## **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

#### **Forest Service**

Supplement for Hamm-Hasloe Reforestation; Stanislaus National Forest, Groveland Ranger District; Notice of Intent To Prepare a Supplement to an Environmental Impact Statement

The Department of Agriculture, Forest Service will prepare a supplement to the Hamm-Hasloe environmental impact statement (EIS) for a proposal to reforest the Hamm-Hasloe Fire Area which was burned in the Stanislaus Complex Fires of 1987. An estimated 45 units, approximately 1,500 acres, (the original project area totals 15,045 acres) will be analyzed due to changing conditions on the ground since the original decision was made.

The project area is located in both Tuolumne and Mariposa Counties in the following townships, ranges and sections (Mount Diablo Meridian): Portions of sections 25, 26, T.1S., R.16E.. Portions of sections 7, 16, 17, 21, 26–28, 34–36, T.1S., R.17E.. Portions of sections 1, 3–5, 10–12, 14, 22–26, 32, 34, 35, T.2S., R.17E.. Portions of sections 5–8, 17, 18, 30, T.2S., R.18E.. Portions of sections 1, 5, T.3S., R.17E..

This analysis and referenced document will follow the direction contained in the Record of Decision for the Final Environmental Impact Statement for Vegetation Management for Reforestation in Region Five, California which was signed February 13, 1989 by Regional Forester Paul Barker. The selected alternative in this Record of Decision calls for local management flexibility in selecting the appropriate vegetation management methods for reforestation. The actions proposed in the Hamm-Hasloe Reforestation EIS Supplement will also be consistent with the Stanislaus National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan.

Units will be evaluated for site preparation prior to tree planting. Only these treatments will be modified under this supplement, subsequent tree planting and release treatments are already covered in the existing EIS and will still be implemented as analyzed under that document. Treatments analyzed will include; mechanical and hand treatments, aerial and ground application of herbicides, and control burning. Alternatives developed could include combinations of treatments as well as no action. Most of the new treatment prescriptions will involve removal of brush which is now too tall to spray by hand.

Federal, State, and local agencies, and interested individuals or groups are invited to participate in the scoping process. Letters will be sent to all individuals and groups who received a copy of the original EIS and expressed interest and concerns during the original project scoping. No scoping meetings are planned for this project. This process will include:

- Identification of potential issues or concerns.
- 2. Identification of issues to be analyzed in depth.
- 3. Elimination of issues which have been covered by a previous environmental review.
- Determination of potential cooperating agencies and assignment of responsibilities.

Following the environmental analysis the Supplement is expected to be made available for public review by October 1997. The Supplement and Record of Decision is estimated to be completed by November 1997.

The comment period on the draft supplement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the **Federal Register**. It is very important that those interested in the management of the Hamm-Hasloe area participate at that time. To be the most helpful, comments should be as specific as possible and may address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives discussed (see The Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3). In addition, Federal court decisions have established that reviewers must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewers' position and contentions, Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978), and that environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after completion of the final document. Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). The reason for this is to ensure that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when they can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final document.

Glenn Gottschall, Acting Forest Supervisor, Stanislaus National Forest, Sonora, California is the responsible official.

Written comments and suggestions concerning this project or analysis

should be addressed to Calvin Bird, Groveland District Ranger, 24545 Highway 120, Groveland, CA, 95321. Additional information can be obtained through John Schmechel, District Silviculturist, at the above address.

Dated: July 30, 1997.

## David M. Freeland,

Acting Forest Supervisor.
[FR Doc. 97–20931 Filed 8–7–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

# Natural Resources Conservation Service

Notice of Proposed Change to the Natural Resources Conservation Service's National Handbook of Conservation Practices

**AGENCY:** Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), U.S. Department of Agriculture, New York State Office.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of proposed changes in the NRCS National Handbook of Conservation Practices, Section IV of the New York State NRCS Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG) for review and comment.

SUMMARY: It is the intention of NRCS to issue a series of new conservation practice standards in its National Handbook of Conservation Practices. These new standards include: Waste Management System (NY312), Roof Runoff Management System (NY558), Manure Field Piles (NY193), and Riparian Forest Buffer (NY391).

DATES: Comments will be received until

**DATES:** Comments will be received until September 8, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Inquire in writing to Richard D. Swenson, State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), 441 S. Salina Street, Fifth Floor, Suite 354, Syracuse, New York, 13202–2450.

Copies of these standards are

available from the above individual. **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section** 343 of the Federal Agricultural Improvement and Reform Act of 1996 states that revisions made after enactment of the law to NRCS State technical Guides used to carry out highly erodible land and wetland provisions of the law shall be made available for public review and comment. For the next 30 days the NRCS will receive comments relative to the proposed changes. Following that period a determination will be made by the NRCS regarding disposition of those comments and a final determination of change will be made.

Dated: July 31, 1997.

