

integrated systems in that it allows the rider to choose which wheel gets the majority of braking force, depending on which brake control the rider uses.

According to Honda, the overall braking performance remains unchanged from a conforming motorcycle. If the CBR1100XX is exempted it will meet "the stopping distance requirement but at lever forces slightly below the minimum."

Specifically, Honda asks for relief from the first sentence of S6.10 *Brake application forces*, which reads:

"Except for the requirements of the fifth recovery stop in S5.4.3 and S5.7.2 (S7.6.3 and S7.10.2) the hand lever force is not less than five and not more than 55 pounds and the foot pedal force is not less than 10 and not more than 90 pounds."

Upon review of this paragraph, NHTSA has determined that granting Honda's petition would require relief from different provisions of Standard No. 122, although S6.10 relates to them. Paragraph S6 only sets forth the test conditions under which a motorcycle must meet the performance requirements of S5. A motorcycle manufacturer certifies compliance with the performance requirements of S5 on the basis of tests conducted according to the conditions of S6 and in the manner specified by S7. In short, NHTSA believes that granting Honda's petition would require relief from the performance requirements of S5 that are based upon the lever actuation force test conditions of S6.10 as used in the test procedures of S7.

These relate to the baseline checks under which performance is judged for the service brake system fade and fade recovery tests (S5.4), and for the water recovery tests (S5.7). According to the test procedures of S7, the baseline check stops for fade (S7.6.1) and water recovery (S7.10.1) are to be made at 10 to 11 feet per second per second (fpsps) per stop. The fade recovery test (S7.6.3) also specifies stops at 10 to 11 fpsps. Test data submitted by Honda with its application show that, using a hand lever force of 2.3 kg (5.1 pounds), the deceleration for these stops is 3.05 to

3.35 meters per second per second, or 10.0 to 11.0 fpsps. This does not mean that Honda cannot comply under the strict parameters of the standard, but the system is designed for responsive performance when a hand lever force of less than five pounds is used. For these reasons, NHTSA interprets Honda's application as requesting relief from S5.4.2, S5.4.3, and S5.7.2.

Honda argues that granting an exemption would be in the public interest and consistent with objectives of traffic safety because it "should improve a rider's ability to precisely modulate the brake force at low-level brake lever input forces. Improving the predictability, even at very low-level brake lever input, increases the rider's confidence in the motorcycle's brake system."

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the application described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and the notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, room 5109, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated below will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the application will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

*Comment closing date:* September 2, 1997.

(49 U.S.C. 30113; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50. and 501.8.)

Issued on July 24, 1997.

**L. Robert Shelton,**

*Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.*

[FR Doc. 97-20092 Filed 7-30-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-P

#### NEW EXEMPTION APPLICATIONS

Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
10581-N .....	Luxfer UK Limited, Nottingham, England .....	4	09/30/1977
11193-N .....	U.S. Department of Defense, Fall Church, VA .....	4	09/30/1977
11232-N .....	State of Alaska Department of Transportation, Juneau, AK .....	4	09/30/1977
11409-N .....	Pure Solve, Inc., Irving, TX .....	1	08/29/1977
11442-N .....	Union Tank Car Co., East Chicago, IN .....	4	09/30/1977
11443-N .....	Hercules Inc., Wilmington, DE .....	4	08/29/1977
11465-N .....	Monsanto Co., St. Louis, MO .....	4	09/30/1977
11511-N .....	Brenner Tank Inc., Fond du lac, WI .....	4	09/30/1977

#### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

##### Research and Special Programs Administration

##### Office of Hazardous Materials Safety; Notice of Delays in Processing of Exemption Applications

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** List of Applications Delayed more than 180 days.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 5117(c), RSPA is publishing the following list of exemption applications that have been in process for 180 days or more. The reason(s) for delay and the expected completion date for action on each application is provided in association with each identified application.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** J. Suzanne Hedgepeth, Director, Office of Hazardous Materials, Exemptions and Approvals, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590-0001, (202) 366-4535.

