

If commenters disagree with the Agency's determination, and instead believe that a burden does exist, then the Agency is particularly interested in comments which:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submissions of responses.

II. OSHA's Estimate of a Burden

As stated before, OSHA no longer believes that an information collection burden exists for these two provisions. OSHA estimated previously that there are about 10,000 construction sites where scrapers, loaders, dozers, graders, and crawler tractors are in use which have the required ROPS. On average, each site would have 5 pieces of equipment. OSHA previous estimate was that it would take 5 minutes to inspect the materials and to prepare a certification for the ROPS and another 5 minutes to make the certification record available at the time of inspection.

Type of Review: Request for withdrawal of approval.

Agency: U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Title: Testing of Materials Used in rollover protective structures (ROPS) (29 CFR 1926.1001(e)(3), and (29 CFR 1926.1002(d)(6)—Certification of Materials.

Affected Public: Business or other for profit.

Previous Number of Respondents: 10,000.

Revised Number of Respondents: Zero.

Previous Estimated Time Per Response: 10 minutes.

Revised Time of Response: Zero minutes (0:00).

Previous Total Annual Burden Hours: 8333.

Revised Total Annual Burden Hours: Zero.

Total Annualized Capital/Startup Costs: \$0.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 2nd day of July 1997.

Russell B. Swanson,

Director, Directorate of Construction.

[FR Doc. 97-17935 Filed 7-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION

Agency Strategic Plan

AGENCY: National Archives and Records Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) seeks public comment on the general goals and key indicators developed to support implementation of *Ready Access to Essential Evidence: the Strategic Plan of the National Archives and Records Administration, 1997-2007*.

DATES: Comments should be received no later than July 18, 1997, to ensure greatest consideration, but will be accepted at any time.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Debra Leahy, NPOL, Room 4100, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Road, College Park, MD 20740-6001, or via fax to (301)-713-7270, or via e-mail at: vision@arch2.nara.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Debra Leahy, (301) 713-7360, x246.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The goals and indicators are available online at the NARA website at the URL: http://www.nara.gov/nara/vision/strategic_directions.html. They are also available as document number 1026 via FAX through NARA's FAX-ON-DEMAND service at (301) 713-6905.

Dated: July 3, 1997.

John W. Carlin,

Archivist of the United States.

[FR Doc. 97-17997 Filed 7-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-P

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Council on the Humanities; Meeting

July 1, 1997.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public L. 92-463, as amended) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the National Council on the Humanities will be held

in Washington, D.C. on July 17-18, 1997.

The purpose of the meeting is to advise the Chairman of the National Endowment for the Humanities with respect to policies, programs, and procedures for carrying out his functions, and to review applications for financial support and gifts offered to the Endowment and to make recommendations thereon to the Chairman.

The meeting will be held in the Old Post Office Building, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. A portion of the morning and afternoon sessions on July 17-18, 1997, will not be open to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), (6) and (9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code because the Council will consider information that may disclose: trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; information of a personal nature the disclosure of which will constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy; and information the disclosure of which would significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action. I have made this determination under the authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority dated July 19, 1993.

The agenda for the sessions on July 17, 1997 will be as follows:

Committee Meetings

(Open to the Public) Policy Discussion

9:00-10:30 a.m.—Research/Education

Programs—Room M-07

Public Programs—Room 420

Federal/State Partnership—Room 507

Challenge Grants and Preservation and Access—Room 415

10:30 a.m. until adjourned—(Closed to the Public)—Discussion of specific grant applications before the Council

(Closed to the Public)

1:00-3:00 p.m.—Jefferson Lecture/Humanities Medal Committee—Room 527

Council Discussion Group

(Closed to the Public)

3:00-5:00 p.m.—Council Discussion Group—Room M-07

The morning session on July 18, 1997 will convene at 10:30 a.m. in the 1st Floor Council Room, M-09. The session will be open to the public as set forth below:

Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Reports

A. Introductory Reports

- B. Staff Introduction
- C. Budget Report
- D. Legislative Report/Reauthorization
- E. Committee Reports on Policy & General Matters
 - 1. Overview
 - 2. Research and Education Programs
 - 3. Public Programs
 - 4. Federal/State Partnership
 - 5. Preservation and Access and Challenge Grants
 - 6. National Humanities Medal

The remainder of the proposed meeting will be closed to the public for the reasons stated above. Further information about this meeting can be obtained from Ms. Nancy E. Weiss, Advisory Committee Management Officer, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code (202) 606-8322, TDD (202) 606-8282. Advance notice of any special needs or accommodations is appreciated.

