issued in the investigation, provided that the application is made no later than 21 days prior to the hearing date specified in this notice. Authorized applicants must represent interested parties, as defined by 19 U.S.C. 1677(9), who are parties to the investigation. A party granted access to BPI in the preliminary phase of the investigation need not reapply for such access. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Staff Report

The prehearing staff report in the final phase of this investigation will be placed in the nonpublic record on May 1, 1997, and a public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.22 of the Commission's rules.

Hearing

The Commission will hold a hearing in connection with the final phase of this investigation beginning at 9:30 a.m. on May 14, 1997, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Requests to appear at the hearing should be filed in writing with the Secretary to the Commission on or before May 6, 1997. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the hearing. All parties and nonparties desiring to appear at the hearing and make oral presentations should attend a prehearing conference to be held at 9:30 a.m. on May 8, 1997, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by sections 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), and 207.24 of the Commission's rules. Parties must submit any request to present a portion of their hearing testimony in camera no later than 7 days prior to the date of the hearing.

Written Submissions

Each party who is an interested party shall submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.23 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is May 8, 1997. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.24 of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.25 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is May 22, 1997; witness testimony must be filed no later than three days before the

hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the investigation may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the investigation on or before May 22, 1997. On June 10, 1997, the Commission will make available to parties all information on which they have not had an opportunity to comment. Parties may submit final comments on this information on or before June 12, 1997, but such final comments must not contain new factual information and must otherwise comply with section 207.30 of the Commission's rules. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the Commission's rules, each document filed by a party to the investigation must be served on all other parties to the investigation (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority: This investigation is being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: January 14, 1997.

By order of the Commission.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–1639 Filed 1–22–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Judgment Pursuant to the Rivers and Harbors Act

In accordance with Departmental Policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby given that a Consent Decree in *United States* v. *Providence Harbour View Inc.*, Civil No. 97–008P (D.R.I.), was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island on January 7, 1997.

The Consent Decree concerns alleged violations of section 10 of the Rivers and Harbors Act ("RHA"), 33 U.S.C. 403, resulting from the defendant's discharge of fill material, performance of unauthorized work and placement of structures, including riprap, pilings, and floating docks, in the waters of the Providence Harbor, Rhode Island,

navigable waters of the United States, without the required permits. As part of the Consent Decree, defendant will be required to pay \$40,000 as disgorgement of economic benefit and to submit an after-the-fact permit application to the United States Army Corps of Engineers within 90 days of the entry of the Consent Decree. Defendant has also agreed to abide by regulations for the permit programs under the RHA and section 404 of the Clean Water Act, 33 U.S.C. 1344.

The Department of Justice will receive written comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice. Comments should be addressed to Michael P. Iannotti, Assistant U.S. Attorney, 10 Dorrance Street, Tenth Floor, Providence, Rhode Island 02903, and should refer to *United States* v. *Providence Harbour View, Inc.*, C.A. No. 97–008P (D.R.I.).

The Consent Judgment may be examined at the Clerk's Office, United States District Court for the District of Rhode Island, Kennedy Plaza, Providence, Rhode Island 02903.

Michael P. Iannotti,

A seisteret II C. Attanza

Assistant U.S. Attorney.

[FR Doc. 97–1591 Filed 1–22–97; 8:45 am]

Notice of Lodging of Settlement Agreement Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

In accordance with Departmental policy, notice is hereby given that a proposed Consent Decree between the United States and Stratton Georgoulis was lodged on January 6, 1997, with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa. The Consent Decree resolves United States v. TIC Investment Corporation, et al, No. 91-2065 (N.D. Iowa), a civil action filed by the United States against Stratton Georgoulis, TIC Investment Corporation and TIC United Corporation under Sections 104(e) and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. 9604(e) & 9607. The United States brought this action to recover \$576,337.18 in unreimbursed response costs at the White Farm Equipment Dump Site ("the Site"), following the entry of a Consent Decree with Allied Products Corporation ("Allied") under which Allied voluntarily performed EPA's selected remedial action for the Site and reimbursed the United States for its costs of overseeing Allied's

completion of the remedy. The United States also sought a penalty from the defendants under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9604(e), based on the defendants' alleged unreasonable failure to comply with written information requests served upon them by EPA.

