Federal share of the costs of the program supported by the grant, the Corporation may consider in-kind contributions (including facilities, equipment, and services) made to plan and carry out the service opportunity. Grants under this program constitute Federal assistance and therefore may not be used primarily to inhibit or advance religion in a material way.

Eligible Applicants

By law, any entity otherwise eligible for assistance under the national service laws shall be eligible to receive a grant under this announcement. The applicable laws include the National and Community Service Act of 1990, as amended, and the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, as amended.

Eligible applicants include, but are not limited to: nonprofit organizations, State Commissions, state and local governments, institutions of higher education, local education agencies, educational institutions, private organizations that intend to utilize volunteers in carrying out the purposes of this program, and foundations.

The Corporation especially invites applications from organizations with the experience and commitment to fostering service on Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, including applicable State Martin Luther King, Jr. Commissions, local education agencies, faith-based partnerships, Volunteer Centers of the Points of Light Foundation, and United Ways and other community-based agencies.

Grant recipients from the 1997 Martin Luther King, Jr., Day of Service Initiative will be eligible only if in compliance with the terms of that grant award.

Pursuant to the Lobbying Disclosure Act of 1995, an organization described in section 501(c)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, 26 U.S.C. 501(c)(4), which engages in lobbying activities, is not eligible.

Overview of Application Requirements

To be considered for funding applicants should submit the following in the required format:

- 1. An Application for Federal Assistance, Standard Form 424.
- 2. A Project Narrative in the prescribed format describing:
 - a. Clearly-defined service activities being planned in observance of Martin Luther King, Jr. Day, some of which must take place on the legal Federal holiday (January 19, 1998), but which may extend for the budget period (November 1, 1997 through June 30, 1998).
 - b. The partnerships in the local community that are being engaged

- in support of the day and/or a description of sustained service activities over a period of time.
- c. The organization's background and capacity to carry out this program.
- d. The proposed staffing of the activity.
- 3. A Budget Form.
- 4. A Budget Narrative.
- A signed Certification and Assurances form relating to conditions attendant to the receipt of federal funding.
- 6. Three complete copies (one original and two copies) of the application.

Narrative

The narrative portion of the application may be no longer than 15 single-sided pages and must: (1) Be typed double-spaced in font no smaller than 12 point on 8½ by 11 inch paper; (2) have one inch margins at the top, bottom, left, and right; and (3) have each page of the narrative numbered. All applications must be received by 5:00 p.m. Eastern Daylight Time, September 30, 1997 at the following address: MLK Day of Service, Corporation for National Service, 1201 New York Avenue, NW, 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20525

To ensure fairness to all applicants, the Corporation reserves the right to take remedial action, up to and including disqualification, in the event an application fails to comply with the requirements relating to page limits, line spacing, font size, and application deadlines.

Budget

Budget information should show projected costs starting no earlier than November 1, 1997, and extending no later than June 30, 1998. Proposed start and end dates must be shown in section 13 of the Application for Federal Assistance, Standard Form 424. See the attached instructions for budget in the Standard Form 424 for further guidance in completing the Budget Form and Budget Narrative.

Selection Process and Criteria

The applications will be reviewed initially to confirm that the applicant is an eligible recipient and to ensure that the application contains the information required. The Corporation will assess applications based on their responsiveness to the objectives included in this announcement based on the following criteria listed below (in descending order of importance):

1. Quality. The proposal must demonstrate the applicant's ability to: meet community needs through meaningful service activities, establish strong community partnerships, fulfill the goals of Martin Luther King Jr.'s teaching with preference given to projects that also serve young people.

2. Organizational Capacity. The application must demonstrate the organization's ability to carry out the activities described in the proposal, including the use of high quality staff.

3. *Cost.* The applicant must demonstrate how this small grant will be used, including the sources and uses of matching support.

Awards

The Corporation anticipates making awards under this announcement no later than November 15, 1997.

Dated: August 12, 1997.

Stewart Davis,

Acting General Counsel, Corporation for National and Community Service.

