

Note 1: The above outflow/safety valves are referenced in AlliedSignal Aerospace Service Bulletin 103570-21-4012, Revision 1, dated May 30, 1995; Service Bulletin 103648-21-4022, Revision 1, dated May 30, 1995; and Service Bulletin 103598-21-4024, Revision 1, dated May 30, 1995. In addition, Beechcraft Service Bulletin 2484, Revision 1, dated October, 1995, references the AlliedSignal service bulletins.

Note 2: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (d) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent outflow/safety valve cracking and consequent failure, which could result in rapid decompression of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) For the airplanes referenced in the "Airplane Models and Serial Numbers That Are Equipped with Allied Signal Outflow Valves" table that is included in the "Applicability" section of this AD: Within the next 4 months after the effective date of this AD, replace (with a new or serviceable valve) any outflow/safety valve that does not have one of the following:

(1) The valve identification plate MOD RECORD stamped "PCA" (Poppet Change Accomplished); or

(2) A valve with an inked ATD Quality Assurance "Functional Test (FT)" stamp that is dated June 1992, or later.

(b) For all airplanes: As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install on any affected airplane any outflow/safety valve that is referenced in the "Applicable Outflow Safety Valves With Applicable Airplane Models" table that is included in the "Applicability" section of this AD.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Wichita ACO.

Note 3: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Wichita ACO.

(e) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the documents referred to herein upon request to AlliedSignal Aerospace, Technical Publications, Department 65-70, P.O. Box 52170, Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2170; or may examine these documents at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 29, 1997.

Henry A. Armstrong,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 97-20442 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD040-4014b and MD047-4014b; FRL-5867-6]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Control of Volatile Organic Compound Emissions From Degreasing Operations and Vehicle Refinishing, and Definition of Motor Vehicle

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Maryland for the purpose of establishing volatile organic compound (VOC) emission control requirements for degreasing operations and vehicle refinishing. EPA is also proposing to approve the SIP revision submitted by the State of Maryland that establishes a definition for the term "motor vehicle." In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views them as noncontroversial SIP revisions and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by September 3, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to David L. Arnold, Chief, Ozone/CO and Mobile Sources Section, Mailcode 3AT21, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107.

Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland, 21224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maria A. Pino, (215) 566-2181, at the EPA Region III office address listed above, or via e-mail at

pino.maria@epamail.epa.gov. While information may be requested via e-mail, comments must be submitted in writing to the above Region III address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final action of the same title, pertaining to Maryland's degreasing and vehicle refinishing regulations, which is located in the rules and regulations Section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 22, 1997.

Thomas Voltaggio,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 97-20472 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 179-0045b; FRL-5863-5]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Bay Area Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP). This action is an administrative change which revises the definition of volatile organic compounds (VOC) and updates the Exempt Compound list in rules from the Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD).

The intended effect of proposing approval of this action is to incorporate

changes to the definition of VOC and to update the Exempt Compound list in BAAQMD rules to be consistent with the revised federal and state VOC definitions. EPA is proposing approval of these revisions to be incorporated into the California SIP for the attainment of the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for ozone under title I of the Clean Air Act (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the state's SIP revisions as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views these administrative changes as noncontroversial revision amendments and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for this approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by September 3, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Christine Vineyard, Rulemaking Office [Air-4], Air Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105-3901.

Copies of the rules and EPA's evaluation report of the rules are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office during normal business hours. Copies of the submitted rules are also available for inspection at the following locations:

California Air Resources Board,
Stationary Source Division, Rule
Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street,
Sacramento, CA 95814.

Bay Area Air Quality Management
District, 939 Ellis Street, San
Francisco, CA 94109.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Christine Vineyard, Rulemaking Office
[Air-4], Air Division, U.S.
Environmental Protection Agency,
Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San
Francisco, CA 94105-3901, Telephone
(415) 744-1197.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

This document concerns BAAQMD
Rule 8-4, General Solvent and Surface
Coating Operations; Rule 8-11, Metal

Container, Closure and Coil Coating;
Rule 8-12, Paper, Fabric, and Film
Coating; Rule 8-13, Light and Medium
Duty Motor Vehicle Assembly Plants;
Rule 8-14, Surface Coating of Large
Appliance and Metal Furniture; Rule 8-
19, Surface Coating of Miscellaneous
Metal Parts and Products; Rule 8-20,
Graphic Arts Printing and Coating; Rule
8-23, Coating of Flat Wood Paneling
and Wood Flat Stock; Rule 8-29,
Aerospace Assembly and Component
Coating Operations; 8-31, Surface
Coating of Plastic Parts and Products;
Rule 8-32, Wood Products; Rule 8-38,
Flexible and Rigid Disc Manufacturing;
Rule 8-43, Surface Coating of Marine
Vessels; Rule 8-45, Motor Vehicle and
Mobile Equipment Coating Operations;
and 8-50, Polyester Resin Operations.
These rules were submitted to EPA on
October 18, 1996 by the California Air
Resources Board. For further
information, please see the information
provided in the Direct Final action
which is located in the Rules Section of
this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: July 10, 1997.

Felicia Marcus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 97-20362 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY**

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[VT-015-01-1217b; A-1-FRL-5860-1]

**Clean Air Act Approval and
Promulgation of State Implementation
Plans; Vermont: PM10 Prevention of
Significant Deterioration Increments**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing full approval of a State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Vermont, which replaces the total suspended particulate (TSP) prevention of significant (PSD) increments with increments for PM10 (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter smaller than or equal to a nominal 10 micrometers). This action is being taken under the Clean Air Act. In the Final Rules Section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the Vermont's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the

approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA does receive adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this proposal. Any parties interested in commenting on this proposal should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before September 3, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Susan Studlien, Deputy Director, Office of Ecosystem Protection, EPA-Region 1, JFK Federal Bldg (CAA), Boston, MA 02203. Copies of Vermont's submittal and EPA's technical support document are available for public inspection by appointment during normal business hours at the following locations: Office of Ecosystem Protection, EPA-Region 1, One Congress Street, 11th floor, Boston, MA 02203; Air Pollution Control Division, Agency of Natural Resources, Building 3 South, 103 South Main Street, Waterbury, VT 05676; and Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Susan Lancey at (617) 565-3587 or lancey.susan@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: June 18, 1997.

John P. DeVillars,

Regional Administrator, EPA-Region 1.

[FR Doc. 97-19623 Filed 8-1-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY**

40 CFR Part 62

[FRL-5868-2]

**Approval and Promulgation of State
Plans for Designated Facilities and
Pollutants; States of Iowa, Kansas,
Missouri, and Nebraska**

AGENCY: Environmental Protection
Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve Municipal Waste Combustors (MWC) 111(d)/129 Plan negative declarations submitted by the states of Iowa, Kansas,