

purchase nonrefundable transportation tickets or accommodation reservations.

The training courses will be held on the following dates. To find out the times and specific locations of the training courses, call the person and telephone number listed under "FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT."

Dates	Location
September 17 and 18, 1997.	Region 1— Boston, MA
September 23 and 24, 1997.	Region 8— Denver, CO
September 25 and 26, 1997.	Region 10—Seattle, WA
October 7 and 8, 1997.	Region 3—Philadelphia, PA
October 15 and 16, 1997.	Region 4—Atlanta, GA
October 21 and 22, 1997.	Region 6—Dallas, TX
October 28 and 29, 1997.	Region 9—San Francisco, CA
November 4 and 5, 1997.	Region 7—Kansas City, KS
November 12 and 13, 1997.	Region 2—New York City, NY
November 18 and 19, 1997.	Region 5—Chicago, IL

#### List of Subjects

Environmental protection, Community right-to-know, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Toxic chemicals.

Dated: August 20, 1997.

**William H. Sanders, III,**

*Director, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics.*

[FR Doc. 97-23095 Filed 8-28-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-F

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5885-3]

#### Proposed Settlement Under Section 122(g) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act; Tulalip Landfill Superfund Site

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed administrative settlement and opportunity for public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is proposing to enter into an administrative settlement to resolve claims under the

Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"). Notice is being published to inform the public of the proposed settlement and of the opportunity to comment. The settlement is intended to resolve past and estimated future liabilities of 8 *de minimis* parties for costs incurred, or to be incurred, by EPA at the Tulalip Landfill Superfund Site in Marysville, Washington.

**DATES:** Comments must be provided on or before September 29, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be addressed to Docket Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 10, ORC-158, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, and should refer to In Re Tulalip Landfill Superfund Site, Marysville, Washington, U.S. EPA Docket No. 10-97-0034-CERCLA.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Elizabeth McKenna, Office of Regional Counsel (ORC-158), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, (206) 553-0016.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In accordance with section 122(i)(1) of CERCLA, notice is hereby given of a proposed administrative settlement concerning the Tulalip Landfill hazardous waste site located on Ebey Island between Steamboat Slough and Ebey Slough in the Snohomish River delta system between Everett and Marysville, Washington. The Site was listed on the National Priorities List ("NPL") on April 25, 1995. 60 FR 20350 (April 25, 1995). Subject to review by the public pursuant to this document, the agreement has been approved by the United States Department of Justice. Below are listed the 8 parties who have executed the proposed Administrative Order on Consent.

Associated Grocers, Inc./Thriftway Stores, Inc.; General Disposal Corporation; Goodwill Industries; Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.; R.M. Halfman Trucking; The Boeing Company; Safeway Inc.; Washington Iron Works (Ederer, Inc.).

The EPA is entering into this agreement under the authority of sections of 122(g), 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9622(g), 9606 and 9607. Section 122(g) authorizes settlements with *de minimis* parties to allow them to resolve their liabilities at Superfund sites without incurring substantial transaction costs. Under this authority, the agreement proposes to settle with parties in the Tulalip Landfill case who each are responsible for less than 1.0% of the volume of hazardous substances at the site.

General Disposal Corporation's volume is greater than 1.0%, but it is shared with a potentially responsible party for the site who is not a party to this agreement.

In February and March 1988, EPA contractor Ecology & Environment, Inc. ("E&E") performed a site inspection of the landfill for NPL evaluation. The inspection revealed groundwater contamination with unacceptably high levels of arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, and silver. Water samples taken in the wetlands adjacent to the site showed exceedences of marine chronic criteria for cadmium, chromium, and lead, as well as exceedences in marine acute criteria for copper, nickel, and zinc. In addition, a variety of metals were found in on-site pools and leachate. The study concluded that contamination was migrating off site. On July 29, 1991, EPA proposed adding the Tulalip Landfill to the NPL, and on April 25, 1995, with the support of the Governor of the State of Washington and the Tulalip Tribes of Washington, EPA published the final rule adding the Site to the NPL.

EPA is performing a Remedial Investigation ("RI") and Feasibility Study ("FS") in two parts pursuant to an Administrative Order on Consent with several potentially responsible parties. The first part, which has been completed, evaluated various containment alternatives for the landfill source area, which includes approximately 147 acres in which waste was deposited. The second part evaluates the off-source areas, which include the wetlands and tidal channels that surround the landfill source area. On March 1, 1996, EPA issued a Record of Decision that selected an interim remedial action for the source area. The selected interim remedy requires installation of an engineered, low-permeability cover over the source area of the landfill, at an estimated cost of \$25.1 million.

The proposed settlement requires each settling party to pay a fixed sum of money based on their volumetric share. The total amount that may be recovered from the proposed settlement is \$1,624,406.42. The amount paid will be deposited in the Tulalip Landfill Special Account within the EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund to be used for the cover over the source area at the landfill. Upon full payment, each settling party will receive a release from further civil or administrative liabilities for the Site and statutory contribution protection under section 122(g)(5), 42 U.S.C. 9622(g)(5).

