

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Surveillance Matters.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 97-19146 Filed 7-16-97; 4:09 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[OMB Control No. 9000-0133]

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request Entitled Defense Production Act Amendments

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Service Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0133).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Defense Production Act Amendments. A request for comments was published at 62 FR 26482, May 14, 1997. No comments were received.

DATES: *Comment Due Date:* August 20, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, should be submitted to: FAR Desk Officer, OMB, Room 10102, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503, and a copy to the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat, 1800 F Street, NW, Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0133 in all correspondence.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jack O'Neill, Federal Acquisition Policy Division, GSA (202) 501-3856.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Purpose

Title III of the Defense Production Act (DPA) of 1950 authorizes various forms of Government assistance to encourage

expansion of production capacity and supply of industrial resources essential to national defense. The DPA Amendments of 1992 provide for the testing, qualification, and use of industrial resources manufactured or developed with assistance provided under Title III of the DPA.

The rule requires contractors, upon the direction of the contracting officer, to test Title III industrial resources for qualification, and provide the test results to the Defense Production Act Office. The rule expresses Government policy to pay for such testing and provides definitions, procedures, and a contract clause to implement the policy. This information is used by the Defense Production Act Office, Title III Program, to determine whether the Title III industrial resource has been provided an impartial opportunity to qualify.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 100 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 6; responses per respondent, 3; total annual responses, 18; preparation hours per response, 100; and total response burden hours, 1,800.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requester may obtain copies of OMB applications or justifications from the General Service Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), Room 4037, 1800 F Street, NW, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0133, in all correspondence.

Dated: July 15, 1997.

Sharon A. Kiser,

FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 97-19076 Filed 7-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Navy

Notice of Intent To Prepare a Joint Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report and To Re-Open Scoping for Disposal and Reuse of the Long Beach Naval Station and Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, CA

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR parts 1500-1508) implementing procedural provisions of the National

Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), and the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Act (DBCRA), the Department of the Navy (Navy) and the City of Long Beach, California, announce their intent to prepare a joint Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) and to re-open scoping for the proposed disposal and reuse of Long Beach Naval Station and Naval Shipyard, Long Beach, California (hereafter referred to as the Naval Complex). Navy will be the lead agency for NEPA documentation and the City of Long Beach will be the lead agency for CEQA documentation.

In 1991, the Defense Base Closure and Realignment Commission (BRAC Commission) recommended the closure of the Long Beach Naval Station. The recommendation was approved by President Bush and accepted by the One Hundred Second Congress later that same year. In 1995, the BRAC Commission recommended closure of the Long Beach Naval Shipyard. The recommendation was approved by President Clinton and accepted by the One Hundred Fourth Congress later that same year. The Naval Station was operationally closed on September 30, 1994, and the Naval Shipyard is scheduled for operational closure on September 30, 1997. The Naval Station was declared surplus to the needs of the Federal Government in September 1995. Navy intends to declare the Naval Shipyard surplus to the needs of the Federal Government in the near future.

The Naval Complex is located on Terminal Island in the Long Beach Harbor District and is generally located south of Ocean Boulevard and east of the Long Beach/Los Angeles municipal boundary. The Naval Complex includes over 500 acres of real property and 602 acres of submerged lands. The fuel depot, located on Naval Station property, will be retained by Navy. A small government-owned, contractor-operated parcel within the Naval Shipyard was also excluded from the BRAC Commission's closure recommendations and will be handled under separate authority. The title of the United States to approximately 85 acres of land and 602 acres of submerged lands is subject to reversion to the City of Long Beach in accordance with the judgment in *United States of America v. 1039 Acres of Land, etc. et al.*

Pursuant to DBCRA and associated Department of Defense policy, Navy must treat the city's redevelopment plan for the installation as part of the Federal action. The redevelopment plan is a plan developed by the Local Redevelopment Authority (LRA) and

provides for the reuse or redevelopment of the closed bases. The City of Long Beach was approved as the LRA for both the Naval Station and the Naval Shipyard by the Secretary of Defense. The City of Long Beach has prepared separate reuse plans for the Naval Station and the Naval Shipyard. The City Reuse Plans include development of all Naval Station and Naval Shipyard property within the jurisdiction of the City of Long Beach, including the reversionary parcels. Accordingly, the environmental impacts of use of these parcels will also be evaluated in the EIS/EIR.

Initially, Navy determined that disposal and reuse of the Naval Station and Navy Shipyard should be evaluated in separate NEPA documents because the Naval Station and Naval Shipyard were closed under separate BRAC Commission actions and it was possible to make functionally independent decisions. In addition, the Naval Shipyard had not yet been declared surplus. Accordingly, Navy prepared and distributed a Final Environmental Impact Statement, dated February 1997, for disposal and reuse of the Long Beach Naval Station. Navy also published a notice of intent to prepare an EIS for disposal and reuse of the Long Beach Naval Shipyard on September 30, 1996.

