future years, including the progress made toward meeting those commitments. The Office of Management and Budget approved these forms on May 26, 1995 (OMB No. 1905– 0194).

You may wish to participate in the program to: (1) Establish a public record of your emissions and reductions for any years from 1987 onwards; (2) demonstrate progress toward meeting commitments made under voluntary programs to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases; (3) inform the public about greenhouse gas emissions and reduction strategies; and (4) contribute to educational exchanges on the most effective ways to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. The EIA publishes an annual report/review of the 1605(b) voluntary reporting of greenhouse gases program. In addition, EIA has established a publicly available database of the information reported each year, which serves as a clearinghouse of information and case studies.

II. Current Actions

This action represents a request for the extension of the expiration date with no other changes, of existing collections (Form EIA–1605 and Form EIA–1605EZ). The request will include an extension from the currently approved OMB expiration dates (May 31, 1998) to May 31, 2001, i.e., 3-year extension of the Forms EIA–1605 and EIA–1605EZ.

III. Request for Comments

Prospective respondents and other interested parties should comment on the actions discussed in item II. The following guidelines are provided to assist in the preparation of responses. Please indicate to which form(s) your comments apply.

General Issues

A. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency and does the information have practical utility? Practical utility is defined as the actual usefulness of information to or for an agency, taking into account its accuracy, adequacy, reliability, timeliness, and the agency's ability to process the information it collects.

B. What enhancements can EIA make to the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

As a Potential Respondent

A. Are the instructions and definitions clear and sufficient? If not, which instructions require clarification?

B. Can data be submitted by the due date?

C. Public reporting burden for this collection is estimated to average: Form EIA–1605: 40 hours per response Form EIA–1605EZ: 4 hours per response Burden includes the total time, effort, or financial resources expended to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide the information.

Please comment on (1) the accuracy of our estimate and (2) how the agency could minimize the burden of the collection of information, including the use of information technology.

D. EIA estimates that respondents will incur no additional costs for reporting other than the hours required to complete the collection. What is the estimated: (1) Total dollar amount annualized for capital and start-up costs, and (2) recurring annual costs of operation and maintenance, and purchase of services associated with this data collection?

E. Do you know of any other Federal, State, or local agency that collects similar data? If you do, specify the agency, the data element(s), and the methods of collection.

As a Potential User

A. Can you use data at the levels of detail indicated on the form?

B. For what purpose would you use the data? Be specific.

C. Are there alternate sources of data and do you use them? If so, what are their deficiencies and/or strengths?

D. For the most part, information is published by EIA in U.S. customary units, e.g., cubic feet of natural gas, short tons of coal, and barrels of oil. Would you prefer to see EIA publish more information in metric units, e.g., cubic meters, metric tons, and kilograms? If yes, please specify what information (e.g., coal production, natural gas consumption, and crude oil imports), the metric unit(s) of measurement preferred, and in which EIA publication(s) you would like to see such information.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the request for a 3-year extension of the forms. They also will become a matter of public record.

Statutory Authority: Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–13).

Issued in Washington, DC, October 17, 1997.

Jay H. Casselberry,

Agency Clearance Officer, Statistics and Methods Group, Energy Information Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–27718 Filed 10–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-521-001]

Garden Banks Gas Pipeline, LLC; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 14, 1997.

Take notice that on October 9, 1997, Garden Banks Gas Pipeline, LLC (GBBP) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, Substitute Second Revised Sheet No. 106, to become effective October 11, 1997.

GBGP states that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the letter order issued September 29, 1997 in Docket No. RP97–521–000, whereby GBGP was directed to refile tariff sheet No. 106 within 15 days of the date of the letter order.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with § 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed in accordance with § 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–27667 Filed 10–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-12-000]

Florida Gas Transmission Company; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

October 14, 1997.

Take notice that on October 9, 1997, Florida Gas Transmission Company (FGT), 1400 Smith Street, P.O. Box 1188, Houston, Texas 77251–1188, filed in Docket No. CP98–12–000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.216 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.216) for authorization to abandon by sale certain facilities to Peoples Gas

System (PGS), under FGT's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82–553–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

FGT proposes to abandon by sale to PGS the following facilities: (1) 1.7 miles of the 6-inch Miami Lateral from the outlet of M&R Station-POI No. 16119 to the terminus, (2) 6.1 miles of 4-inch Green Covet Springs Lateral and meter station site starting from the connection on the Jacksonville Lateral to the terminus of the Lateral, (3) the Sarasota PGS Lateral that consists of 5.7 miles of the 8-inch Sarasota lateral downstream or South of FGT's Lateral Line Valve 25-6 through and including LLV25-7 at the terminus of the 8-inch lateral, and the 633 feet of 3-inch lateral line from the 8-inch Sarasota Lateral at MP 90.3 to the Sarasota M&R Station, and (4) the Sarasota M&R Station, (with the exception of the EFM equipment that will be removed and placed in FGT's inventory for future use).

