Dated: July 8, 1997.

#### Jean A. Webb,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 97-18370 Filed 7-11-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-P

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 10:00 a.m., Tuesday, July 29, 1997.

PLACE: 1155 21st St., NW., Washington, DC, Lobby Level Hearing Room.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Quarterly

Objectives.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jean A. Webb, 202–418–5100.

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 97–18597 Filed 7–10–97; 3:32 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:00 p.m., Tuesday, July 29, 1997.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St. NW., Washington, DC 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

**Enforcement Matters.** 

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 97-18598 Filed 7-11-97; 3:28 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

## **Sunshine Act Meeting**

**AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:** 

Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 2:30 p.m., Tuesday, July 29, 1997.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St. NW., Washington, DC, 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

**Enforcement Objectives.** 

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

### Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 97–18599 Filed 7–10–97; 3:40 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

# COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

#### **Sunshine Act Meeting**

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETING:

Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

**TIME AND DATE:** 3:00 p.m., Tuesday, July 29, 1997.

**PLACE:** 1155 21st St. NW., Washington, DC, 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Rule

Enforcement Review.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

#### Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 97–18600 Filed 7–10–97; 3:36 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

## Office of the Secretary

# Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title and Associated Form: Personal Check Cashing Agreement, DD Form X312, OMB Number 0730—[To Be Determined].

Type of Request: New Collection. Number of Respondents: 450,000. Responses Per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 450,000.

Average Burden Per Response: 30 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 225,000.

Needs and Uses: This collection of information is necessary to meet the Department of Defense's (DoD) requirement for cashing personal checks overseas and afloat by DoD disbursing activities, as provided in 31 U.S.C. 3342. The DoD Financial Management Regulation, Volume 5, allows the DoD disbursing officer or authorized agent the authority to offset the pay. The Personal Check Cashing Agreement

Form is designed exclusively to help the DoD disbursing offices expedite the collection process of dishonored checks. The front of the form will be completed and signed by the authorized individual requesting check cashing privileges. By signing the form, the individual consents to the immediate collection from their current pay, without prior notice, for the face value of any check cashed, plus any charges assessed against the government by a financial institution, in the event the check is dishonored. In the event the check is dishonored, the disbursing office will complete and certify the reverse side of the form and forward the form to the applicable payroll office for collection from the individual's pay.

Affected Public: Individuals or Households.

Frequency: On Occasion.

*Respondent's Obligation:* Required to Obtain or Retain Benefits.

*OMB Desk Officer:* Mr. Edward C. Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

*DoD Clearance Officer:* Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: July 8, 1997.

### Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 97–18366 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

### **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**

# Office of the Secretary

## Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**ACTION:** Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title and Associated Form: Family Support Center Information; AF Forms 2800, 2801, and 2805; OMB Number 0701–0070.

*Type of Request:* Reinstatement. *Number of Respondents:* 10,000.

Responses per Respondent: 3. Annual Responses: 30,000. Average Burden per Response: 5 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 2,666.
Needs and Uses: This collection of information is necessary to obtain demographic data about individuals and family members who utilize the services offered by the Family Support Center. It is also a mechanism for tracking what services are provided and how often. The data elements in these forms are the basis for quarterly data gathering that is forwarded through Major Commands to the Air Staff. Respondents could be all those eligible for services, i.e., all Department of Defense personnel and their families.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: On occasion.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C.
Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Coffice Springer, Coffice Park No. 2007.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. Robert Cushing.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Cushing, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: July 7, 1997.

#### Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense. [FR Doc. 97–18368 Filed 7–11–97; 8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for the Department of Defense Range Rule

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense. **ACTION:** Notice of Intent (NOI).

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense (DoD) and its predecessor agencies have long used military ranges to prepare and train men and women for the defense of this country. The testing of munitions and training in their use have occurred since pre-Revolutionary times and reached peaks during the World Wars. Munitions sometimes fail to function as intended, resulting in the presence of unexploded ordnance (UXO) on training and test ranges.

These munitions may be found on closed ranges possessed by DoD, transferred ranges (including those in the Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS) program, many of which were transferred following the World Wars), and ranges associated with Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) activities and other property transfers to non-military entities.

The Department of Defense announces its intent to prepare a Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) to consider the environmental impacts associated with the promulgation of the Department of Defense Rule on Closed, Transferred, and Transferring Ranges Containing Military Munitions (hereafter called the DoD range rule). The PEIS will also consider a reasonable range of alternatives to a DoD range rule.

The proposed action is to promulgate the DoD range rule. The promulgation of the proposed rule is necessary for two reasons. First, the rule is needed to ensure that public and worker safety issues are thoroughly identified and considered in the decision making process for UXO response actions. Second, the rule is needed to provide a rational, consistent, and open process that effectively consolidates the several, often disparate, authorities presently applicable to UXO response actions.

### **Alternatives To Be Considered**

### Proposed DoD Range Rule

The DoD proposes to adopt the DoD range rule. The draft proposed DoD range rule is available upon request. Please refer to the addresses section at the end of this NOI for information on how to obtain a copy. The DoD range rule would establish a process for identifying, evaluating, and choosing appropriate response actions on closed, transferred, and transferring military ranges that are or have been owned by. leased to, or otherwise possessed or used by the United States and under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Defense. Response actions would address safety hazards as well as effects on human health and the environment. The DoD Range Rule identifies a process that: (1) Articulates DoD's statutory authority and responsibility; (2) recognizes and draws upon DoD's unique expertise and experience; (3) provides for consistency; (4) ensures response actions will adequately address safety, human health, and the environment; and (5) provides for cost-effective and efficient actions.

Response activities on ranges containing military munitions involve unique explosives safety concerns that are not normally present during typical response activities. The DoD Range Rule draws upon DoD's unique expertise and experience and is designed to provide a process that will ensure the selection of response actions that are protective of human health and the environment, consistent with these overarching, unique safety concerns.

Under the proposed DoD Range rule, regulators and the public would be provided the opportunity to participate in all site-specific decisions. The rule provides the appropriate federal and state environmental remediation regulatory agencies and American Indian Tribes with the opportunity to concur and participate in the development of the various decision documents under this rule. The rule also provides Federal Land Managers having jurisdiction, custody, or control over property on which a range response will occur, the opportunity to concur and otherwise participate. If a nonoccurrence is received, dispute resolution procedures are established by the proposed rule. Entities entitled to invoke dispute resolution procedures will be established in the final rule.

### No Action Alternative

The no action alternative would continue the current condition or status quo, which amounts to a case-by-case discussion concerning the application of various environmental laws and regulations. The DoD's response activities, on and off ranges have been variously subject to the Defense **Environmental Restoration Program** (DERP), the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA), the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), or a varying combination thereof. This essentially ad hoc approach has resulted in: (1) A lack of clear direction for the DoD to follow for addressing military munitions responses; and (2) this confusion contributes to public and regulator concern that military munitions are not being addressed adequately.

### RCRA Corrective Action

It is DOD's position that UXO remaining on a closed, transferred, or transferring range does not constitute solid waste subject to RCRA's corrective action authorities. EPA has considered a contrary position but it has not yet made any determination. The RCRA corrective action process consists of a series of steps involved in the identification, evaluation, and cleanup of solid waste management units. The application of the corrective action process to closed, transferred, and transferring military