

include the effects of vegetation management on area wildlife and wildlife habitat, recreation use, wildfire risk, and the transportation system. Preliminary alternatives include, but are not limited to:

1. No Action, no vegetation management would be proposed except existing firewood and Christmas tree gathering.

2. Alternatives based on the White River National Forest LMP.

a. Generate 6 million board feet of saw timber treating 1380 acres, including 6.4 miles of new road construction (to be closed after sale), and recruiting 500 acres for future old growth forest.

b. Generate 2.5 million board feet of saw timber treating 653 acres, including 4.6 miles of new road construction (to be closed after sale), and recruiting 972 acres for future old growth.

c. Generate 7 million board of saw timber treating 1452 acres, including 7 miles of new road construction (3.9 miles to remain open after sale), 500 acres for future old growth recruitment.

d. Generate 3 million board feet of saw timber treating 712 acres, including 0.2 miles of new road construction (to be closed after sale), and 972 acres for future old growth recruitment.

3. Alternatives yet to be developed.

Alternatives will be carefully examined for their potential impacts on the physical, biological, and social environments so that tradeoffs are apparent to the decisionmaker.

The decision to be made by the Forest Supervisor, based on the pending analysis to be documented in this EIS are:

Should the vegetation in the Basalt Mountain area be managed for timber harvest at this time? And, if so; Should road construction be allowed for timber harvest in this area?

How does the inclusion of parts of the proposed sale in former roadless area surveys influence the current and long term LMP direction of managing the area for wood fiber production? Which alternative best fits the White River LMP prescription and ecosystem health priorities of the Forest Service?

Permits and licenses required to implement the proposed action will, or may, include the following: consultation with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for compliance with Section 7 of the Threatened & Endangered Species Act; review from the Colorado Division of Wildlife, and clearance from the Colorado State Historic Preservation Office.

Public participation will be fully incorporated into preparation of the EIS. The first step is the scoping process, during which the Forest Service will be

seeking information, comments, and assistance from Federal, State, and local agencies, and other individuals or groups who may be interested or affected by the proposed action. No public meetings are planned for this project. Public comments received during initial scoping and those raised during public review of the Draft Environmental Assessment for this project will be incorporated into this EIS. The Forest Service predicts the draft environmental impact statement will be filed during the winter of 1997/1998 and the final environmental impact statement and record or decision during the spring of 1998.

The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be forty-five days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803, F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the forty-five day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to

refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: August 19, 1997.

Martha J. Ketelle,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 97-22587 Filed 8-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-BW-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Choctaw Watershed, Bolivar County, Mississippi

AGENCY: Natural Resources Conservation Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of a finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council of Environmental Quality Regulations (7 CFR part 650); the Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for Choctaw Watershed, Bolivar County, Mississippi.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Homer L. Wilkes, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Suite 1321, A.H. McCoy Federal Building, 100 West Capitol Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39269, telephone 601-965-5205.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Homer L. Wilkes, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The project concerns a watershed plan for the purpose of providing assistance to the disadvantaged residents of Choctaw Watershed to solve the problems associated with impaired water quality. Works of improvement consist of one facultative lagoon and associated sewer line installation.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above

address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be received by contacting Homer L. Wilkes.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register**.

(This activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.904—Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention and is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372 which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials)

Dated: August 14, 1997.

Homer L. Wilkes,

State Conservationist.

[FR Doc. 97-22581 Filed 8-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

ARCHITECTURAL AND TRANSPORTATION BARRIERS COMPLIANCE BOARD

Access Board; Notice of Meeting

AGENCY: Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board (Access Board) has scheduled its regular business meetings to take place in Washington, D.C. on Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday, September 8–10, 1997 at the times and location noted below.

DATES: The schedule of events is as follows:

Monday, September 8, 1997

9:00 a.m.–3:30 p.m. Committee of the Whole—ADAAG Revision (Closed Meeting).

