margin calculation program. See Clerical Error Memorandum at page 3.

Comment 3: Petitioners argue that the Department introduced new computer programming lines and values in the constructed value section of its margin calculation program and that the new lines failed to function properly because the new values were overwritten by old values. Therefore, petitioners state that the Department should correct this error in its programming.

Department's Position: We agree with petitioners. Therefore, for these amended final results we have corrected the constructed value section of our margin calculation program. See Clerical Error Memorandum at pp. 4–5.

#### Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we have determined that the following margins exist:

Manufacturer/ Exporter	Time period	Margin (per- cent)
Dofasco, Inc	8/1/94–7/31/95	0.59
CCC, Ltd	8/1/94–7/31/95	1,31
Stelco, Inc	8/1/94–7/31/95	0.55

Pursuant to section 353.28 of the Department's regulations, parties to the proceeding will have five days after the date of publication of this notice to notify the Department of any new ministerial or clerical errors, as well as five days thereafter to rebut any comments by parties.

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between sales to the United States and normal value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective, upon publication of this notice of amended final results of review for all shipments of certain corrosion-resistant carbon steel flat products from Canada, entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rates for the reviewed companies will be the rates for those firms as stated above (except that if the rate is de minimis, i.e., less than 0.5 percent, a cash deposit rate of zero will be required for that company); (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this

review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers will be the "all others" rate made effective by the final results of the 1993–1994 administrative review of these orders (see Certain Corrision-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products and Certain Cut-to-Length Steel Plate from Canada; Final Results of Antidumping Administrative Reviews, 61 FR 13815 (March 28, 1996)).

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested.

Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This amendment of final results of administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: August 12, 1997.

#### Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–21961 Filed 8–18–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

[A-475-818]

Certain Pasta From Italy: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for New Shipper Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** August 19, 1997.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

John Brinkmann or Sunkyu Kim, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement II, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–5288 or 482–2613, respectively.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results in the new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy. The period of review is July 1, 1996, through January 31, 1997. This extension is made pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("the Act") and the Department's regulations as published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

# **Postponement of Preliminary Results of Review**

On February 20, 1997, the Department initiated this new shipper administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain pasta from Italy (62 FR 8927, February 27, 1997). The current deadline for the preliminary results is August 19, 1997. Pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(h)(7), the Department has determined that this case is extraordinarily complicated and as such is extending the deadline for issuing the preliminary results. This extension is necessary to provide the Department additional time to consider certain issues of complex nature including the appropriate basis for calculating constructed export price and the nature of affiliation between the parties involved in this review.

In accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(h)(7), the Department will extend the time for completion of the preliminary results of this new shipper review to no later than December 17, 1997. We plan to issue the final results within 90 days after the date the preliminary results are issued.

Dated: August 13, 1997.

#### Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-21960 Filed 8-18-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

# **International Trade Administration**

[A-201-504]

Certain Porcelain-on-Steel Cookware From Mexico; Notice of Extension of Time Limit for Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 19, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Johnson or Dolores Peck at (202) 482–4929, or Mary Jenkins at (202) 482–1756, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20230.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the tenth administrative review of the antidumping duty order on porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico for the period December 1, 1995, through November 30, 1996. This extension is made pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (hereinafter, "the Act").

POSTPONEMENT: Under the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of an administrative review if it determines it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days. The Department finds that it is not practicable to complete the tenth administrative review of certain porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico within this time limit.

In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department will extend the time for completion for the preliminary results of this review from a 245-day period to no later than a 365-day period. Therefore, the final results are now due by December 31, 1997.

Dated: August 13, 1997.

# Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97–21962 Filed 8–18–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

# **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## **International Trade Administration**

North American Free-Trade Agreement, Article 1904; NAFTA Panel Reviews; Request for Panel Review

**AGENCY:** NAFTA Secretariat, United States Section, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of First Request for Panel Review.

SUMMARY: On August 8, 1997, Hylsa, S.A. de C.V. filed a First Request for Panel Review with the United States Section of the NAFTA Secretariat pursuant to Article 1904 of the North American Free Trade Agreement. Panel review was requested of the final antidumping administrative review made by the International Trade Administration, respecting Circular Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe and Tube from Mexico. This determination was published in 62 FR 37014, on July 10, 1997. The NAFTA Secretariat has assigned Case Number USA-97-1904-06 to this request.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Holbein, United States

James R. Holbein, United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat, Suite 2061, 14th and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 482– 5438.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Chapter** 19 of the North American Free-Trade Agreement ("Agreement") establishes a mechanism to replace domestic judicial review of final determinations in antidumping and countervailing duty cases involving imports from a NAFTA country with review by independent binational panels. When a Request for Panel Review is filed, a panel is established to act in place of national courts to review expeditiously the final determination to determine whether it conforms with the antidumping or countervailing duty law of the country that made the determination.

Under Article 1904 of the Agreement, which came into force on January 1, 1994, the Government of the United States, the Government of Canada and the Government of Mexico established Rules of Procedure for Article 1904 Binational Panel Reviews ("Rules"). These Rules were published in the **Federal Register** on February 23, 1994 (59 FR 8686).

A first Request for Panel Review was filed with the U.S. Section of the NAFTA Secretariat, pursuant to Article 1904 of the Agreement, on August 8, 1997, requesting panel review of the final administrative review described above.

The Rules provide that:

(a) a Party or interested person may challenge the final determination in whole or in part by filing a Complaint in accordance with Rule 39 within 30 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Complaint is September 8, 1997);

(b) a Party, investigating authority or interested person that does not file a Complaint but that intends to appear in support of any reviewable portion of the final determination may participate in the panel review by filing a Notice of Appearance in accordance with Rule 40 within 45 days after the filing of the first Request for Panel Review (the deadline for filing a Notice of Appearance is September 22, 1997); and

(c) the panel review shall be limited to the allegations of error of fact or law, including the jurisdiction of the investigating authority, that are set out in the Complaints filed in the panel review and the procedural and substantive defenses raised in the panel

review.

Dated: August 12, 1997

#### James R. Holbein,

United States Secretary, NAFTA Secretariat. [FR Doc. 97–21845 Filed 8–18–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–GT–M

# **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Office of the Secretary

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title and OMB Number: Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement Subparts 227.71, Rights in Technical Data, and 227.72, Rights in Computer Software and Computer Software Documentation, and related clauses at 252.227; OMB Number 0704–0369.

Type of Request: Extension. Number of Respondents: 1,719,472. Responses Per Respondent: 6 (approximately).

Annual Responses: 10,560,868. Average Burden Per Response: 32 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 5,566,939. Needs and Uses: This requirement provides for the collection of necessary