### Richard D. Swenson,

State Conservationist, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Syracuse, NY. [FR Doc. 97–20930 Filed 8–7–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–16–M

## COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Proposed Collections and Comment Request for Surveys in the Benefit/ Cost Analysis of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Program

**AGENCY:** Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled.

**ACTION:** Notice of request for public comment on proposed collections of information.

**SUMMARY: The Committee for Purchase** from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled (the Committee) is inviting public comment on proposed collection of information requests to be submitted for approval by Office of Management and Budget (OMB) as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Committee is seeking public comment on three surveys being developed for the comprehensive Benefit/Cost Analysis of the JWOD Program. One request is for renewal with revisions of a survey for follow-up interviews with individuals who are blind or have other severe disabilities employed on contracts authorized under the Javits-Wagner-O'Day (JWOD) Act. The other request is for approval of two related surveys of state and local private nonprofit agencies that participate in the JWOD Program or are affiliated with National Industries for the Blind (NIB) or NISH. **DATES:** Submit comments on or before October 7, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and requests for copies of the proposed information collection instruments should be submitted to Sheryl Kennerly, Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 403, Arlington, VA 22202. Comments and requests may be submitted by electronic mail at: skennerl@jwod.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sheryl Kennerly, Committee for Purchase from People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 403, Arlington, VA 22202, phone: 703–603–7740, fax: 703–412–7113. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The JWOD Act prescribes that: "The Committee shall make a continuing study and evaluation of its activities under this Act for the purpose of assuring effective and efficient administration of this Act. The Committee may study (on its own or in cooperation with other public or nonprofit private agencies) (1) problems related to the employment of the blind and of other severely handicapped individuals \* \* \*" (41 U.S.C. 47(e)).

As part of the effort to evaluate its activities and study the employment of individuals who are blind or severely disabled, the Committee has initiated a comprehensive analysis of benefits and costs of the JWOD Program. The survey instruments included in the requests for OMB approval are required to collect data for determining the benefits and costs of the JWOD Program to individuals who are blind or have other severe disabilities, to the nonprofit agencies that employ these individuals, and to society in general, particularly taxpayers.

The Committee specifically seeks public comment on these instruments to: (1) evaluate whether the proposed collections of information are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Committee; (2) evaluate the accuracy of the estimate of burden for the proposed collections of information; (3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and, (4) minimize the burden of the collections of information on those who are to respond. Comments should reference the title of the survey to which they apply.

*Title:* JWOD Employee Follow-Up Survey.

*Type of Review:* Reinstatement with revisions.

Frequency: One-time.

Affected Public: Individuals who are blind or severely disabled and who participated in the baseline surveys for this study.

Burden Estimate: Responses: 611 Total Burden Hours: 391 hours Average Burden per respondent: 38 minutes

Abstract: The burden estimate above is based on actual use of the previously approved survey in baseline interviews with the same individuals who will participate in interviews using the revised follow-up survey. This estimate includes the average length of interviews in the baseline stage. Actual interview times vary between individuals depending on the type of disability. Individuals who responded to the baseline interviews have been

informed of the intent and purpose of this survey and agreed to participate. The follow-up survey is being revised based on comments and issues identified during the baseline interviews.

*Title:* Survey of JWOD Participating Agencies.

Type of Review: New Collection. Frequency: One-time.

Affected Public: State and local nonprofit agencies (NPAs) that have been authorized to participate in the JWOD Program.

Burden Estimate: Responses: 624

Burden Hours: 624 Hours.

The Committee is particularly interested in comments from the affected public on the accuracy of burden hours estimate and the fully-loaded labor costs per hour for personnel who would be responding to this survey.

Abstract: JWOD goods and services are provided through State and local nonprofit agencies (NPAs) that employ individuals who are blind or have other severe disabilities. In addition to the benefits that accrue to individuals employed on JWOD contracts, the study methodology identifies potential impacts on the NPAs that are measured by this survey: (1) increased or reduced non-JWOD sales; (2) induced or suppressed charitable donations; and, (3) shortfall or excess of JWOD product or service provision costs. Data will be requested for the year before the NPA began participating in the program and for the following five years for a before/ after analysis.

*Title:* Survey of Other Agencies Associated With National Industries for the Blind (NIB) or NISH.

*Type of Review:* New collection. *Frequency:* One-time.

Affected Public: State and local nonprofit agencies (NPA) that are associated with NIB or NISH, for the purpose of participating in the JWOD Program, but have not yet received authorization to provide a product or service under the JWOD Program.

Burden Estimate: Responses: 300 Burden Hours: 300 Hours.

The Committee is particularly interested in comments from the affected public on the accuracy of burden hours estimate and the fully-loaded labor costs per hour for personnel responding to this survey.

Abstract: NPAs that are interested in participating in the JWOD Program must be associated with one of the central nonprofit agencies, NIB or NISH, designated by the Committee to