issues. This meeting will be held on August 19, 1997 at 9:30 a.m. at the Helicopter Association International, 1635 Prince Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

The agenda for this meeting will include: (1) A status report on the Part 103 (Ultralight Vehicles) Working Group's NPRM, "Sport Pilot Certification Requirements;" (2) a status report on the IFR Fuel Requirements/ Destination and Alternate Weather Minimums Working Group's NPRM, "Flight Plan Requirements for Helicopter Operations Under Instrument Flight Rules;" (3) a discussion of overflights of national parks; (4) and the FAA's August 4, 1997, implementation of revisions to 14 CFR part 61.

Attendance is open to the interested public but may be limited to the space available. The public must make arrangements in advance to present oral statements at the meeting or may present written statements to the committee at any time. In addition, sign and oral interpretation can be made available at the meeting, as well as an assistive listening device, if requested 10 calendar days before the meeting. Arrangements may be made by contacting the person listed under the heading FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTRACT.

Issued in Washington, DC on July 24, 1997.

### Louis C. Cusimano,

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Assistant Executive Director for General Aviation Operations, Aviation Rulemaking Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc. 97–20076 Filed 7–30–97; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### **Maritime Administration**

[Docket No. M-038]

### Information Collection Available for Public Comments and Recommendations

**ACTION:** Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Maritime Administration's (MARAD's) intentions to request extension of approval for three years of a currently approved information collection.

**DATES:** Comments should be submitted on or before September 29, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Erhard W. Koehler, Division of Ship Maintenance and Repair, Maritime Administration, MAR–611, Room 2119, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Telephone 202–366–2631 or FAX 202–366–3954. Copies of this collection can also be obtained from that office.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title of Collection:* Maintenance and Repair Cumulative Summary.

*Type of Request:* Extension of currently approved information collection.

*OMB Control Number:* 2133–0007. *Form Number:* MA–140.

Expiration Date of Approval: March 31, 1998.

Summary of Collection of Information: The collection consists of form MA–140 to which are attached invoices and other supporting documents for expenses claimed for subsidy. Subidized operators submit form MA–140 to the appropriate MARAD region office for review within 60 days of the termination of a subsidized voyage.

Need and Use of the Information: The collected information is necessary to perform the reviews required in order to permit payment of Maintenance and Repair subsidy.

Description of Respondents: Subsidized ship operators must submit the necessary paperwork to determine qualification for subsidy.

Annual Responses: 100.

Annual Burden: 1200 hours.

Comments: Send all comments regarding this information collection to Joel C. Richard, Department of Transportation, Maritime
Administration, MAR–120, Room 7210, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590. Send comments regarding whether this information collection is necessary for proper performance of the function of the agency and will have practical utility, accuracy of the burden estimates, ways to minimize this burden, and ways to enhance quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

By Order of the Maritime Administrator. Dated: July 25, 1997.

### Joel C. Richard,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–20117 Filed 7–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–81–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 97-43; Notice 1]

American Honda Motor Company, Inc.; Receipt of Application for Temporary Exemption From Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 122

American Honda Motor Co., Inc., of Torrance, California ("Honda"), has applied for a temporary exemption from the fade and water recovery requirements of Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 122 Motorcycle Brake Systems. The basis of the application is that an exemption would make easier the development or field evaluation of a new motor vehicle safety feature providing a safety level at least equal to the safety level of the standard.

This notice of receipt of an application is published in accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 30113(b)(2) and does not represent any judgment of the agency on the merits of

the application.

Honda seeks an exemption of one year for its 1998 CBR1100XX motorcycle "from the requirement of the minimum hand-lever force of five pounds in the base line check for the fade and water recovery tests." It wishes to evaluate the marketability of an "improved" motorcycle brake system setting which is currently applied to the model sold in Europe. The difference in setting is limited to a softer master cylinder return spring in the European version. Using the softer spring results in a "more predictable (linear) feeling during initial brake lever application." Although "the change allows a more predictable rise in brake gain, the on-set of braking occurs at lever forces slightly below the five pound minimum" specified in Standard No. 122. Honda considers that motorcycle brake systems have continued to evolve and improve since Standard No. 122 was adopted in 1972, and that one area of improvement is brake lever force which has gradually been reduced. However, the five-pound minimum specification "is preventing further development and improvement" of brake system characteristics. This limit, when applied to the CBR1100XX "results in an imprecise feeling when the rider applies low-level front brake lever inputs.