##### Key to "Reasons for Delay"

1. Awaiting additional information from applicant.
2. Extensive public comment under review.
3. Application is technically very complex and is of significant impact or precedent-setting and requires extensive analysis.
4. Staff review delayed by other priority issues or volume of exemption applications.

##### Meaning of Application Number Suffixes

N—New application.

M—Modificaiton request.

PM—Party to application with modification request.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 25, 1997.

**J. Suzanne Hedgepeth,**  
*Director, Office of Hazardous Materials, Exemptions and Approvals.*

## NEW EXEMPTION APPLICATIONS—Continued

Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
11523-N .....	Bio-Lab, Inc., Conyers GA .....	4	09/30/1997
11537-N .....	Babson Bros. Co., Romeoville, IL .....	4	09/30/1997
11540-N .....	Convenience Products, Fenton, MO .....	1	09/30/1997
11559-N .....	Japan Oxygen, Inc., Long Beach, CA .....	4	08/29/1997
11561-N .....	Solkatronic Chemicals, Fairfield, NJ .....	4	09/30/1997
11578-N .....	General Alum & Chemical Co., Searsport, MA .....	4	08/29/1997
11591-N .....	Clearwater Distributors, Inc., Woodridge, NY .....	4	01/30/1997
11592-N .....	Amtrol Inc., West Warwick, RI .....	4	08/29/1997
11597-N .....	Zeneca, Inc., Wilmington, DE .....	4	08/29/1997
11606-N .....	Safety-Kleen Corp., Elgin, IL .....	4	08/29/1997
11613-N .....	Monsanto Co., St. Louis, MO .....	4	09/30/1997
11621-N .....	Aerojet Industrial Products, North Las Vegas, NV .....	4	09/30/1997
11646-N .....	Barton Solvents Inc., Des Moines, IO .....	4	08/29/1997
11653-N .....	Phillips Petroleum Co., Bartlesville, OK .....	4	08/29/1997
11654-N .....	Hoechst Celanese Corp., Dallas, TX .....	4	08/29/1997
11662-N .....	FIBA Technologies, Inc., Westboro, MA .....	4	09/30/1997
11668-N .....	AlliedSignal, Inc., Morristown, NJ .....	4	08/29/1997
11671-N .....	Matheson Gas Products, Secaucus, NJ .....	1	09/30/1997
11678-N .....	Air Transport Association, Washington, DC .....	4	08/29/1997
11682-N .....	Cryolor, Argancy, 57365 Ennery—France .....	4	08/29/1997
11687-N .....	Tri Tank Corp., Syracuse, NY .....	4	08/29/1997
11699-N .....	GEO Specialty Chemicals, Bastrop, LA .....	4	08/29/1997
11701-N .....	Dept. of Defense, Falls Church, VA .....	4	09/30/1997
11721-N .....	The Coleman Co., Inc., Wichita, KS .....	4	09/30/1997