[FR Doc. 97–21734 Filed 8–15–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6050–28–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Finding of No Significant Impact for the Defense Logistics Agency Late Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Actions

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) prepared a programmatic environmental assessment pursuant to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508) for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.) which evaluated the potential environmental and socioeconomic effects associated with realigning designated missions and personnel to enduring DLA activities pursuant to recommendations by the BRAC Commission and related discretionary action plans. The environmental assessment resulted in a finding of no significant environmental or socioeconomic impact.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 18, 1997. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Daniel W. McGinty, Staff Director, Congressional and Public Affairs, Defense Logistics Agency, 8725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 2533, ATTN: CAAR, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060–6220, (703) 767–6222.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In summary, the DLA proposed action, identified as the preferred alternative, is to:

• Relocate the Defense Contract Management District West to a purchased office building in the Los Angeles/Long Beach area. Relocate the Defense Contract Management Area Office Detroit, Detroit Arsenal, MI, to existing facilities on the realigned Detroit Arsenal. Relocate the Defense Contract Management Area Office Dayton, Gentile, Air Force Station (AFS), OH, to Wright Patterson Air Force Base (AFB), Dayton, OH. Relocate the Defense Contract Management Area Office Stratford, Stratford Army Engine Plant, CT, to GSA lease facilities in the Stratford, CT, area.

 Relocate the Defense Distribution Depot missions that remain after the disestablishment of the Defense Distribution Depot Letterkenny, PA (DDLP) (with the exception of a DLA satellite operation at Letterkenny Army Depot to support the continuing missile maintenance mission); the realignment of Defense Distribution Depot Columbus, OH (DDCO); and closure of Defense Distribution Depot Memphis, TN (DDMT), Defense Distribution Depot Ogden, UT (DDOU) and Defense Distribution Depot McClellan, CA (DDMC), and the redistribution of the remaining mission and materials to the enduring Defense Distribution Depots. Relocate the specified mission from DDLP and discretionary mission from Defense Distribution Depot Red River, TX (DDRT) to the Defense Distribution Depot Anniston, AL (DDAA). Privatize and ultimately disestablish the Defense Distribution Depot San Antonio, TX (DDST). Relocate the Deployable Medical Systems (DEPMEDS) from DDOU to Hill AFB, Ogden, UT.

 Reorganize the Inventory Control Points (ICPs) from five to three (except for the Defense Fuel Supply Center) into the Defense Supply Centers, Columbus, OH (DSCC), Philadelphia, PA (DSCP) and Richmond, VA (DSCR). Relocate the mission of the Defense Electronics Supply Center, Gentile AFS, Dayton, OH, to the DSCC, disestablishing the Defense Industrial Supply Center, Philadelphia, (DISC) and redistribute the residual mission among the enduring Defense Supply Centers. Relocate the Defense Personnel Support Center (to become DSCP) to the Naval Aviation Supply Office (Naval Inventory Control Point, Philadelphia).

• Enclave Defense National Stockpile (DNSC) material at Letterkenny Army Depot, Chambersburg, PA, Seneca Army Depot, Romulus, NY, and Sierra Army Depot, Herlong, CA. Sell strategic materials and ores and return the following sites to the permitting military service at Savanna Army Depot, Savanna, IL, DDMT, and Naval Surface Warfare Center, Louisville, KY. If, in the future, it were determined that materials

must be relocated, then site-specific NEPA analysis, if appropriate, would be conducted.

