

days after the conclusion of WTO dispute settlement procedures, whichever is earlier, whether any act, policy, or practice or denial of trade agreement rights described in section 301 of the Trade Act exists and, if that determination is affirmative, the USTR must determine what action, if any, to take under section 301 of the Trade Act.

Public Comment: Requirement for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the acts, policies and practices of Canada which are the subject of this investigation, the amount of burden or restriction on U.S. commerce caused by these acts, policies and practices, and the determinations required under section 304 of the Trade Act. Comments must be filed in accordance with the requirements set forth in 15 CFR 2006.8(b) (55 FR 20593) and must be filed on or before noon on Tuesday, November 11, 1996. Comments must be in English and provided in twenty copies to: Sybia Harrison, Staff Assistant to the Section 301 Committee, Room 22, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20508.

Comments will be placed in a file (Docket 301-113) open to public inspection pursuant to 15 CFR 2006.13, except confidential business information exempt from public inspection in accordance with 15 CFR 2006.15. Confidential business information submitted in accordance with 15 CFR 2006.15 must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page on each of 20 copies, and must be accompanied by a nonconfidential summary of the confidential information. The nonconfidential summary shall be placed in the file that is open to public inspection. Copies of the public version of the petition and other relevant documented are available for public inspection in the USTR Reading Room. An appointment to review the docket (Docket No. 301-107) may be made by calling Brenda Webb (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1 p.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, and is located in Room 101.

Irving A. Williamson,

Chairman, Section 301 Committee.

[FR Doc. 97-27306 Filed 10-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. 301-114]

Initiation of Section 302 Investigation and Request for Public Comment: EU Circumvention of Export Subsidy Commitments on Dairy Products

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of investigation; request for written comments.

SUMMARY: The United States Trade Representative (USTR) has initiated an investigation under section 302(b)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended (the Trade Act), with respect to certain acts, policies and practices of the European Union (EU) concerning export subsidies on processed cheese. The EU is bound by commitments it made in the Uruguay Round limiting the amount of cheese that may be exported with export subsidies. The EU is circumventing these commitments by exporting processed cheese under subsidy and counting these exports against other export subsidy commitments relating to powdered milk and butterfat. The USTR is investigating whether these acts, policies and practices are inconsistent with certain provisions of the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures and the Agreement on Agriculture. USTR invites written comments from the public on the matters being investigated.

DATES: This investigation was initiated on October 8, 1997. Written comments from the public are due on or before noon on Tuesday, November 11, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20508.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Suzanne Early, Senior Advisor, Office of Agricultural Affairs, (202) 395-6127, Elizabeth Hyman, Office of the General Counsel, (202) 395-3150, or Daniel Brinza, Senior Advisor and Special Counsel for Natural Resources, (202) 395-7305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 302(b)(1) of the Trade Act, 19 U.S.C. 2412(b)(1), authorizes the USTR to initiate an investigation under chapter 1 of Title III of the Trade Act (commonly referred to as "section 301") with respect to any matter in order to determine whether the matter is actionable under section 301. Matters actionable under section 301 include, *inter alia*, the denial of rights of the United States under a trade agreement, or acts, policies, and practices of a

foreign country that violate or are inconsistent with the provisions of, or otherwise deny benefits to the United States under, any trade agreement.

Investigation and Consultations

On October 8, 1997, having consulted with the appropriate private sector advisory committees, the USTR determined that an investigation should be initiated to assess whether certain acts, policies and practices of the EU regarding export subsidies on processed cheese are actionable under section 301(a).

The EU is bound by a schedule of commitments it made in the Uruguay Round limiting the amount of cheese that may be exported with export subsidies, as well as separate commitments limiting subsidized exports of various other agricultural products. The EU appears to be circumventing its commitments on processed cheese by exporting processed cheese under subsidy and counting these exports against other export subsidy commitments relating to components of processed cheese such as powdered milk, butterfat and natural cheese. Beginning in February, 1997, new rules implemented by the EU (including Commission Regulations 300/97, 417/97 and 418/97) recast the EU's "inward processing" regime to count the export subsidies on processed cheese as subsidies on the components of the cheese, instead. These acts, policies and practices appear to be inconsistent with certain provisions of the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures and the Agreement on Agriculture.

As required in section 303(a) of the Trade Act, the USTR has requested consultations with the EU regarding the issues under investigation. The request was made pursuant to Article 4 of the Understanding on Rules and Procedures Governing the Settlement of Disputes (DSU), Article XXII of the GATT 1994, Article 19 of the Agreement on Agriculture to the extent it incorporates Article XXII of the GATT 1994, and Article 30 of the Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures to the extent it incorporates Article XXII of the GATT 1994. If the consultations do not result in a satisfactory resolution of the matter, the USTR will request the establishment of a panel pursuant to Article 6 of the DSU. USTR will seek information and advice from the petitioner and appropriate representatives provided for under section 135 of the Trade Act in preparing the U.S. presentations for such consultations.

Under section 304 of the Trade Act, the USTR must determine within 18 months after the date on which this investigation was initiated, or within 30 days after the conclusion of World Trade Organization dispute settlement procedures, whichever is earlier, whether any act, policy, or practice or denial of trade agreement rights described in section 301 of the Trade Act exists and, if that determination is affirmative, the USTR must determine what action, if any, to take under section 301 of the Trade Act.

