

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

Comment date: August 18, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation

[Docket No. ER97-3744-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1997, Central Hudson Gas and Electric Corporation (CHG&E), tendered for filing pursuant to Section 35.12 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Regulations in 18 CFR a Service Agreement between CHG&E and ProMark Energy. The terms and conditions of service under this Agreement are made pursuant to CHG&E's FERC Electric Rate Schedule, Original Volume 1 (Power Sales Tariff) accepted by the Commission in Docket No. ER97-890-000. CHG&E also has requested waiver of the 60-day notice provision pursuant to 18 CFR 35.11.

A copy of this filing has been served on the Public Service Commission of the State of New York.

Comment date: August 18, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. EnergyEXPRESS, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-3745-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1997, EnergyEXPRESS, Inc. (EnergyEXPRESS), petitioned the Commission for acceptance of EnergyEXPRESS Rate Schedule FERC No. 1; the granting of certain blanket approvals, including the authority to sell electricity at market-based rates; and the waiver of certain Commission regulations.

EnergyEXPRESS intends to engage in wholesale electric power and energy purchases and sales as a marketer. EnergyEXPRESS is not in the business of generating or transmitting electric power. EnergyEXPRESS is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Granite State Gas Transmission Inc., an interstate gas transmission company, which, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bay State Gas Company.

Comment date: August 18, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-3746-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1997, Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, Inc., Entergy

Mississippi, Inc., and Entergy New Orleans, Inc. (collectively, the Entergy Operating Companies), tendered for filing a Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service Agreement between Entergy Services, as agent for the Entergy Operating Companies, and Tenaska Power Services Co.

Comment date: August 18, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-3747-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1997, Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, Inc., Entergy Mississippi, Inc., and Entergy New Orleans, Inc. (collectively, the Entergy Operating Companies), tendered for filing a Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service Agreement between Entergy Services, as agent for the Entergy Operating Companies, and Duke Energy Power Services, Inc.

Comment date: August 18, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Entergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-3748-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1997, Entergy Services, Inc. (Entergy Services), on behalf of Entergy Arkansas, Inc., Entergy Gulf States, Inc., Entergy Louisiana, Inc., Entergy Mississippi, Inc., and Entergy New Orleans, Inc. (collectively, the Entergy Operating Companies), tendered for filing a Non-Firm Point-To-Point Transmission Service Agreement between Entergy Services, as agent for the Entergy Operating Companies, and USGen Power Services, L.P.

Comment date: August 18, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

17. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER97-3749-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1997, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL), tendered for filing proposed service agreements with Constellation Power Source, Inc. For Non-Firm transmission service under FPL's Open Access Transmission Tariff.

FPL requests that the proposed service agreements be permitted to become effective on August 1, 1997.

FPL states that this filing is in accordance with Part 35 of the Commission's regulations.

Comment date: August 18, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

18. Additional Signatories to PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. Operating Agreement

[Docket No. ER97-3750-000]

Take notice that on July 17, 1997, the PJM Interconnection, L.L.C. (PJM) filed, on behalf of the Members of the L.L.C., membership applications of Easton Utilities Commission, and UGI Utilities, Inc. PJM requests an effective date of July 15, 1997.

Comment date: August 18, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph:

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-21006 Filed 8-7-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5872-3]

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request; Transportation Partners Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit the following proposed Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): Information Collection Request for EPA's Transportation Partners Program, EPA ICR No. 1818.01. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed

information collection as described below.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before October 7, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties may submit written comments to the Energy and Transportation Sectors Division, Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW. (Mail Code 2126), Washington, DC 20460. Interested persons may obtain a copy of the draft ICR without charge by contacting Ms. Catherine Preston (see below).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Catherine Preston of the Energy and Transportation Sectors Division, Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation, phone number: 202-260-5447, facsimile number: 202-260-0512, e-mail address: preston.catherine@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Affected Entities: Entities potentially affected by this action may include entities from the following Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) codes: 4111 (local and suburban transit), 4119 (local passenger transportation, not elsewhere classified), 8611 (business associations), 8641 (civic, social, and fraternal organizations), 9511 (air and water resource and solid waste management), 9621 (regulation or administration of transportation programs), and other transportation-related organizations. Additionally, EPA expects to enroll private businesses in the Transportation Partners program. However, as the Agency plans to focus private business recruiting efforts on large companies, EPA expects that new members will come from a wide range of nonspecific SIC codes.

