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Additions to the procurement list.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds to the Procurement List services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 10, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3461.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Milkman (703) 603–7740.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On November 15, December 20, 1996, the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notices (61 FR 58510 and 67306) of proposed additions to the Procurement List.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the services and impact of the additions on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the services listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the services to the Government.
- 2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the services.
- 3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the services to the Government.
- 4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Accordingly, the following services are hereby added to the Procurement List:

Administrative Services

General Services Administration, PBS, Pacific Rim Region, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California

Disposal Support Services

Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office, Hill Air Force Base, Utah Janitorial/Custodial, Chicago Air Route Traffic Control Center, 619 W. Indian Trail Road, Aurora, Illinois Janitorial/Custodial, O'Hare International Airport, O'Hare Air Traffic Control Tower, Chicago, Illinois Janitorial/Custodial, Bell Hall, Building 111, Fort Leavenworth, Kansas

This action does not affect current contracts awarded prior to the effective date of this addition or options that may be exercised under those contracts.

Beverly L. Milkman,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 97-3110 Filed 2-6-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353-01-P

### **Procurement List; Addition**

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Addition to the procurement list.

**SUMMARY:** This action adds to the Procurement List a commodity to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 10, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202–3461.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Milkman (703) 603–7740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 25, 1996, the Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled published notice (61 F.R. 55268) of proposed addition to the Procurement List. Comments were received from both current contractors for the cord and from a small disadvantaged business which is in the industry. One of the current contractors indicated that it supplies a substantial amount of the cord to the Government, but it also supplies many other cords to nonprofit agencies participating in the Committee's Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) Program, so it does not oppose the addition of this cord to the Procurement List. The small disadvantaged business indicated that it had asked for the cord to be set aside for the Small Business Administration's 8(a) Program, in which it participates,

rather than the JWOD Program, but the Government contracting activity has informed the Committee that the cord is not involved in the 8(a) Program.

The other current contractor indicated that it is a small business and the actual manufacture of the cord is done by a division which would be severely impacted by the addition of the cord to the Procurement List as the company might discontinue the division because of the loss of sales. If this happened, the Government would lose one of a small number of manufacturers of this cord. The contractor also questioned the ability of people who are blind to perform the operations necessary to manufacture the cord to Government specifications. The contractor also expressed its understanding that the nonprofit agency would merely serve as a warehouse for manufactured cord from another supplier, and questioned how the nonprofit agency would meet the Committee's statutory direct labor requirement.

The nonprofit agency will not be making the cord, so the concerns over the ability of people who are blind to perform cordmaking operations are not relevant to the Committee's decision. The nonprofit agency will, however, be doing far more than warehousing the cord. It will receive bulk shipments of the cord and wind the required amount on spools, label and wrap the spools and package them for shipment, as well as perform warehousing and shipping functions. These activities create considerable work for people who are blind, as opposed to the cord manufacturing operations which are largely machine operations.

The contractor interprets the statutory direct labor requirement as requiring that at least 75 percent of the total direct labor required to manufacture the cord must be done by people who are-3blind. The Committee's interpretation, which has been upheld by a court decision, HLI Lordship Industries, Inc. v. Committee for Purchase From the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped, 615 F. Supp. 970, 975 (E.D. Va. 1985), is that the requirement applies to the direct labor done by the nonprofit agency. In this case, the nonprofit agency has indicated that all of the direct labor, and some of the indirect labor, will be performed by people who are blind.

The Committee's rationale for looking to a total corporate entity as the current contractor for impact analysis rather than an individual division that is performing the contract is that the corporation has the ability to shift its assets among divisions and thus mitigate the impact of a Procurement

List addition on a specific division. In a supplemental comment, this contractor challenged the application of this rationale in the case of a small business like itself and again raised the possibility that it might have to close its cord division if it did not have Government sales of the cord along with its own cord demands for the parachutes the corporation produces.

Nonprofit agencies participating in the JWOD Program are required by Committee regulation to seek broad competition for components used in commodities furnished to the Government. 41 CFR 51-4.4(a). Nonprofit agencies are further required to maximize their subcontracting for components with other nonprofit agencies and small businesses such as this contractor. 41 CFR 51–4.4(b). In this case, the nonprofit agency has been instructed to assure that it will continue to seek competition between existing cord suppliers, including this contractor. Accordingly, the contractor will continue to have the opportunity to sell its cord to the Government through the nonprofit agency, which should mitigate the possibility of closing its cordmaking division and depriving the Government of a source of supply for this cord.

After consideration of the material presented to it concerning capability of qualified nonprofit agencies to provide the commodity and impact of the addition on the current or most recent contractors, the Committee has determined that the commodity listed below are suitable for procurement by the Federal Government under 41 U.S.C. 46–48c and 41 CFR 51–2.4.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

- 1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the commodity to the Government.
- 2. The action will not have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the commodity.
- 3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the commodity to the Government.
- 4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46–48c) in connection with the commodity proposed for addition to the Procurement List.