- Relocate the Headquarters, Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service (DRMS) organizations located at closing installations. Specifically, relocate the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service-International Sales Office at DDMT to the Headquarters, DRMS, Battle Creek, MI, and the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service-Operations West at DDOU to Hill AFB, UT.
- · Close 11 Defense Reutilization and Marketing Offices (DRMOs) located at closing and realigning military installations and relocate any residual mission to the enduring DRMOs. Surplus and hazardous property would be disposed by reutilization, transfer, donation, sale, or ultimate disposal (service contract) prior to the DRMO relocation or disestablishment. Relocate DRMO San Antonio, Kelly AFB, to new facilities at Brooks AFB, TX, or lease back current facilities from the Kelly AFB Local Redevelopment Authority (KLRA)—whichever is determined to be the most operationally efficient and cost effective. Site-specific NEPA analysis to determine potential environmental and socioeconomic effects would be conducted, if appropriate, by the U.S. Air Force.
- Relocate the operational sites of the Defense Systems Design Center (DSDC) located at closing installations. Specifically, relocate DSDC–H from DDOU to Hill AFB, DSDC–NJ, from DDMT to the Federal Center Building, Battle Creek, MI, and DSDC–SMA from DDLP, Chambersburg, PA, to the Defense Distribution Depot, Susquehanna, PA.

Alternatives considered included the proposed action, which was the preferred alternative, and the no action alternative. No other alternative was considered feasible because it would entail modernization or renovation of existing facilities, leasing of off-base facilities, and construction of new facilities beyond that determined essential to meet minimum requirements to accommodate relocating activities. Criteria used in the decisionmaking process included adhering to the approved BRAC Commission recommendations, maintaining effective and efficient customer support by locating support as close as possible to customers, and maximizing use of existing facilities. Any other alternative would require excessive facility construction and/or modifications, diminish customer support, and increase costs to conduct business.

Based upon the EIFS model output, the 1,620 personnel relocating to the Defense Construction Supply Center, Columbus, OH, from Gentile AFS, would not create a significant socioeconomic effect in the region of influence. The population increase in Columbus, OH, would be less than 0.12 percent for that region of influence. Additionally, employment would be created in the region of influence and a slight increase in the support infrastructure within the region would occur (e.g., increases in traffic and school populations).

Site-specific NEPA analysis to determine the potential environmental and socioeconomic effects beyond the programmatic level will be conducted, if appropriate, for the following actions: Privatizing the Defense Distribution Depot McClellan, CA (DDMC) and Defense Distribution Depot San Antonio, TX (DDST); relocating the Deployable Medical Systems (DEPMEDS) from DDOU to Hill AFB, Ogden, UT; temporary and permanent construction of a hazardous materials storage warehouse at the Defense Distribution Depot San Joaquin, CA (Tracy site); and the construction of a new facility at Brooks AFB or lease back of the current facilities from the KLRA for the DRMO located at Kelly AFB, TX. If, in the future, it were determined that DNSC materials must be relocated, then site-specific NEPA analysis, if appropriate, would be conducted.

Separate site-specific NEPA analyses to determine the potential environmental and socioeconomic effects beyond the programmatic level have been conducted for the following actions: relocation of the Defense **Electronics Supply Center mission to** the Defense Supply Center, Columbus, OH (DSCC), for which an environmental assessment (EA) for the construction of the operational facility was conducted and a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) signed on June 27, 1991; conversion of the Defense Distribution Depot Columbus, OH (DDCO) to a storage site for slow moving/war reserve materiel, a project that would be categorically excluded from analysis in accordance with DLAR 1000.22 completion of an AF Form 813 by the Air Force on July 27, 1994, which documented that the Air Force approved a categorical exclusion from a full EA for activities relocating from Gentile AFS to Wright Patterson AFB; and completion of an EA and FONSI by the Navy on November 22, 1996 associated with the relocation of the **Defense Personnel Support Center** (DPSC) to the Naval Aviation Supply Office (Naval Inventory Control Point,

Philadelphia, PA) and the consolidation of the Defense Industrial Supply Center with DPSC.

The military services exercising their land-owning responsibilities will conduct the NEPA analysis for the disposal and reuse of DLA sites.

For the other actions, the environmental assessment showed that implementing the proposed action would cause minimal or no adverse environmental and socioeconomic effects. A positive effect would be realized through a reduction in DLA's consumption of resources and thereby lessen negative environmental effects associated with routine support of Armed Forces activities. Analysis of the consequences of the proposed action does not indicate any environmental impact mitigation measures required or optional at the program level. Accordingly, an environmental impact statement will not be prepared.