Title: Information Collection Request for EPA's Transportation Partners Program, EPA ICR No. 1818.01.

Abstract: The Transportation Partners program is a new, cooperative, voluntary program that seeks to reduce the growth of vehicle miles traveled (VMT) through the adoption of measures that provide or promote the use of non-single occupancy vehicle transportation choices for citizens. As part of the Climate Change Action Plan, Transportation Partners will play an important role in the nation's commitment to reduce U.S. greenhouse gas emissions.

The Transportation Partners program is designed to work around two types of members: Principal Partners and Project Partners. Principal Partners have substantive areas of expertise and will provide direct assistance to VMT-reducing projects across the country.

Project Partners, on the other hand, administer the individual programs and actions designed to reduce VMT. Local governments, regional governments, local non-governmental organizations, and private businesses may become Project Partners.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The various information collection activities of the Transportation Partners program are described below.

As voluntary participants in the Transportation Partners program, Project Partners may be asked to develop and submit to a Principal Partner or to EPA an agreement that provides general contact information and organizational data. If an agreement is developed, the Project Partner will be asked to perform the following activities: receive and review Project Partner agreement informational materials; complete the Project Partner agreement; and sign and submit the agreement to a Principal Partner or to EPA.

Project Partners also may be asked to complete an annual Partner Profile that requests general project information. Project-related information requested includes background data about the sponsoring entity, a description of any institutional changes resulting from the project, a description (and, to the extent possible, quantification) of project effects on travel, other project effects, lessons learned, and comments regarding program participation and technical assistance. As EPA may request additional information from the Project Partners about their projects, organizations may be requested to periodically submit supplementary information to the Agency.

In addition, EPA sponsors the Way to Go! Awards, which honor local innovators who are enhancing their communities and the environment through transportation improvements. Project Partners will receive an application for the Way to Go! awards. Project Partners are not required to fill out these applications; however, some Project Partners may choose to complete and submit the application to EPA. The application asks for the following information: the name and focus of the project; a description of project management; a description of the end user(s) of the project; and a project summary and narrative.

Principal Partners have a number of responsibilities. First, they will provide EPA with contact lists of prospective Project Partners. Second, they will

disseminate information to prospective partners. Third, Principal Partners will submit notifications to EPA when they sign new Project Partners. Fourth, they will participate in a monthly conference call with EPA to update the Agency of new developments. Fifth, Principal Partners will review, sign, and forward Project Partner agreements to EPA. Sixth, Principal Partners will assist EPA in reviewing and compiling Partner Profiles and supplemental information from Project Partners. Seventh, Principal Partners will respond to any ad hoc informational requests from the Agency. Finally, one Principal Partner will send out "Way to Go!" award applications to program contacts.

Participation in the Transportation Partners program is voluntary. If requested, EPA will treat information as confidential business information and will not make the partner-specific information collected under the program available to the general public, unless the partner's approval is obtained. An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR Chapter 15.

EPA would like to solicit comments to:

- (i) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Transportation Partners program, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (ii) Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (iii) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

- (iv) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Burden Statement: EPA estimates the total annual burden to respondents to be approximately 8,371 hours, at an annual cost of \$573,304, or 25,112 hours over three years at a cost of \$1,719,912. This corresponds to an average annual reporting burden of 263.9 hours and an average annual recordkeeping burden of 7.3 hours for each Principal Partner in the program. In addition, EPA estimates an average annual reporting burden of 10.7 hours and an average annual

recordkeeping burden of one hour for each Project Partner in the program. EPA estimates that an average of 10 Principal Partners will respond to Agency information collection activities each year; the average number of Project Partner respondents each year is estimated to vary from 121 to 485 based on the specific information being requested. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Dated: July 17, 1997.

