Issued in Hawthrone, California, on October 9, 1997.

Herman C. Bliss,

Manager, Airports Division, Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 97–27963 Filed 10–21–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Orlando International Airport, Orlando, Florida and Use the Revenue at Orlando International Airport, Orlando, Florida and Orlando Executive Airport, Orlando, Florida

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Rule on Application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose a PFC at Orlando International Airport and use the revenue at Orlando International Airport and Orlando Executive Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158. DATES: Comments must be received on or before November 21, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the FAA at the following address: Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Dr., Suite 400, Orlando, Florida 32822.

In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Mr. Robert B. Bullock, Executive Director of the Greater Orlando Aviation Authority at the following address: Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, Orlando International Airport, One Airport Boulevard, Orlando, Florida 32827–4399.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Greater Orlando Aviation Authority under § 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Vernon P. Rupinta, Project Manager, Orlando Airports District Office, 5950 Hazeltine National Dr., Suite 400, Orlando Florida 32822–5024, 407–812–6331, Extension 24. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose a PFC at Orlando International Airport and use the revenue at Orlando International Airport and Orlando Executive Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101–508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On October 14, 1997, the FAA determined that the application to impose a PFC at Orlando International Airport and use the revenue at Orlando International Airport and Orlando Executive Airport submitted by Greater Orlando Aviation Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of § 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than January 29, 1998.

The following is a brief overview of PFC Application No. 98–05–C–00–MCO.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00. Proposed charge effective date: April 1, 1998.

Proposed charge expiration date: February 1, 2005.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$231,750,000.

Brief description of proposed project(s):

Projects at Orlando International
Airport: Replace Four Additional
High Mast Light Poles; North Cross
Field Taxiway Construction; Upgrade
Aircraft Rescue and Fire Fighting
Vehicle CRASH–84 and Replace
Aircraft Rescue and Fire Fighting
Vehicle CRASH–82; Loop Road Taper
Improvements; Air Aide 2—Final
Design and Construction

Projects at Orlando Executive Airport:
West Quadrant Improvements (Phase
III C); Construct Taxiway C–2 and
Fillet Joiner; Rehabilitate North West
Quadrant Ramp; Parallel Taxiway
West of Runway 13/31; Replace Direct
Buried Airfield Lights; Rehabilitate
Runway 13/31 and Pave Taxiways
Shoulders

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: None

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Greater Orlando Aviation Authority.

Issued in Orlando, Florida on October 14, 1997.

John W. Reynolds, Jr.,

Assistant Manager, Orlando Airports District Office Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 97–27962 Filed 10–21–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

Intelligent Transportation Society of Amercia; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Intelligent Transportation Society of America (ITS AMERICA) will hold a meeting of its Coordinating Council on Wednesday, November 19, 1997. The following designations are made for each item: (A) Is an "action" item; (I) is an "information item;" and (D) is a "discussion" item. The agenda includes the following: (1) Call to Order and Introductions (I); (2) Statements of Antitrust Compliance and Conflict of Interest (A); (3) Approval of Last Meeting's Minutes (A); (4) Federal Report(I&D); (5) President's Report; (6) National ITS Research Agenda (I/A); (7) ATIS Business Models Workshop Report; (8) Professional Capacity Building Update (I); (9) FCC Frequency Petition Update (I); (10) ITS World Congress Report (I&D); (11) ITS America 8th Annual Meeting Update (I/D); (12) Roundtable Discussion of Committee and Task Force Activities (I&D); (13) Other Business.

ITS AMERICA provides a forum for national discussion and recommendations on ITS activities including programs, research needs, strategic planning, standards, international liaison, and priorities. The charter for the utilization of ITS AMERICA establishes this organization as an advisory committee under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), 5 USC app. 2, when it provides advice or recommendations to DOT officials on ITS policies and programs. (56 FR 9400, March 6, 1991).

DATES: The Coordinating Council of ITS AMERICA will meet on Wednesday, November 19, 1997, from 8:00 a.m. to noon.

ADDRESSES: Memorial Student Center, Building 65, Room 201, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Materials associated with this meeting may be examined at the offices of ITS AMERICA, 400 Virginia Avenue, SW., Suite 800, Washington, D.C. 20024. Persons needing further information or to request to speak at this meeting should contact Kenneth Faunteroy at ITS AMERICA by telephone at (202) 484–4130, or by FAX at (202) 484–3483. The DOT contact is Mary Pigott, FHWA, HVH–1, Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 366–9536. Office hours are from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., e.t., Monday through Friday, except for legal holidays. (23 U.S.C. 315; 49 CFR 1.48)

Issued on: October 17, 1997.