Under the Consent Decree, Georgoulis will reimburse the United States for \$530,000 of its unreimbursed costs at the Site, and pay a \$100,000 civil penalty to resolve the United States' claims for the defendants' alleged violations of Section 104(e) of CERCLA.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *TIC Investment Corporation, et al.*, DOJ Ref. #90–11–2–665a.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Suite 400, Hach Building, 401 First Street, S.E., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401; the Region 7 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 98105; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.25 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 97–1592 Filed 1–22–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

Federal Bureau of Investigation

Notice of Charter Renewal

In accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Title 5, United States Code, Appendix 2), and Title 41, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 101–6.1015, the Director, FBI, with the concurrence of the Attorney General, has determined that the continuance of the Criminal Justice Information Services (CJIS) Advisory Policy Board is in the public interest, in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the FBI by law, and hereby gives notice of the renewal of its charter, scheduled for December 15, 1996.

The Board recommends to the Director, FBI, general policy with respect to the philosophy, concept, and operational principles of the various criminal justice information systems managed by the FBI's CJIS Division.

The Board includes representatives from state and local criminal justice agencies; members of the judicial, prosecutorial, and correctional segments of the criminal justice community; a representative of Federal agencies participating in the CJIS systems; and representatives of criminal justice professional associations (i.e., the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the Major Cities Chiefs, the National Sheriffs' Association, the National District Attorneys Association, and the American Probation and Parole Association). All members of the Board will be appointed by the FBI Director.

The Board functions solely as an advisory body in compliance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. Its charter will be filed in accordance with the provisions of the Act

Dated: November 2, 1996. Louis J. Freeh,

BILLING CODE 4410-02-M

Director.

[FR Doc. 97–1594 Filed 1–22–97; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-32, 709; NAFTA-01224]

Penn Mould Industries, Incorporated, Washington, Pennsylvania; Notice of Negative Determination on Reconsideration

On November 27, 1996, the Department issued an Affirmative Determination Regarding Application for Reconsideration for the workers and former workers of the subject firm. The petitioner, American Flint Glass Workers Union, AFL–CIO, presented evidence that the Department's survey of the subject firm customers was incomplete. This notice was published in the Federal Register on December 13, 1996 (61 FR 65599).

The Department's initial denial of TAA for workers of Penn Mould Industries was because the "contributed importantly" group eligibility requirement of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, was not

met. The investigation revealed that layoffs were attributable to a change in the manufacturing process of glass molds at the Washington, Pennsylvania plant.

The Department's initial denial of NAFTA–TAA for workers of Penn Mould Industries was because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements in paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act were not met. The subject firm did not import glass forming molds, or shift production to Mexico or Canada. The investigation revealed that layoffs were attributable to a process change in the manufacturing of glass forming molds.

The petitioner provided data on U.S. imports of glass containers to support their claim that workers producing glass forming molds are adversely affected by increased imports. The Department concurs that there is an aggregate increase in imports of glass containers from Mexico and Canada and other foreign sources. However, in order to determine worker eligibility for TAA or NAFTA-TAA, the Department must examine imports of products like or directly competitive with those articles produced at the Washington production facility. In this case, the products produced at Washington were glass forming molds. Glass containers cannot be considered like or directly competitive with the end products produced and sold at the Washington plant.

The petitioner claims that Penn Mould was a captive producer of glass forming molds for its parent company, Ball-Foster Glass Container, Inc. On July 1, 1996, Penn Mould was sold to Ross Mould, Inc. and the Washington, Pennsylvania facility became a commercial producer of glass forming molds. Consequently, the customer base expanded.

The Department conducted a survey of the major customer of Penn Mould Industries, Inc., formerly Penn Mould. Findings of the survey revealed that from 1994 through September 1996, the customer, accounting for the predominate proportion of sales, did not import glass forming molds from Canada, Mexico or other foreign sources.

The petitioner further alleges that workers of another domestic company producing glass forming molds was certified eligible to apply for NAFTA–TAA. Review of that case showed that the workers were certified based on increased company imports of the product.