11722-N .....	Citergas S.A., 86400 Civray, FR .....	1	08/29/1997
11735-N .....	R.D. Offutt Co., Parket Rapids, MN .....	4	08/29/1997
11739-N .....	Oceaneering Space Systems, Houston, TX .....	1	09/30/1997
11740-N .....	Morton International, Inc., Ogden, UT .....	4	08/29/1997
11748-N .....	Frank W. Hake Association, Memphis, TN .....	4	08/29/1997
11751-N .....	Delta Resins & Refractories, Detroit, MI .....	4	08/29/1997
11759-N .....	E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington, DE .....	4	09/30/1997
11761-N .....	Vulcan Chemicals, Birmingham, AL .....	4	09/30/1997
11762-N .....	Owens Fabricators, Inc, Baton Rouge, LA .....	4	08/29/1997
11765-N .....	Laidlaw Environmental Services Inc., Columbia, SC .....	4	08/29/1997
11767-N .....	Ausimont USA, Inc., Thorofare, NJ .....	4	09/30/1997
11768-N .....	Flotec, Inc., Indianapolis, IN .....	4	08/29/1997
11769-N .....	Great Western Chemical Co., Portland, OR .....	4	08/29/1997
11772-N .....	Kleespie Tank & Petroleum Equipment, Morris, MN .....	4	08/29/1997
11773-N .....	West Coast Air Charter, Ontario, CA .....	4	07/31/1997
11774-N .....	Safety Disposal System, Inc., Opa Locka, FL .....	1	09/30/1997
11780-N .....	Hewlett-Packard Co., Washington, DC .....	4	09/30/1997
11782-N .....	Aeronex, Inc., San Diego, CA .....	4	09/30/1997
11783-N .....	Peoples Natural Gas, Rosemount, MN .....	4	09/30/1997
11786-N .....	Dow Corning Corp., Midland, MI .....	4	08/29/1997
11797-N .....	Cryodyne Technologies, Radnor, PA .....	4	09/30/1997
11798-N .....	Air Products & Chemicals, Inc., Allentown, PA .....	4	09/30/1997
11800-N .....	General Fire Extinguisher Corp., Northbrook, IL .....	4	09/30/1997
11809-N .....	Laidlaw Environmental Services Inc., Columbia, SC .....	4	09/30/1997
11811-N .....	Laidlaw Environmental Services Inc., Columbia, SC .....	4	09/30/1997
11814-N .....	The Columbian Boiler Co., Columbia, OH .....	4	09/30/1997
11815-N .....	Union Pacific Railroad Co. et al, Omaha, NE .....	4	09/30/1997
11816-N .....	The Scotts Co., Marysville, OH .....	4	09/30/1997
11817-N .....	FIBA Technologies, Inc., Westboro, MA .....	4	10/30/1997
11821-N .....	Wyoming Department of Transportation, Cheyenne, WY .....	4	10/20/1997
11824-N .....	The Dow Chemical Co., Freeport, TX .....	4	09/30/1997
11830-N .....	North Coast Container Corp., Cleveland, OH .....	4	09/30/1997
11843-N .....	Shell Chemical Co., Houston, TX .....	4	09/30/1997