Jeff Paniati,

Deputy Director, ITS Joint Program Office. [FR Doc. 97–28040 Filed 10–21–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–22–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. NHTSA 3021]

Notice of Receipt of Petition for Decision That Nonconforming 1994– 1997 BMW R1100 Motorcycles Are Eligible for Importation

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of receipt of petition for decision that nonconforming 1994–1997 BMW R1100 motorcycles are eligible for importation.

SUMMARY: This document announces receipt by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) of a petition for a decision that 1994–1997 BMW R1100 motorcycles that were not originally manufactured to comply with all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards are eligible for importation into the United States because (1) they are substantially similar to vehicles that were originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States and that were certified by their manufacturer as complying with the safety standards, and (2) they are capable of being readily altered to conform to the standards.

DATES: The closing date for comments on the petition is November 21, 1997. ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh St., SW, Washington, DC 20590. (Docket hours are from 10 am to 5 pm)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Entwistle, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance, NHTSA (202–366–5306).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 49 U.S.C. 30141(a)(1)(A), a motor vehicle that was not originally manufactured to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards shall be refused admission into the United States unless NHTSA has decided that the motor vehicle is substantially similar to a motor vehicle originally manufactured for importation into and sale in the United States, certified under 49 U.S.C. 30115, and of the same model year as the model of the motor vehicle to be compared, and is capable of being readily altered to conform to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Petitions for eligibility decisions may be submitted by either manufacturers or importers who have registered with NHTSA pursuant to 49 CFR Part 592. As specified in 49 CFR 593.7, NHTSA publishes notice in the Federal Register of each petition that it receives, and affords interested persons an opportunity to comment on the petition. At the close of the comment period, NHTSA decides, on the basis of the petition and any comments that it has received, whether the vehicle is eligible for importation. The agency then publishes this decision in the Federal Register.

Champagne Imports, Inc. of Lansdale, Pennsylvania ("Champagne") (Registered Importer 90–009) has petitioned NHTSA to decide whether 1994–1997 BMW R1100 motorcycles are eligible for importation into the United States. The vehicles which Champagne believes are substantially similar are 1994–1997 BMW R1100 motorcycles that were manufactured for importation into, and sale in, the United States and certified by their manufacturer, Bayerische Motoren Werke, A.G., as conforming to all applicable Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

The petitioner claims that it carefully compared non-U.S. certified 1994–1997 BMW R1100 motorcycles to their U.S. certified counterparts, and found the vehicles to be substantially similar with respect to compliance with most Federal motor vehicle safety standards.

Champagne submitted information with its petition intended to demonstrate that non-U.S. certified 1994–1997 BMW R1100 motorcycles, as originally manufactured, conform to many Federal motor vehicle safety standards in the same manner as their U.S. certified counterparts, or are capable of being readily altered to conform to those standards.

Specifically, the petitioner claims that non-U.S. certified 1994–1997 BMW

R1100 motorcycles are identical to their U.S. certified counterparts with respect to compliance with Standard Nos. 106 Brake Hoses, 111 Rearview Mirrors, 116 Brake Fluid, 119 New Pneumatic Tires for Vehicles other than Passenger Cars, and 122 Motorcycle Brake Systems.

Petitioner also contends that the vehicles are capable of being readily altered to meet the following standards, in the manner indicated:

Standard No. 108 Lamps, Reflective Devices and Associated Equipment: installation of U.S.-model headlamp assemblies.

Standard No. 120 *Tire Selection and Rims for Vehicles other than Passenger Cars:* installation of a tire information placard.

Standard No. 123 *Motorcycle Controls and Displays:* installation of a U.S. model speedometer calibrated in miles per hour.

The petitioner also states that vehicle identification number plates meeting the requirements of 49 CFR part 565 will be affixed to non-U.S. certified 1994–1997 BMW R1100 motorcycles.

Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Management, Room PL-401, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the petition will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 30141 (a)(1)(A) and (b)(1); 49 CFR 593.8; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.

Issued on: October 16, 1997.

Marilynne Jacobs,

Director, Office of Vehicle Safety Compliance. [FR Doc. 97–27965 Filed 10–21–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Surface Transportation Board

[STB Finance Docket No. 33480]

Charles Barenfanger—Continuance in Control Exemption—Effingham Railroad Company

Charles Barenfanger (applicant) has filed a verified notice of exemption to continue in control of Effingham