Michael S. Shapiro,

Acting Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-17907 Filed 7-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-266 and 50-301]

Wisconsin Electric Power Company; Point Beach Nuclear Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-24 and DPR-27, issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company, (the licensee), for operation of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, located in Manitowoc County, Wisconsin.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would revise Technical Specification (TS) 15.3.3, "Emergency Core Cooling System, Auxiliary Cooling Systems, Air Recirculation Fan Coolers, and Containment Spray," to change allowed outage times and increase the number of pumps required to be operable for the service water and component cooling water systems; TS 15.3.7, "Auxiliary Electrical Systems," to reflect service water system operability requirements; TS 15.3.12, "Control Room Emergency Filtration," to increase charcoal filtration efficiencies and include a specific testing standard; and TS 15.5.2,

"Containment," to change the design heat removal capability of the containment fan coolers.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendments dated September 30, 1996 (TSCR-192), as supplemented on November 26 and December 12, 1996, February 13, March 5, April 2, April 16, May 9, June 3, June 13 (two letters), and June 25, 1997.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action would allow the licensee to maintain the original design basis requirement to maintain service water as a single-phase fluid in the water-filled cooler portion of the containment air recirculation fan coolers and to modify the design and operation of plant systems to accurately reflect system and component capabilities of Units 1 and 2. The proposed action would change the TS to reflect revised design and operating requirements for the emergency core cooling system, auxiliary cooling systems, air recirculation fan coolers, containment spray system, auxiliary electrical systems, and control room emergency filtration system. The revised design and operating requirements include decreasing service water flow to the air recirculation fan coolers to ensure adequate backpressure is maintained in the air recirculation fan coolers to prevent two-phase flow in the coolers; decreasing the containment heat removal capability of the air recirculation fan coolers because of the decrease in service water flow; limiting the source of water supplied for the containment spray pumps to the available volume of water in the refueling water storage tank, recalculating available volume of water in the refueling water storage tank to address instrument inaccuracies; reducing the volume of water assumed in the containment sump at the start of recirculation initiation; increasing the required number of operable service water pumps to six, increasing the required number of operable component cooling water pumps to two per unit; eliminating the one-unit and two-unit conditions for the component cooling water system; modifying the designation of service water loops to define three headers (north, south, and west); revising the limiting conditions for operation of components in the service water system; changing the required actions in case of electrical bus availability to require shutdown of both units; increasing the charcoal filter efficiency based on standardized testing to a minimum of 99 percent methyl iodide removal efficiency, revising the

standard for thyroid dose conversion factors; revising the activity limits for the primary and secondary systems; changing the modes of operation of the control room ventilation system; reevaluating components in containment required to be environmentally qualified to revised pressure and temperature limits resulting from a large-break loss-of-coolant accident; and modifying the post-accident sampling system design. Changes resulting from replacing the steam generators for Unit 2 and revising the accident analyses for Units 1 and 2 to incorporate new steam generator setpoints, operating pressures, and instrument inaccuracies were also included in the evaluations to support these amendment applications.

The changes proposed by the proposed amendments provide the appropriate limiting conditions for operation, action statements, allowable outage times, and design specifications for service water, containment cooling, component cooling water, control room ventilation system, and normal and emergency power supplies. This ensures that the safety systems that protect the reactor and containment will operate as required. The design of the reactor and containment are not affected by these proposed changes. The proposed changes resulted in a revised design basis for both units. The revised design basis was appropriately evaluated to ensure that there was not a significant reduction in the margin of safety. The safety systems and limiting conditions for operation for these safety systems that provide support functions will continue to meet the requirements for accident mitigation for Point Beach Nuclear Plant. The revised accident analyses required reevaluation of the radiological consequences. The limiting design-basis accident for dose assessment is the large-break loss-of-coolant accident.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, part 100, specifies guidelines for radiation exposure at the exclusion area boundary and the low population zone. The Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2, were licensed based on not exceeding a total radiation dose to the whole body in excess of 25 rem and a total radiation dose in excess of 300 rem to the thyroid from iodine exposure for an individual located at any point on the exclusion area boundary (EAB) for 2 hours immediately following onset of the postulated fission product release and not exceeding a total radiation dose to the whole body in excess of 25 rem