The machine is equipped with Honda's Linked Brake System (LBS) which is designed to engage both front and rear brakes when either the front brake lever or the rear brake pedal is used. The LBS differs from other integrated systems in that it allows the rider to choose which wheel gets the majority of braking force, depending on which brake control the rider uses.

According to Honda, the overall braking performance remains unchanged from a conforming motorcycle. If the CBR1100XX is exempted it will meet "the stopping distance requirement but at lever forces slightly below the minimum."

Specifically, Honda asks for relief from the first sentence of S6.10 *Brake application forces*, which reads:

"Except for the requirements of the fifth recovery stop in S5.4.3 and S5.7.2 (S7.6.3 and S7.10.2) the hand lever force is not less than five and not more than 55 pounds and the foot pedal force is not less than 10 and not more than 90 pounds."

Upon review of this paragraph, NHTSA has determined that granting Honda's petition would require relief from different provisions of Standard No. 122, although S6.10 relates to them. Paragraph S6 only sets forth the test conditions under which a motorcycle must meet the performance requirements of S5. A motorcycle manufacturer certifies compliance with the performance requirements of S5 on the basis of tests conducted according to the conditions of S6 and in the manner specified by S7. In short, NHTSA believes that granting Honda's petition would require relief from the performance requirements of S5 that are based upon the lever actuation force test conditions of S6.10 as used in the test procedures of S7.

These relate to the baseline checks under which performance is judged for the service brake system fade and fade recovery tests (S5.4), and for the water recovery tests (S5.7). According to the test procedures of S7, the baseline check stops for fade(S7.6.1) and water recovery (S7.10.1) are to be made at 10 to 11 feet per second per second (fpsps) per stop. The fade recovery test (S7.6.3) also specifies stops at 10 to 11 fpsps. Test data submitted by Honda with its application show that, using a hand lever force of 2.3 kg (5.1 pounds), the deceleration for these stops is 3.05 to

3.35 meters per second per second, or 10.0 to 11.0 fpsps. This does not mean that Honda cannot comply under the strict parameters of the standard, but the system is designed for responsive performance when a hand lever force of less than five pounds is used. For these reasons, NHTSA interprets Honda's application as requesting relief from S5.4.2, S5.4.3, and S5.7.2.

Honda argues that granting an exemption would be in the public interest and consistent with objectives of traffic safety because it "should improve a rider's ability to precisely modulate the brake force at low-level brake lever input forces. Improving the predictability, even at very low-level brake lever input, increases the rider's confidence in the motorcycle's brake system."

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the application described above. Comments should refer to the docket number and the notice number, and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, room 5109, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated below will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. Notice of final action on the application will be published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to the authority indicated below.

Comment closing date: September 2, 1997.

(49 U.S.C. 30113; delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50. and 501.8.)

Issued on July 24, 1997.

### L. Robert Shelton,

Associate Administrator for Safety Performance Standards.

[FR Doc. 97–20092 Filed 7–30–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–59–P

## **NEW EXEMPTION APPLICATIONS**

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

### Research and Special Programs Administration

### Office of Hazardous Materials Safety; Notice of Delays in Processing of Exemption Applications

**AGENCY:** Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT.

**ACTION:** List of Applications Delayed more than 180 days.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 5117(c), RSPA is publishing the following list of exemption applications that have been in process for 180 days or more. The reason(s) for delay and the expected completion date for action on each application is provided in association with each identified application.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Suzanne Hedgepeth, Director, Office of Hazardous Materials, Exemptions and Approvals, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20590–0001, (202) 366–4535.

Key to "Reasons for Delay"

- 1. Awaiting additional information from applicant.
- 2. Extensive public comment under review.
- 3. Application is technically very complex and is of significant impact or precedent-setting and requires extensive analysis.
- 4. Staff review delayed by other priority issues or volume of exemption applications.

Meaning of Application Number Suffixes

N-New application.

M—Modification request.

PM—Party to application with modification request.

Issued in Washington, DC, on July 25,

### J. Suzanne Hedgepeth,

Director, Office of Hazardous Materials, Exemptions and Approvals.

Application No.	Applicant	Reason for delay	Estimated date of completion
	Luxfer UK Limited, Nottingham, England		09/30/1977
11232-N	U.S. Department of Defense, Fall Church, VA		09/30/1977 09/30/1977
	Pure Solve, Inc., Irving, TXUnion Tank Car Co., East Chicago, IN	1 4	08/29/1977 09/30/1977
11443-N	Hercules Inc., Wilmington, DE	4	08/29/1977 09/30/1977
	Brenner Tank Inc., Fond du lac, WI	4	09/30/1977