FGT states that the abandonment will not result in any disruption or disadvantage any of FGT's customers.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–27662 Filed 10–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-290-003]

Colorado Interstate Gas Company; Notice of Tariff Compliance Filing

October 14, 1997.

Take notice that on October 8, 1997, Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), tendered for filing to become part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, Sub Second Revised Sheet No. 132A.07, Original Sheet No. 132A.07a and Sub Second Revised Sheet No. 132A.08 to be effective April 14, 1997.

CIG states the tariff sheets are filed in compliance with the order issued September 25, 1997 in Docket No. RP97–290–002 to correct pagination errors and a footnote reference. CIG states that it has made no change to the text of the tariff sheets.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with § 385.211 of the Commission's Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in § 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–27665 Filed 10–17–97; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project Nos. 2375, ME and 8277, ME]

International Paper Company, Otis Hydroelectric Company; Notice of Applications Tendered for Filing; Notice of Applications and Applicant Prepared EA Accepted for Filing; Notice Requesting Interventions and Protests; Notice Establishing Procedural Schedule and Final Amendment Deadline; and Notice Requesting Comments, Final Terms and Conditions, Recommendations and Prescriptions

October 14, 1997.

International Paper Company and Otis Hydroelectric Company have filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an Applicant Prepared Environmental Assessment (APEA) and License Applications for the Riley-Jay-Livermore Project No. 2375 and the Otis Project No. 8277 located on the Androscoggin River, Maine.

The proposed Riley-Jay-Livermore Project consists of three separate developments. The existing facilities at the Riley Project include: (1) A 19.2 fthigh by 757 ft-long L-shaped dam constructed of rock-filled timber cribbing; (2) two contiguous spillway sections topped with 48 inch-high flash boards; (3) a 7.3 mile-long impoundment with a surface area of 578 acres; (4) a triangular shaped forebay; (5) a powerhouse intake containing six timber gates; (6) a powerhouse containing six identical 1.3 megawatt (MW) generating units with a total rated hydraulic capacity of 5,556 cfs; and (7) a substation connected to a one-mile long, 13.8 kilovolt (kV) transmission line.

The existing facilities at the Jay Project include; (1) An 893 foot-long dam comprised of three non-contiguous sections, two with 32-inch high flashboards; (2) a 150-foot-long by 37 foot wide powerhouse intake containing six timber gates; (3) six identical horizontal shaft turbines with maximum and minimum hydraulic capacities of 550 cubic feet per second (cfs) and 200 cfs, respectively, for a total rated hydraulic capacity of 3,300 cfs; (4) a 1.5 mile-long impoundment with a surface area of 206 acres; (5) a 320 foot-long forebay; (6) a powerhouse containing six generators with a total installed capacity of 3,125 kilowatts (kW); and (7) a substation connected to a 6,000 footlong, 13.8 kV transmission line.

The existing facilities at the Livermore Project include; (1) An 849 foot-long concrete gravity dam; (2) a 25foot-long forebay intake structure with 10 steel gates; (3) a 0.75 mile-long impoundment with 46 acres surface area; (4) a powerhouse intake structure containing eight timber gates; (5) a powerhouse containing eight identical turbines with maximum and minimum hydraulic capacities of 432 cfs and 100 cfs, respectively, for a total rated hydraulic capacity of 3,456 cfs; (6) eight generators with an installed capacity of 8,165 kW; and (7) a sub station connected to a 3.2 mile-long, 13.8 kV transmission line.

International Paper proposes the following new facilities at the Livermore Project: (1) Refurbishing three existing generating units; (2) removing two existing generating units from operation; (3) installing two new 4.12 MW horizontal Kaplan units which would discharge into the existing tailrace; (4) installing a 1.32 MW vertical Kaplan unit discharging into the lower portion of the bypass reach; and (5) upgrading 0.8 mile of transmission line between Livermore and Otis Projects to a 13.8 kV overhead line. The proposed Livermore project would have a total of 6 generating units. Total hydraulic capacity would increase from 3,456 cfs to 5,400 cfs. Installed capacity at