

pendant; bone beads and fragments, shell beads, copper tube with wood insets, and shell hairpipes.

The Leary site has been identified as having multiple occupations through the early historic period. The individuals recovered during the 1936 and 1965 excavations have been identified with the Oneota component of this site based on location, manner of internment, and associated funerary objects. Based on continuities of technology and material culture, the Oneota culture has been identified as ancestral to the present-day Otoe-Missouria, Ioway, and Kaw (Kansa) tribes.

Based on the above mentioned information, officials of the Nebraska State Historical Society have determined that, pursuant to 43 CFR 10.2 (d)(1), the human remains listed above represent the physical remains of 40 individuals of Native American ancestry. Officials of the Nebraska State Historical Society have also determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (3)(A), the 342 objects listed above are reasonably believed to have been placed with or near individual human remains at the time of death or later as part of the death rite or ceremony. Lastly, officials of the Nebraska State Historical Society have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these Native American human remains and associated funerary objects and the Iowa Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska, the Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma, the Kaw Nation of Oklahoma, and the Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Oklahoma.

This notice has been sent to officials of the Iowa Tribe of Kansas and Nebraska, the Iowa Tribe of Oklahoma, the Kaw Nation of Oklahoma, and the Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Oklahoma. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these human remains and associated funerary objects should contact Rob Bozell, Associate Director, Nebraska State Historical Society, 1500 R Street, P.O. Box 82554, Lincoln, NE 68501-2554; telephone: (402) 471-4789, before October 20, 1997. Repatriation of the human remains and associated funerary objects to the culturally affiliated tribes may begin after that date

if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: September 10, 1997.

**Francis P. McManamon**,  
*Departmental Consulting Archeologist,  
Manager, Archeology and Ethnography  
Program.*

[FR Doc. 97-24824 Filed 9-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### **Contra Costa Water District Multi-Purpose Pipeline Project, Contra Costa County, CA**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement and notice of scoping meeting; correction.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Interior published a document in the **Federal Register**, on September 2, 1997, concerning intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement and notice of scoping meeting. The document contained an incorrect day.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Steve Edmondson, telephone (209) 487-5049 or Ms. Christina Ko Hartinger, telephone (510) 688-8335.

#### **Correction**

In the **Federal Register** issue of September 2, 1997, in FR Doc 97-23132, on page 46372, Volume 62, Number 169; in the first column, correct the **DATES** heading to read:

**DATES:** A scoping meeting is scheduled for the project on Thursday, September 18, 1997, at 7:00 p.m., at the Bay Point Ambrose Community Center, 3105 Willow Pass Road, Bay Point, California.

Dated: September 10, 1997.

**Susan Kelly**,

*Acting Area Manager, for South-Central  
California Area Office.*

[FR Doc. 97-24833 Filed 9-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### **Prineville Reservoir Reallocation, Crooked River Project; Oregon**

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) proposes to conduct a study to identify alternatives to the current allocation of space in Prineville Reservoir and to evaluate the alternatives, including no action, in an environmental impact statement (EIS).

**ADDRESSES:** Bureau of Reclamation, Pacific Northwest Regional Office, 1150 N Curtis Road, Boise, ID 83706-1234.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** For information on the study contact David Bradley, Activity Manager, telephone (208) 378-5084. For information regarding the NEPA process contact Lola Sept, Environmental Specialist, telephone (208) 378-5032.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Prineville Reservoir, a feature of Reclamation's Crooked River Project, is located on the Crooked River, a tributary of the Deschutes and Columbia Rivers in Oregon. The reservoir was created by the construction of Arthur R. Bowman Dam (Bowman Dam) which was completed in 1961. It is located about 20 miles southeast of the city of Prineville, near the geographic center of the State of Oregon.

As the project is now authorized, all of the active capacity can be placed under contract for irrigation use. Although no reservoir space is specifically allocated for recreation or fish and wildlife uses, these purposes are included as part of the Crooked River project and are considered during annual evaluation of reservoir operations. Reclamation presently manages the noncontracted space for in-reservoir use, instream flow, and dry-year supplemental irrigation uses.

During recent years, the high water levels in Prineville Reservoir, together with a scenic location, pleasant summer weather, good fishing, and the development of a State park and small resort, have led to the popularity of the reservoir area for recreation. The State park ranks in Oregon's top five for occupancy, and the resort is popular during the summer when reservoir water levels are conducive to water-based recreation. Recreation use is the second highest of any Reclamation reservoir in Oregon.

Currently, the authorized minimum flow in the Crooked River below Bowman Dam is 10 cubic feet per second (cfs). In order to benefit the downstream fishery and Wild and Scenic River values, Reclamation made an administrative decision to release up to 75 cfs minimum flows below Bowman Dam from uncontracted

storage whenever contractual obligations can also be met.

Reclamation has received requests for sale of about 26,000 acre-feet of the noncontracted storage for irrigation and requests have been made that all noncontracted storage be reserved for agricultural use.

Clearly, there is controversy concerning the "best" use for the noncontracted storage in Prineville Reservoir. Any changes in storage allocation for uses other than irrigated agriculture would require the Congress to amend the authorization. This study is designed to explore alternatives, including no action, to water allocations in Prineville Reservoir.

**PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT:** Reclamation plans to conduct public scoping meetings to identify issues and concerns which will be used in the development of alternatives. These meetings will be held in the late fall of this year. The dates, times, and locations of public scoping meetings will be noted in newspapers of general circulation in Prineville and surrounding communities.

Dated: September 2, 1997.

**John W. Keys, III,**

*Regional Director, Pacific Northwest Region.*

[FR Doc. 97-24831 Filed 9-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

## INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

### Agency For International Development

#### Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**SUMMARY:** U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) is making efforts to reduce the paperwork burden. USAID invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Comments are requested concerning: (a) Whether the proposed or continuing collections of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

**DATES:** Send comments on or before September 30, 1997.

**ADDRESS INFORMATION TO:** Mary Ann Ball, Bureau of Management, Office of Administration Services, Information and Records Division, U.S. Agency for International Development, Washington, D.C. (202) 712-1765 or via e-mail MBall@USAID.Gov.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*OMB Number:* OMB 0412-0551.

*Form Number:* N/A.

*Title:* U.S. Agency for International Development Acquisition Regulations (AIDAR) Clause 752.70.26 Reports.

*Type of Submission:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

*Purpose:* Section 635(b) of the Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) authorizes USAID to contract with any corporation, international organization, or other body or persons in or out of the United States in furtherance of the purposes and within the limitations of the FAA. To determine how well contractors are performing to meet the requirements of the contract, USAID requires periodic performance reports from contractors. The performance reporting requirements are contained in the USAID clause New AIDAR reports (October 1996).

*Annual Reporting Burden:*

Respondents: 350. Total annual responses: 2,000. Total annual hours requested: 8,000.

Dated: September 11, 1997.

**Willette L. Smith,**

*Acting Chief, Information and Records Division, Office of Administrative Services, Bureau of Management.*

[FR Doc. 97-24828 Filed 9-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116-01-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 332-383]

### Advice Concerning Possible Modifications to the U.S. Generalized System of Preferences

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission

**ACTION:** Institution of investigation and scheduling of hearing

**SUMMARY:** On September 5, 1997, the Commission received a request from the United States Trade Representative (USTR) for an investigation under section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930 for the purpose of providing advice concerning possible modifications to the Generalized System of Preferences (GSP). Following receipt of the request and in accordance therewith, the

Commission instituted Investigation No. 332-383 in order to provide as follows—

(1) In accordance with sections 503(a)(1)(A), 503(e) and 131(a) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended ("the 1974 Act"), and pursuant to authority of the President delegated to the United States Trade Representative by sections 4(c) and 8 (c) and (d) of Executive Order 11846 of March 31, 1975, as amended, the articles identified in Part A of the attached Annex are being considered for designation as eligible articles for purposes of the United States GSP, as set forth in Title V of the 1974 Act. In accordance with sections 503(a)(1)(A), 503(e) and 131(a) of the 1974 Act and under the authority delegated by the President, pursuant to section 332(g) of the Tariff Act of 1930, the Commission is requested to provide its advice with respect to the articles in Part A of the attached Annex, as to the probable economic effect on the United States industries producing like or directly competitive articles and on consumers of the elimination of United States import duties under the GSP;

(2) In accordance with section 503(c)(2)(E) of the 1974 Act, which exempts from one of the competitive need limits in section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act articles for which no like or directly competitive articles was being produced in the United States on January 1, 1995, advice as to whether products like or directly competitive with the articles in Part A of the attached annex were being produced in the United States on January 1, 1995;

(3) With respect to the article listed in Part B of the attached annex, advice as to the probable economic effect on U.S. industries producing like or directly competitive articles and on consumers of the removal of the article in Part B of the attached annex from eligibility for duty-free treatment under the GSP;

(4) In accordance with section 503(d)(1)(A) of the 1974 Act, advice as to whether any industry in the United States is likely to be adversely affected by a waiver of the competitive need limits specified in section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act for the country specified with respect to the articles in Part C of the attached annex.

In providing its advice under (1) the Commission will assume, as requested by USTR, that the benefits of the GSP would not apply to imports that would be excluded from receiving such benefits by virtue of the competitive need limits specified in section 503(c)(2)(A) of the 1974 Act. With respect to the competitive need limit in section 503(c)(2)(A)(I)(I) of the 1974 Act, the