A public comment period regarding the environmental assessment will begin at the time of publication of this notice and will conclude 30 days following. Copies of the environmental assessment are available for inspection at the address listed above. Interested parties may contact the DLA Public Affairs Office at (703) 767–6200.

Dated: August 12, 1997.

Jan B. Reitman,

Staff Director (Environmental and Safety Policy).

[FR Doc. 97–21799 Filed 8–15–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3620–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

National Assessment Governing Board; Meeting

AGENCY: National Assessment Governing Board, Education. **ACTION:** Notice of teleconference meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming teleconference meeting of the Reporting and Dissemination Committee of the National Assessment Governing Board. This notice also describes the functions of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under Section 10 (a) (2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

DATES: August 27, 1997.

TIME: 3:00 p.m. (et).

LOCATION: National Assessment Governing Board, Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street, NW, Washington, D.C., 20002–4233, Telephone: (202) 357–6938.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mary Ann Wilmer, Operations Officer, National Assessment Governing Board, Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20002–4233, Telephone: (202) 357–6938.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Assessment Governing Board is established under section 412 of the National Education Statistics Act of 1994 (Title IV of the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994), (Pub. L. 103–382):

The Board is established to formulate policy guidelines for the National Assessment of Educational Progress. The Board is responsible for selecting subject areas to be assessed, developing assessment objectives, identifying appropriate achievement goals for each grade and subject tested, and establishing standards and procedures for interstate and national comparisons.

On August 27, 1997 between the hours of 3:00–4:30 P.M. the Reporting and Dissemination Committee of the National Assessment Governing Board will hold a teleconference meeting. The purpose of this meeting is to consider plans for release of the Board's report on the 1996 NAEP Science Achievement Board. There will be a brief presentation on the contents and format of the report, followed by determination of a release date, release plan, and dissemination activities. Because this is a teleconference meeting, facilities will be provided so the public will have access to the Committee's deliberations.

Records are kept of all Board proceedings and are available for public inspection at the U.S. Department of Education, National Assessment Governing Board, Suite 825, 800 North Capitol Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

Roy Truby,

Executive Director, National Assessment Governing Board.

[FR Doc. 97-21781 Filed 8-15-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board; Meeting

AGENCY: National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board; Education. **ACTION:** Notice of workshop and meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming workshop and meeting of the National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board. This notice also describes the functions of the

Board. Notice of this meeting is required under section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This document is intended to notify the public of their opportunity to attend both of these events.

DATES: Workshop, September 25, 1997; meeting, September 26, 1997.

TIME: Workshop, 8:30 a.m. to 4 p.m.; meeting, 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

LOCATION: Room 100, 80 F St., N.W., Washington, DC 20208–7564.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Thelma Leenhouts, Designated Federal Official, National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board, 80 F St., N.W., Washington, DC 20208–7564. Telephone: (202) 219–2065; fax: (202) 219–1528; e-mail; Thelma __ Leenhouts@ed.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board is authorized by section 921 of the Educational Research, Development, Dissemination, and Improvement Act of 1994. The Board works collaboratively with the Assistant Secretary for the Office of Educational Research and Improvement to forge a national consensus with respect to a long-term agenda for educational research, development, and dissemination, and to provide advice and assistance to the Assistant Secretary in administering the duties of the Office.

The Board will conduct a workshop on September 25 consisting of panels and group discussions of a redesign of the educational research, development and dissemination system. On September 26, the Board will hold its quarterly meeting. The agenda will include a discussion of the 1999 Research Priorities Plan, a presentation on the findings of the Third International Mathematics and Science Study, and final review prior to publication for public comment of the proposed standards for the evaluation of performance of recipients of grants, contracts and cooperative agreements. A final agenda will be available from the Board's office on September 18.

Records are kept of all Board proceedings and are available for public inspection at the office of the National Educational Research Policy and Priorities Board, 80 F Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20208–7564.

Dated: August 13, 1997.

Eve M. Bither,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 97–21802 Filed 8–15–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–M