EPA will receive written comments relating to this proposed settlement for

a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication.

The proposed agreement may be obtained from Cindy Colgate, Office of Environmental Cleanup (ECL-113), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, (206) 553-1815. The Administrative Record for this settlement may be examined at the EPA's Region 10 office located at 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, by contacting Bob Phillips, Superfund Records Manager, Office of Environmental Cleanup (ECL-110), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, (206) 553-6699.

**Authority:** The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended, 41 U.S.C. 9601-9675.

**Charles E. Findley,**  
Acting Regional Administrator.  
[FR Doc. 97-23031 Filed 8-28-97; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5885-2]

### 33 U.S.C. 1319(g); Clean Water Act Class II: Proposed Administrative Penalty Assessment and Opportunity To Comment Regarding the City of Hillsboro, KS

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed administrative penalty assessment and opportunity to comment regarding the City of Hillsboro, Kansas.

**SUMMARY:** EPA is providing notice of opportunity to comment on the proposed assessment.

Under 33 U.S.C. 1319(g), EPA is authorized to issue orders assessing civil penalties for various violations of the Act. EPA may issue such orders after filing a Complaint commencing either a Class I or Class II penalty proceeding. EPA provides public notice of the proposed assessment pursuant to 33 U.S.C. 1319(g)(4)(A).

Class II proceedings are conducted under EPA's Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation or Suspension of Permits, 40 CFR part 22. The procedures by which the public may submit written comment on a proposed Class II order or participate in a Class II proceeding, and the procedures by which a respondent may request a hearing, are set forth in the Consolidated Rules. The deadline for submitting public comment on a

proposed Class II order is thirty (30) days after issuance of this public notice.

On May 9, 1997, EPA commenced the following Class II proceeding for the assessment of penalties by filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VII, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101, (913) 551-7630, the following Complaint: In the Matter of The City of Hillsboro, CWA Docket No. VII-97-W-0013.

The Complaint proposes to assess a penalty of Two Thousand One Hundred and Sixty-five dollars (\$2,165) against The City of Hillsboro for the failure to comply with the applicable recordkeeping, monitoring, vector attraction reduction and pathogen density requirements of section 405 of the Clean Water Act, and the regulations promulgated pursuant thereto and set forth at 40 CFR part 503.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Persons wishing to receive a copy of EPA's Consolidated Rules, review the Complaint or other documents filed in this proceeding, comment upon the proposed penalty assessment, or otherwise participate in the proceeding should contact the Regional Hearing Clerk identified above.

The administrative record for the proceeding is located in the EPA Regional Office at the address stated above, and the file will be open for public inspection during normal business hours. All information submitted by The City of Hillsboro is available as part of the administrative record, subject to provisions of law restricting public disclosure of confidential information. In order to provide opportunity for public comment, EPA will issue no final order assessing a penalty in this proceeding prior to thirty (30) days from the date of this notice.

Dated: August 14, 1997.

**William Rice,**  
Acting Regional Administrator.  
[FR Doc. 97-23032 Filed 8-28-97; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### Public Information Collection Approved by Office of Management and Budget

August 25, 1996.

The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) has received Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval for the following public information collection pursuant to the

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 96-511. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. Notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Questions concerning the OMB control numbers and expiration dates should be directed to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, (202) 418-0214.

#### Federal Communications Commission

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0779.

*Expiration Date:* 8/31/2000.

*Title:* Amendment to Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Provide for Use of the 220-222 MHz Band by the Private Land Mobile Radio Service, PR 89-552.

*Form No.:* N/A.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 112,450 annual hours; average 1-50 hours per respondent; 27,062 respondents.

*Description:* The *Third Report and Order (Third R&O)* adopts rules to govern the future operation and licensing of the 220-222 MHz band (220 MHz service). In establishing this new licensing plan, the Commission's goal is to establish a flexible regulatory framework that will allow for the efficient licensing of the 220 MHz service, eliminate unnecessary regulatory burdens, and enhance the competitive potential of the 220 MHz service in the mobile service marketplace. However, as with any licensing and operational plan for a radio service, a certain number of regulatory burdens are necessary.

The various information reporting and verification requirements, and the requirement that licensees coordinate and provide written consent, concurrence or agreement with other licensees will be used by the Commission to verify licensee compliance with Commission rules and regulation and to ensure that licensees continue to fulfill their statutory responsibilities in accordance with the Communications Act of 1934. Such information has been used in the past and will continue to be used to minimize interference, verify that applicants are legally, technically, and financially qualified to hold licenses, and to determine compliance with Commission Rules.

*OMB Control No.:* 3060-0481.

*Expiration Date:* 8/31/2000.

*Title:* Application for Renewal of Private Radio Station License.

*Form No.:* FCC 452R.