Although the Naval Station and Naval Shipyard were closed under separate BRAC Commission actions, Navy has reevaluated its initial decision and determined that it is appropriate to address the disposal and reuse of the Naval Complex in a single environmental document. This determination was based on several factors: the properties are adjacent; the proposed reuse by the LRA for each property is generally similar; the proposed disposal and reuse actions will now occur in the same general timeframe; and there is the possibility that a combined analysis could identify mitigation measures to reduce impacts to the Roosevelt Base Historic District and other potential environmental impacts.

Navy and the city of Long Beach have decided to prepare a joint EIS/EIR for these properties. The city of Long Beach, through its Harbor Department, prepared an EIR for the proposed development of the Naval Station property. The EIR was certified by the Board of Harbor Commissioners on September 3, 1996. The city, through its Harbor Department, published a notice of preparation on November 1, 1996 for proposed development of the Naval Shipyard but has not completed an EIR for that development. Therefore, for CEQA purposes, the joint EIS/EIR will

serve as an EIR for the Shipyard and a subsequent EIR for the Naval Station.

The proposed Navy action involves the disposal of land, buildings, and infrastructure for subsequent reuse of the Naval Complex. This property includes administrative buildings, housing, recreational facilities, utility systems, ship repair and maintenance, warehouses, and other support facilities. The proposed city of Long Beach action involves the reuse of the Naval Complex in accordance with its Reuse Plans. These Plans propose the demolition of 6 piers, two dry-docks (the large dry-dock would remain), and most of the buildings. The Reuse Plans also require over 6 million cubic yards of material to be dredged from the West Basin. Under the city of Long Beach's proposed reuse, the former Naval facilities would be replaced by a total of 315 acres devoted to marine container terminal and intermodal rail yard operations; an 18-acre shipyard facility surrounding the remaining dry-dock; an 18-acre Sea-Launch satellite launch vehicle preparation facility; a 36-acre liquid bulk facility; a 15-acre police facility; and over 100 acres of neobulk, breakbulk, and other port and port ancillary facilities.

As the LRA's Reuse Plans would require demolition of the Roosevelt Base Historic District, Navy will undertake an adaptive reuse study of the historic district. Navy will also reinstitute consultation under section 106 of the National Historic preservation act with the State Historic Preservation Officer and the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation to develop a Memorandum of Agreement addressing potential effects on the historic district and identifying possible mitigation measures.

This joint EIS/EIR will analyze the environmental effects of the disposal and reuse of the Naval Complex. Environmental issues to be addressed in the joint EIS/EIR include: Geology, topography, and soils; hydrology; biology; noise; air quality; land use; historic and archeological resources; socioeconomics; transportation/circulation; public facilities/recreation; safety and environmental health, including environmental justice; aesthetics; and utilities. The analysis will include an evaluation of the direct, indirect, short-term, and cumulative impacts associated with the proposed action. The probable environmental impacts of the project include potential adverse impacts upon biology, noise and vibration levels, air quality, historical resources, transportation/circulation, ground shaking,

liquefaction, and risks from hazardous material.

Alternatives will be developed primarily from the reuse plans adopted by the LRA. A "no action" alternative, wherein Navy would retain the property in caretaker status, will be included in the joint EIS/EIR. Other alternatives may be developed from suggestions received during the public scoping process or from the adaptive reuse study of the Roosevelt Base Historic District that will be undertaken by Navy. Navy and the city of Long Beach encourage the involvement of all interested parties in the development of potential alternatives.

No decisions regarding disposal will be made until this NEPA/CEQA process is complete.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The Department of the Navy and the city of Long Beach will initiate a scoping process for the purpose of determining the scope of issues to be addressed and for the purpose of identifying significant issues relative to this action, including the use of baseline data for the period prior to the closure of the Naval Complex pursuant to California Resources Code Section 21083.8.1. A public meeting to allow oral comments from the public will be held at the Long Beach City Council Chamber, 333 West Ocean Boulevard, Long Beach, California on August 20, 1997 at 6:00 p.m. This meeting will be advertised in area newspapers and notices will be mailed to the interested parties, including responsible agencies, identified through scoping and during preparation of previous documents. Navy and city of Long Beach representatives will be available at the scoping meeting to receive comments from the public regarding issues of concern. A brief presentation describing the disposal and NEPA/CEQA processes will precede requests for public comments. It is important that federal, state, and local agencies, as well as interested organization and individuals, take this opportunity to identify other reuse alternatives and environmental concerns that should be addressed during preparation of the joint EIS/EIR.

Agencies and the public are invited and encouraged to provide written comments in addition to, or in lieu of, oral comments at the public meeting. To be most helpful, scoping comments should clearly describe specific issues or topics that the commenter believes the joint EIS/EIR should address. Written comments or questions regarding the scoping process and or the joint EIS/EIR should be postmarked no later than September 3, 1997 and sent to

the address below. To ensure that all comments are received and addressed, Navy will be the point of contact for this joint EIS/EIR.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Melanie Ault, BRAC Program Office, Southwest Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, 1420 Kettner Boulevard, Suite 501, San Diego, CA 92101-2404.

