### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 2680-039]

# Consumers Energy Company; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

September 26, 1997.

A draft environmental assessment (DEA) is available for public review. The DEA was prepared for a plan to construct angler access facilities at what is known as the Port Sheldon site. The plan was required by ordering paragraph (C) of the Order Approving Settlement Agreement Regarding Fishery Issues, issued by the Commission on January 23, 1996. The Port Sheldon site is located adjacent to Lake Michigan and Pigeon Lake, near the Town of West Olive, Michigan.

The DEA finds that the licensee's plan is not a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The DEA was written by staff in the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. Copies of the DEA can be viewed at the Commission's Reference and Information Center, Room 2A, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Copies can also be obtained by contacting the project manager listed below.

Please submit any comments within 40 days from the date of this notice. Any comments, conclusions, or recommendations that draw upon studies, reports, or other working papers of substance should be supported by appropriate documentation.

Comments should be addressed to: Ms. Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. Please include the project number (2680–039) on any comments filed. For further information, you may contact the project manager, Patti Pakkala, at (202) 219–0025.

#### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–26082 Filed 10–1–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

# Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

### **Notice of Amendment of License**

September 26, 1997.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed

with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Amendment of License.

b. Project No.: 184.

c. Date filed: September 18, 1997.

d. *Applicant:* Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

e. Name of Project: El Dorado.

f. Location: On the South Fork American River, in El Dorado, Alpine, and Amador Counties, California, partially within Eldorado National Forest.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r).

h. Applicant Contact: Mr. Terry Morforld, Manager, Hydro Generation, Mail Code N11C, P.O. Box 770000, San Francisco, CA 94177, (415) 973–5311.

i. FERC Contact: James Hunter, (202) 219–2839.

j. Comment Date: November 5, 1997.

k. Description of Project: The proposed Riverton Water Supply System (RWSS) would consist of a river intake and pump station on the South Fork of the American River near Riverton and a 30-inch-diameter, 2000-foot-long, buried pipeline extending from the pump station to an existing undamaged portion of the El Dorado canal.

Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) proposes to construct and operate the RWSS project in order to restore water delivery to the El Dorado Irrigation District (EID). Severe flood damage to the El Dorado Project in late 1996 and early 1997 interrupted that delivery. The proposed facilities would discharge about 40 cubic feet per second of water into the canal, from which water would be delivered to EID as it had been before the flood damage. PG&E's proposal includes a contingency plan to install a temporary intake and above-ground pipeline to provide an emergency water supply, if necessitated by drought conditions prior to completion of the permanent facilities.

l. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified

comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS" "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTESTS", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does no file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

### Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-26089 Filed 10-1-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5901-1]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Voluntary Customer Service Satisfaction Surveys

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that the following Information Collection Request (ICR) has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval: Voluntary Customer Service Satisfaction Surveys, OMB Control Number 2090–0019, expiring 10/31/97. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection, and its expected burden and cost. Sample data collection instruments are appended with paper copies.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before November 3, 1997. They may

be sent via e-mail to bonner.patricia@epamail.epa.gov.

ADDRESSES: USEPA, Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation, OSPED/IO Mail Code 2161, 401 M Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandy Farmer at EPA, (202) 260–2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 1711.02. The ICR may be accessed electronically via Internet [http://www/epa.gov/oppe on the World Wide Web], requested via fax at 202–260–4968, or by calling 202–260–0599.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Voluntary Customer Service Satisfaction Surveys, OMB Control No. 2090–0019, EPA ICR Number 1711.02, expiring 10/31/97. This is a request seeking renewal of a generic clearance for customer satisfaction surveys directed under Executive Order 12862 "Setting Customer Service Standards" (9/11/93).

Abstract: Within the Environmental Protection Agency, voluntary customer surveys are used to learn how customers perceive EPA's services, and whether the Agency is achieving its customer service standards. Surveys involve individuals who have used EPA services directly or could have obtained such services (e.g. people notified about [X] who did not respond). Information obtained from surveys has been and will be used to evaluate and improve service delivery. Surveys provide managers with information to help them take actions that will lead to improved service delivery and streamlined procedures. During the next three years, EPA nationwide plans up to 994 surveys. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15. The Federal Register Notice required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), soliciting comments on this collection of information, was published on 4/30/ 97 (62 FR 23446); no comments were received.

Burden Statement: Response time ranges from seconds to full day focus group sessions; the average annual public reporting and record keeping burden for this collection of information is 11 minutes per response. The Agency plans to use: minimal question/comment cards with narrow scope; longer comment sheets to evaluate training/conferences/workshops/events and publications; telephone interviews; in-person interviews; short and long

written (mail) surveys; focus groups; and Internet feedback screens. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information. Labor costs were estimated using the Bureau of Labor Statistics April 18, 1997, release of weekly earnings of wage and salary workers, using the median earnings reported (\$504/week).

Respondents/Affected Entities: Any person or entity that uses EPA services.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 83,469—FY 1998; 82,144—FY 1999; and 85,294—FY 2000.

Frequency of Response: Generally, 1 time. However, one survey will go to the same 75 individuals twice a year, each year, and individuals may select to reply more than one and many times to Internet screens.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 18,405—FY 1998; 14,014—FY 1999; and 14,824—FY 2000.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: FY 1998—\$231,903; FY 1999— \$176,576; FY 2000—\$186,783.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No.1711.02 and OMB Control No.2090–0019 in any correspondence. Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460 and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: September 26, 1997.

## Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division. [FR Doc. 97–26176 Filed 10–1–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5901-9]

# Asbestos NESHAP State Notification Procedures Change

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice to regulated community.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency, Region I Office, Boston, MA, has notified in writing the EPA—New England states of Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, and Connecticut that effective October 1, 1997, all owners or operators of a demolition or renovation activity subject to the asbestos NESHAP and who provide written notification to the states 10-working days in advance of commencing with the renovation or demolition activity, will not have to provide similar notification to the EPA Administrator as required pursuant to 40 CFR 61.145(b). Important exceptions to the Federal and state notification procedures change are discussed below. In brief, such exceptions require regulated entities to continue to submit asbestos NESHAP notifications to the EPA for all demolitions involving asbestos below each respective State's regulatory threshold amount, including all demolitions believed to involve no asbestos.

### **Background**

The asbestos National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (asbestos NESHAP) was promulgated pursuant to section 112 of the 1990 Clean Air Act as Amended and is codified at 40 CFR, part 61, subpart M. Among other things, the asbestos NESHAP [40 CFR 61.145(b)] requires all owners or operators of a demolition or renovation (demo/reno) activity that is subject to the asbestos NESHAP, to notify the Administrator in writing, at least 10-working days before asbestos stripping or removal work or any other activity begins, such as site preparation that would breakup, dislodge or similarly disturb asbestos material. Since the states of Maine, New Hampshire, Massachusetts and Connecticut were fully-delegated EPA asbestos NESHAP states, pursuant to section 112(d) of the Clean Air Act prior to the 1990 amendments, EPA made a determination that enabling legislation and promulgated regulations these states had in place governing demo/reno activities at the time of delegation were adequate for the purposes of effectively implementing the and enforcing the asbestos NESHAP. Included in this was