Public Comment: Requirements for Submissions

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning the EU acts, policies and practices which are the subject of this investigation, the amount of burden or restriction on U.S. commerce caused by these acts, policies and practices, and the determinations required under section 304 of the Trade Act. Comments must be filed in accordance with the requirements set forth in 15 CFR 2006.8(b) (55 FR 20593) and must be filed on or before noon on Wednesday, November 6, 1996. Comments must be in English and provided in twenty copies to: Sybia Harrison, Staff Assistant to the Section 301 Committee, Room 223, Office of the U.S. Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20508.

Comments will be placed in a file (Docket 301-114) open to public inspection pursuant to 15 CFR 2006.13, except confidential business information exempt from public inspection in accordance with 15 CFR 2006.15. Confidential business information submitted in accordance with 15 CFR 2006.15 must be clearly marked "BUSINESS CONFIDENTIAL" in a contrasting color ink at the top of each page on each of 20 copies, and must be accompanied by a nonconfidential summary of the confidential information. The nonconfidential summary shall be placed in the file that is open to public inspection. An appointment to review the docket (Docket No. 301-112) may be made by calling Brenda Webb (202) 395-6186. The USTR Reading Room is open to the public from 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon and 1:00 p.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, and is located in Room 101.

Irving A. Williamson,

Chairman, Section 301 Committee.

[FR Doc. 97-27305 Filed 10-15-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3190-01-M

OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES TRADE REPRESENTATIVE

[Docket No. 301-112]

Initiation of Section 302 Investigation and Request for Public Comment: Japan Market Access Barriers to Agricultural Products

AGENCY: Office of the United States Trade Representative.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of investigation; request for written comments.

SUMMARY: The United States Trade Representative (USTR) has initiated an investigation under section 302(b)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974, (the Trade Act), with respect to certain acts, policies and practices of the Government of Japan concerning Japan's prohibition on imports of certain agricultural products. Specifically, for each agricultural product for which Japan requires quarantine treatment, Japan prohibits the importation of each variety of that product until the quarantine treatment has been tested for that variety, even though the treatment has proven effective with respect to other varieties of the same product. This redundant testing requirement has no apparent scientific basis but serves as a significant barrier to market access. The United States alleges that these acts, policies and practices are inconsistent with certain provisions of the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary measures, the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade 1994 ("GATT 1994"), and the Agreement on Agriculture. USTR invites written comments from the public on the matters being investigated.

DATES: This investigation was initiated on October 7, 1997. Written comments from the public are due on or before noon on Tuesday, November 11, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Office of the United States Trade Representative, 600 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20508.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Audra Erickson, Office of Agricultural Affairs (202) 395-6127, Elizabeth Hyman, Office of the General Counsel, (202) 395-3150, or Daniel Brinza, Senior Advisor and Special Counsel for Natural Resources, (202) 395-7305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 302(b)(1) of the Trade Act, 19 U.S.C. 2412(b)(1), authorizes the USTR to initiate an investigation under chapter 1 of Title III of the Trade Act (commonly referred to as "section 301") with respect to any matter in order to determine whether the matter is actionable under section 301. Matters

actionable under section 301 include, *inter alia*, the denial of rights of the United States under a trade agreement, or acts, policies, and practices of a foreign country that violate or are inconsistent with the provisions of, or otherwise deny benefits to the United States under, any trade agreement.

Investigation and Consultations

On October 7, 1997, having consulted with the appropriate private sector advisory committees, the USTR determined that an investigation should be initiated to assess whether certain acts, policies and practices of Japan regarding a prohibition on imports of certain agricultural products are actionable under section 301(a) and has requested the consultations required under section 303(a) of the Trade Act. For each agricultural product for which Japan requires quarantine treatment, Japan prohibits the importation of each variety of that product until the quarantine treatment has been tested for that variety, even though the treatment has proven effective with respect to other varieties of the same product. The relevant provisions of Japanese laws include the Plant Protection Law (Law No. 151) enacted May 4, 1950, as amended, and the Plant Protection Law Enforcement Regulation (Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries Ordinance No. 73) of June 30, 1950, as amended.

For example, after years of effort by the United States, in January 1995 Japan agreed to permit imports of U.S. Red Delicious and Golden Delicious apples based on Japan's determination that treatment of fruit from inspected orchards both with methyl bromide fumigation and a cold storage treatment would be effective against codling moth, a plant pest. However, Japan has refused to allow other varieties of apples, such as Gala, Fuji, Braeburn, Jonagold and Granny Smith, to be imported into Japan unless lengthy and expensive tests are performed on each variety to prove the efficacy of the same methyl bromide/cold storage treatment at killing codling moths. There is no scientific basis for distinguishing between different varieties of fruit in this respect. This practice of Japan affects not just apple imports, but imports of other fruit as well.

The USTR believes that these measures are inconsistent with the obligations of Japan under several provisions of the WTO Agreements, including Articles 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 8 of the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures; Article XI of the General Agreement on