## MODIFICATIONS TO EXEMPTIONS

Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
970-M .....	Callery Chemical Corp., Pittsburgh, PA .....	4	8/29/1997
4354-M .....	PPG Industries, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA .....	1	8/29/1997
5493-M .....	Montana Sulphur & Chemical Co., Billings, MT .....	4	8/29/1997
5876-M .....	FMC Corp., Philadelphia, PA .....	4	8/29/1997
6117-M .....	Montana Sulphur & Chemical Co., Billings, MT .....	4	8/29/1997
6610-M .....	ARCO Chemical Co., Newton Square, PA .....	4	9/30/1997
7517-M .....	Trinity Industries, Inc., Dallas, TX .....	4	9/30/1997

## MODIFICATIONS TO EXEMPTIONS—Continued

Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
7879-M .....	Halliburton Energy Services, Duncan, OK .....	4	9/30/1997
8556-M .....	Air Products & Chemicals, Inc., Allentown, PA .....	4	8/29/1997
8723-M .....	Dyno Nobel Inc., Salt Lake City, UT .....	4	9/30/1997
9184-M .....	The Carbide/Graphite Group, Inc., Louisville, KY .....	4	9/30/1997
9266-M .....	ERMEWA, Inc., Houston, TX .....	4	9/30/1997
9413-M .....	EM Science Cincinnati, OH .....	4	9/30/1997
9706-M .....	Taylor-Wharton, Harrisburg, PA .....	4	8/29/1997
9758-M .....	Suunto, Carlsbad, CA .....	4	9/30/1997
9819-M .....	Halliburton Energy Services, Duncan, OK .....	4	9/30/1997
10429-M .....	Baker Performance Chemicals, Inc., Houston, TX .....	4	9/30/1997
10511-M .....	Schlumberger Technology Corporation, Houston, TX .....	4	8/29/1997
10798-M .....	Olin Corp., Stamford, CT .....	4	8/29/1997
10974-M .....	International Paper, Erie, PA .....	4	8/29/1997
11058-M .....	Spex Certiprep Inc., Metuchen, NJ .....	4	8/29/1997
11260-M .....	Texas Instruments Inc., Attleboro, MA .....	4	9/30/1997
11262-M .....	Caire, Inc., Burnsville, MN .....	4	8/29/1997
11579-M .....	Dyno Nobel Inc., Salt Lake City, UT .....	4	9/30/1997
11580-M .....	The Columbian Boiler Co., Columbian, OH .....	4	9/30/1997

[FR Doc. 97-20077 Filed 7-30-97; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4910-60-M****DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY****Submission to OMB for Review; Comment Request**

July 22, 1997.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Pub. L. 104-13. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

**Internal Revenue Service (IRS)***OMB Number:* New.*Form Number:* IRS Form W-7A.*Type of Review:* New collection.*Title:* Application for Taxpayer Identification Number for Pending Adoptions.

*Description:* Form W-7A will be used to apply for an Internal Revenue Service taxpayer identification number (an ATIN) for use in pending adoptions. An ATIN is a temporary nine-digit number issued by the IRS to individuals who are in the process of adopting a U.S.

resident child but who cannot get a social security number for that child until the adoption is final.

*Respondents:* Individuals or households.

*Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers:* 50,000.

*Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent/Recordkeeper:*

Recordkeeping—7 min.

Learning about the law or the form—7 min.

Preparing the form—16 min.

Copying, assembling, and sending the form to the IRS—17 min.

*Frequency of Response:* On occasion.

*Estimated Total Reporting/Recordkeeping Burden:* 39,000 hours.

*OMB Number:* 1545-0139.

*Form Number:* IRS Form 2106.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Title:* Employee Business Expenses.

*Description:* Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 62 allows employees to deduct their business expenses to the extent of reimbursement in computing Adjusted Gross Income. Expenses in excess of reimbursements are allowed as an itemized deduction. Unreimbursed meals and entertainment are allowed to the extent of 50% of the expense. Form 2106 is used to figure these expenses.

*Respondents:* Individuals or households.

*Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers:* 762,514.

*Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent/Recordkeeper:*

Recordkeeping—1 hr., 38 min.

Learning about the law or the form—20 min.

Preparing the form—1 hr., 13 min.

Copying, assembling and sending the form to the IRS—41 min.

*Frequency of Response:* Annually.

*Estimated Total Reporting/Recordkeeping Burden:* 2,678,861 hours.

*OMB Number:* 1545-0938.

*Form Number:* IRS Form 1120-IC-DISC, Schedule K and Schedule P.

*Type of Review:* Extension.

*Title:* Interest Charge Domestic International Sales Corporation Return (Form 1120); Shareholder's Statement of IC-DISC Distributions (Schedule K); and Intercompany Transfer Price or Commission (Schedule P).

*Description:* U.S. corporations that have elected to be an interest charge domestic international sales corporation (IC-DISC) file Form 1120-IC-DISC to report their income and deductions. The IC-DISC is not taxed, but IC-DISC shareholders are taxed on their share of IC-DISC income. IRS uses Form 1120-IC-DISC to check the IC-DISC's computation of income. Schedule K (Form 1120-IC-DISC) is used to report income to shareholders; Schedule P (Form 1120-IC-DISC) is used by the IC-DISC to report its dealings with related suppliers, etc.

*Respondents:* Business or other for-profit.

*Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers:* 1,200.

*Estimated Burden Hours Per Respondent/Recordkeeper:*