3:30 p.m.–5:30 p.m. Committee of the Whole—Telecommunications Equipment (Closed Meeting)

Tuesday, September 9, 1997

9:00 a.m.–Noon Committee of the Whole—ABA Guidelines (Closed Meeting)

1:30 p.m.–1:45 p.m. Planning and Budget Committee

1:45 p.m.–3:30 p.m. Committee of the Whole—Long-Range Plan

3:30 p.m.–5:30 p.m. Technical Programs Committee

Wednesday, September 10, 1997

9:00 a.m.–Noon Executive Committee

1:30 p.m.–3:30 p.m. Board Meeting

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at: Marriott Metro Center Hotel, 775 12th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For further information regarding the meetings, please contact Lawrence W. Roffee, Executive Director, (202) 272–5434 ext. 14 (voice) and (202) 272–5449 (TTY).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: At the Board meeting, the Access Board will consider the following agenda items. Specific voting items are noted next to each committee report.

Open Meeting

- Executive Director's Report.
- Approval of the Minutes of the July 9, 1997 Board Meeting.
- Planning and Budget Committee Report—Fiscal Year 1997 Spending Plan.
- Technical Programs Committee Report—Fiscal Year 1998 Research and Technical Assistance Agenda.
- Executive Committee Report—Rulemaking Plan Update.
- Long-Range Plan Committee Report—Goals and Action Strategies.

Closed Meeting

- Committee of the Whole Report—ADAAG Revision Proposed Rule.
- Committee of the Whole Report—Telecommunications Equipment Final Rule.
- Committee of the Whole Report—ABA Guidelines.

All meetings are accessible to persons with disabilities. Sign language interpreters and an assistive listening system are available at all meetings.

James J. Raggio,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 97-22689 Filed 8-25-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8150-01-P

ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD

Formal Determinations, Assassination Records Designations, and Reconsiderations

AGENCY: Assassination Records Review Board.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Assassination Records Review Board (Review Board) met in a closed meeting on August 5, 1997, and made formal determinations on the release of records under the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992 (JFK Act). By issuing this notice, the Review Board complies with the section of the JFK Act that requires the Review Board to publish the results of its decisions on a document-by-document basis in the **Federal Register** within 14 days of the date of the decision.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: T. Jeremy Gunn, General Counsel and Associate Director for Research and Analysis, Assassination Records Review Board, Second Floor, Washington, D.C. 20530, (202) 724-0088, fax (202) 724-0457.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice complies with the requirements of the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992, 44 U.S.C. § 2107.9(c)(4)(A) (1992). On August 5, 1997, the Review Board made formal determinations on records it reviewed under the JFK Act. These determinations are listed below. The assassination records are identified by the record identification number assigned in the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection database maintained by the National Archives.

Notice of Formal Determinations

For each document, the number of postponements sustained immediately follows the record identification number, followed, where appropriate, by the date the document is scheduled to be released or re-reviewed.

FBI Documents: Postponed in Part

124-10184-10267; 0; 2; 10/2008
124-10184-10269; 5; 17; 10/2008
124-10193-10019; 1; 7; 10/2008
124-10228-10358; 0; 1; 10/2008
124-10257-10303; 0; 2; 10/2008

CIA Documents: Postponed in Part

104-10061-10064; 1; 10/2017
104-10065-10273; 1; 10/2017
104-10081-10004; 1; 08/2008
104-10092-10007; 3; 10/2017
104-10092-10010; 10; 10/2017
104-10092-10033; 4; 10/2017
104-10092-10034; 2; 10/2017
104-10092-10035; 1; 10/2017
104-10092-10044; 3; 10/2017
104-10097-10041; 1; 10/2017
104-10097-10047; 1; 10/2017
104-10097-10069; 1; 10/2017
104-10097-10072; 3; 10/2017
104-10097-10074; 2; 10/2017
104-10097-10077; 8; 10/2017
104-10097-10083; 5; 10/2017
104-10097-10097; 2; 10/2017
104-10097-10101; 5; 10/2017
104-10097-10102; 1; 10/2017
104-10097-10112; 1; 05/2001
104-10097-10126; 1; 10/2017
104-10097-10129; 2; 10/2017
104-10097-10132; 3; 10/2017
104-10097-10139; 2; 10/2017
104-10097-10142; 2; 10/2017
104-10097-10143; 3; 10/2017
104-10097-10145; 5; 10/2017
104-10097-10157; 18; 10/2017
104-10097-10163; 1; 10/2017
104-10097-10169; 4; 10/2017
104-10097-10170; 3; 10/2017
104-10097-10171; 1; 10/2017
104-10097-10173; 1; 10/2017
104-10098-10319; 1; 10/2017