Michael Shelby,

Director, Energy and Transportation Sectors Division, Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc. 97-20977 Filed 8-7-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5482-9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements filed July 28, 1997 through August 1, 1997, pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970295, Draft EIS, BLM, WY, Jonah Field II Natural Gas Development Project, Exploration, Development and Production, Applications for Permit to Drill, Right-of-Way Grant, COE Section 404 Permit and NPDES Permit, Pinedale Resource Area and Green River Resource Area, Rock Spring District, Sublette County, WY, Due: September 22, 1997, Contact: Arlan Hiner (307) 352-0206.

EIS No. 970296, Draft EIS, BLM, HI, Ala Kahakai "Trail By the Sea" National Trail Study, Implementation, Hawaii Island, Hawaii County, HI, Due:

October 7, 1997, Contact: Meredith Kaplan (415) 427-1438.

EIS No. 970297, Draft EIS, NRCS, NB, KS, Turkey Creek Watershed Plan, Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention, Johnson, Pawnee Counties, NB and Marshall and Nemaha Counties, KS, Due: September 22, 1997, Contact: Craig R. Derickson (402) 437-4112.

EIS No. 970298, Draft EIS, INS, CA, Service Processing Center (SPC) for Detainees, Construction and Operation, Possible Sites, Stockton and Tracy Sites, San Joaquin Counties, CA, Due: September 22, 1997, Contact: William A. Kopitz (202) 307-1877.

EIS No. 970299, Final EIS, FHW, AL, Birmingham Northern Beltline Project, Construction, I-59/20 west to I-59 northeast in the City of Birmingham, Funding and Possible COE Section 404 Permit, Jefferson County, AL, Due: September 8, 1997, Contact: Joe D. Wilkerson (334) 223-7370.

EIS No. 970300, Final EIS, DOE, NV, AZ, NM, Navajo Transmission Project (NTP), Construction, Operation and Maintenance, Right-of-Way Grants, EPA NPDES, COE, FAA, FWS and FHW Permits Issuance, NV, NM and AZ, Due: September 8, 1997, Contact: Nick Chevance (303) 275-1713.

EIS No. 970301, Final EIS, UAF, TX, Reese Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Lubbock and Terry Counties, TX, Due: September 30, 1997, Contact: Robert Lopez (210) 536-6545.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 970280, Final EIS, FRC, MA, NH, ME, Portland Natural Gas Transmission System (PNGTS)/Maritimes Phase I Joint Facilities Project, NPDES Permit, COE Section 10 and 404 Permits, Dracut, MA; Wells, ME and NH, Due: August 25, 1997, Contact: Paul McKee (202) 208-1088. Published FR 07-25-97 Correction to Title.

Dated: August 5, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,
Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97-21001 Filed 8-7-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5483-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared July 14, 1997 through July 18, 1997, pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 4, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-COE-K38006-AZ Rating EC2, Tucson Drainage Area Arizona, Implementation, Reduce Flooding, City of Tucson, Pima County, AZ.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding a lack of discussion on means to avoid or reduce impacts to waters of the U.S., indirect and cumulative impacts to waters of the U.S., the potential need for an air quality conformity determination for carbon monoxide, and the need to address the Executive Order on Environmental Justice.

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-K61105-CA Lake of the Sky Interpretive Center, Site Selection with the Sixty-Four Acres Tract, Tahoe City, Lake Tahoe, Placer County, CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-COE-C36074-NJ Townsends Inlet to Cape May Inlet Feasibility Study, New Jersey Shore Protection Study, Storm Damage Reduction and Ecosystem Restoration, within the Communities of Avalon, Stone Harbor and North Wildwood, Cape May County, NJ.

Summary: EPA reviewed the Final EIS and concluded that the project would not result in significant adverse environmental impacts.

ERP No. F-DOA-E39040-KY City of Albany's Cagle Water Expansion Project, To expand its Potable Water Treatment Plant, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Clinton and Wayne Counties, KY.

Summary: EPA's review concluded that the project will not result in