Reason for Closing: The activities being reviewed may include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: July 7, 1997.

## M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer. [FR Doc. 97–18084 Filed 7–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7555–01–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

**SUMMARY:** The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Extension.
- 2. The title of the information collection: DOE/NRC Form 742, "Material Balance Report;" NUREG/BR–0007, "Instructions for Completing Material Balance Report and Physical Inventory Listing;" and DOE/NRC Form 742C, "Physical Inventory Listing."
- 3. The form number if applicable: DOE/NRC Form 742 and DOE/NRC Form 742C.
- 4. How often the collection is required: DOE/NRC Forms 742 and 742C are submitted semiannually following a physical inventory of nuclear materials.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Persons licensed to possess specified quantities of special nuclear or source material.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses:

DOE/NRC Form 742: 600 responses DOE/NRC Form 742C: 240 responses

7. The estimated number of annual respondents:

DOE/NRC Form 742: 300 licensees

DOE/NRC Form 742C: 120 licensees

8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:

DOE/NRC Form 742: 450 hours DOE/NRC Form 742C: 1,440 hours

- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not applicable.
- 10. Abstract: Each licensee authorized to possess special nuclear material totalling more than 350 grams of contained uranium-235, uranium-233, or plutonium, or any combination thereof, and any licensee authorized to possess 1,000 kilograms of source material is required to submit DOE/NRC Form 742. Reactor licensees required to submit DOE/NRC Form 742, and facilities subject to 10 CFR Part 75, are required to submit DOE/NRC Form 742C. The information is used by NRC to fulfill its responsibilities as a participant in US/IAEA Safeguards Agreement and bilateral agreements with Australia and Canada, and to satisfy its domestic safeguards responsibilities.

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access the submittal via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advance Copy Document Library) NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703-321-3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703-487-4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1-800-397-4209, or within the Washington, DC, area at 202-634-3273.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by August 11, 1997: Edward Michlovich, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0004 and –0058), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of July 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Arnold E. Levin**,

Acting Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 97–17994 Filed 7–9–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Extension.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR part 150, "Exemptions and Continued Regulatory Authority in Agreement States and in Offshore Waters under Section 274"

3. *The form number if applicable:* Not applicable.

- 4. How often the collection is required: 10 CFR 150.16(b), 150.17(c), and 150.19(c) require the submission of reports following specified events, such as the theft or unlawful diversion of licensed radioactive material. The source material inventory reports required under 10 CFR 150.17(b) must be submitted annually by certain licensees.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Agreement State licensees authorized to possess source or special nuclear material at certain types of facilities, or at any one time and location in greater than specified amounts.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 63 responses.
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 63 Agreement State licensees.
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 150 hours
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not applicable.

10. Abstract: 10 CFR part 150 provides certain exemptions from NRC regulations for persons in Agreement States. Part 150 also defines activities in Agreement States and in offshore waters over which NRC regulatory authority continues, including certain information collection requirements. The information is needed to permit NRC to make reports to other governments and the International Atomic Energy Agency in accordance with international agreements. The information is also used to carry out NRC's safeguards and inspection programs.

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access the submittal via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advance Copy Document Library) NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703–321–3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703-487-4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1-800-397-4209, or within the Washington, DC, area at 202-634-3273.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by August 11, 1997. Edward Michlovich, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0032), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of July 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Arnold E. Levin,

Acting Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management. [FR Doc. 97-17995 Filed 7-9-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## **NUCLEAR REGULATORY** COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455, STN 50-456 and STN 50-457]

Commonwealth Edison Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed No Significant **Hazards Consideration Determination,** and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. STN 50-454, STN 50-455, STN 50-456 and STN 50-457, issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (ComEd, the licensee) for operation of the Byron Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Ogle County, Illinois, and Braidwood Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Will County, Illinois, respectively.

The proposed amendments would authorize a revision to the realistic dose values for the process gas system rupture in Section 15.0 of the Byron/ Braidwood (B/B) Updated Final Safety Analysis Report (UFSAR). During preparation of a UFSAR change package, ComEd discovered that the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) had not been updated to correct an error from the previous revision of the dose calculation. Since the correct dose value is greater than that previously reported, the consequences of the accident had increased, and an unreviewed safety question resulted.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendments requested involve no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. Does the change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

The proposed changes involve a slight increase to the consequences of the waste gas decay tank rupture event as shown in UFSAR Tables 15.0-11 and 15.0–12. However, the values continue to be less than a small fraction of the 10 CFR 100 limits, i.e., 10 percent or 2.5 rem for whole-body dose. Standard Review Plan 11.3, Branch Technical Position (BTP) ETSB 11-5, "Postulated Radioactive Releases Due to a Waste Gas System Leak or Failure," in NUREG-0800, July 1981 imposes lower dose limits than 10 CFR 100 because the probability of an accidental release from the waste gas system is relatively high. The BTP establishes a limit of 0.5 rem to an individual at the nearest exclusion area boundary. The recalculated doses also meet this criterion.

All other aspects of the original accident event and analysis, as presented in UFSAR Subsection 15.7.1, are unchanged. The proposed changes do not impact any accident initiators or assumed mitigation of accident or transient events. They do not involve the addition or removal of any equipment, or any design changes to the facility. There is no change to the types of effluents released offsite. The source terms in UFSAR Table 15.7-2 are unaffected. The change affects only the post-accident dose; there is no impact on individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Therefore, this request does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

2. Does the change create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated?

The proposed changes do not involve a modification to the physical configuration of the plant (i.e., no new equipment will be installed) or change in the methods governing normal plant operation. The proposed changes will not impose any new or different requirements or introduce a new accident or malfunction mechanism. The proposed change affects only a calculation to determine dose following an event that has been previously analyzed. It has no impact on any event in the accident sequence, and no new failures are created. Therefore, the proposed changes do not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any previously evaluated.

3. Does the change involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety?

The proposed changes do not result in any reduction in the margin of safety