Dated: July 16, 1997.

D.E. Koenig,

LCDR, JAGC, USN, Alternate Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-19107 Filed 7-18-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

[CFDA Nos. 84.320A, 84.321A, and 84.322A]

Office of Elementary and Secondary Education—Alaska Native Programs; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year 1997

SUMMARY: The Secretary invites applications for new awards for fiscal year (FY) 1997 under three direct grant programs for Alaska Natives and announces deadline dates for the transmittal of applications under these programs.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the March 27, 1997 *Federal Register* (62 FR 14763), the Secretary published a notice inviting applications for new awards for FY 1997 under the Alaska Native Educational Planning, Curriculum Development, Teacher Training and Recruitment Program (CFDA No. 84.320A); the Alaska Native Home-Based Education for Preschool Children program (CFDA No. 84.321A); and the Alaska Native Student Enrichment Programs (CFDA No. 84.322A). Under those competitions, which closed on May 27, 1997, the Secretary expects toward approximately \$5 million in grants. However, the total FY 1997 appropriation for these programs is \$8 million. To ensure that the applications receiving funding under these programs are of the highest possible quality, and to give more organizations an opportunity to apply, the secretary hereby announces a second FY 1997 grant competition under the Alaska Native programs, and announces the deadline date for the transmittal of applications under this second competition.

Applicants that previously submitted applications under these programs but were not approved for funding in the competitions that closed on May 27, 1997, must submit new or revised

applications in order to participate in this competition. Such applicants are encouraged to strengthen their proposals and to reapply by the new closing date of August 29, 1997. As always, applicants may request technical assistance from the Department in the preparation of their applications.

Date Applications Available: July 21, 1997.

Deadline for Transmittal of Applications: August 29, 1997.

Estimated Available Funds: Up to \$2.9 million.

Note: The Secretary will hold a single competition for projects under all three programs described in this notice. These funds will be allocated among the highest-quality applications received. Applicants must submit a separate application for each program for which they apply.

Estimated Range of Awards: \$50,000 to \$2,900,000.

Project Period for All Programs: 36 months.

Note: The Department is not bound by any estimates in this notice. Funding estimates are for the first year of the project period only. Funding for the second and third years is subject to the availability of funds and the approval of continuation (see 34 CFR 75.253).

84.320A—Alaska Native Educational Planning, Curriculum Development, Teacher Training and Recruitment Program

Purpose of Program: To support projects that recognize and address the unique educational needs of Alaska Native students through consolidation, development, and implementation of educational plans and strategies to improve schooling for Alaska Natives, development of curricula, and the training and recruitment of teachers. This program is authorized by section 9304 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

Eligible Applicants: Alaska Native organizations or educational entities with experience in developing or operating Alaska Native programs or programs of instruction conducted in Alaska Native languages, or partnerships involving Alaska Native organizations.

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7934.

84.321A—Alaska Native Home-Based Education for Preschool Children

Purpose of Program: To support home instruction programs for preschool Alaska Native children that develop parents as educators for their children and ensure the active involvement of parents in the education of their children from the earliest ages. This

program is authorized by section 9305 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

Eligible Applicants: Alaska Native organizations or educational entities with experience in developing or operating Alaska Native programs, or partnerships involving Alaska Native organizations.

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7935.

84.322A—Alaska Native Student Enrichment Programs

Purpose of Program: To support projects that provide enrichment programs and family support services for Alaska Native students from rural areas who are preparing to enter village high schools so that they may excel in science and mathematics. This program is authorized by section 9306 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

Eligible applicants: Alaska Native educational organizations or educational entities with experience in developing or operating Alaska Native programs, or partnerships including Alaska Native organizations.

Authority: 20 U.S.C. 7936.

Selection Criteria: In accordance with the competition announced in the March 27, 1997 *Federal Register* (62 FR 14763), the Secretary will use the selection criteria as it originally appeared to evaluate applications under the competition in this notice. The maximum score for all of the selection criteria is 100 points. The maximum score for each criterion is as follows:

- (a) *Meeting the purposes of the authorizing statute*—30 points.
- (b) *Extent of need for the project*—20 points.
- (c) *Plan of operation*—20 points.
- (d) *Quality of key personnel*—7 points.
- (e) *Budget and cost effectiveness*—5 points.
- (f) *Evaluation plan*—15 points.
- (g) *Adequacy of resources*—3 points.

Applicable Regulations: The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) in 34 CFR Parts 74, 75, 77, 80, 81, 82, 85, and 86.

For Applications Or Information Contact: Mr. Sharron E. Jones or Ms. Lynn Thomas, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W., Portals Building, Room 4500, Mail Stop 6240, Washington, D.C. 20202. Telephone (202) 260-1431 or (202) 260-1541, or FAX: (202) 260-7767. Internet: Sharron_Jones@ed.gov or Lynn_Thomas@ed.gov. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal