

Turkey, 62 FR 16547 (April 7, 1997), the case cited by petitioner in objecting to such a change, the Department's intention was to limit the application of a change in policy. In the instant case, however, the Department's calculation of profit for CV was unintentionally based on a methodology called for in the new definition of "ordinary course of trade" found at 771(15) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) using only above-cost sales, rather than the pre-URAA methodology which applies to this proceeding.

2. The Department improperly used "neutral" best information available (BIA) in calculating a margin for Cinsa's sales of heavy gauge (HG) cookware in lieu of using ENASA's home market sales of HG cookware.

DOC Response

Respondent's argument does not reflect a ministerial error. As stated in the notice, we did not collapse Cinsa and ENASA for purposes of this review. During the relevant POR, Cinsa purchased HG cookware from ENASA; Cinsa then resold that cookware to customers in the United States. Only ENASA sold HG cookware in the home market. Because the record contains no information on home market sales by Cinsa of HG cookware to which Cinsa's sales of HG cookware to the United States could be compared, and because an adverse selection of BIA was not warranted under these circumstances, the Department used "neutral" BIA for calculating margins for Cinsa's U.S. sales of HG cookware.

3. The Department incorrectly articulated the basis for not initiating the cost investigation for ENASA.

DOC Position

Respondent's argument does not reflect a ministerial error within the meaning of section 735(e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended. The Department's position, as reflected in the *Final Determination*, accurately reflects our rationale for not requesting cost data for Cinsa's sales of HG merchandise produced by ENASA.

Amended Final Results of Review

As a result of our review, we have determined that the following margins exist:

Manufacturer/exporter	Review period	Margin (percent)
Cinsa	12/1/93-11/30/94	6.86

The Department shall determine, and the Customs Service shall assess,

antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between United States price and foreign market value may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to the Customs Service. Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective, upon publication of this notice of amended final results of review for all shipments of porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for the reviewed company will be the rate for the firm as stated above; (2) for previously investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or the original investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 29.52 percent for porcelain-on-steel cookware from Mexico, the all others rate established in the LTFV investigation.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with section 353.34(d) of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: June 19, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-17050 Filed 6-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-489-501]

Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain Welded Carbon Steel Pipe and Tube From Turkey

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received a request to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Turkey. This order has a May anniversary date. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating this administrative review. The review period is from May 1, 1996, through April 30, 1997.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 30, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian C. Smith or Kris Campbell, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-1766 or (202) 482-3813, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On June 19, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a list of antidumping and countervailing duty cases with May order anniversary dates for which we had received timely requests for review. In addition, during May 1997, we received a timely request from the U.S. petitioners to initiate an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain steel pipe and tube from Turkey. This case was inadvertently omitted from the June 19, 1997, initiation notice. In accordance with sections 19 C.F.R. 353.22(c) and 355.22(c), we are initiating an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain welded carbon steel pipe and tube from Turkey. The Department is not initiating an administrative review of any exporters and/or producers who were not named in the review request.

because such exporters and/or producers were not specified as required under section 353.22(a) (19 CFR 353.22(a)). We intend to issue the final results of this review not later than May 31, 1998.

If requested within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, the Department will determine whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to this review if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer which is affiliated with such exporter or producer.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 C.F.R. 353.34(b) and 355.34(b).

This initiation and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 353.22(c)(1) and 355.22(c)(1).

Dated: June 24, 1997.

Richard W. Moreland,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-17051 Filed 6-27-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Quarterly Update to Annual Listing of Foreign Government Subsidies on Articles of Cheese Subject to an In-Quota Rate of Duty

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Publication of quarterly update to annual listing of foreign government subsidies on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department), in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, has prepared its quarterly update to the annual list of foreign government subsidies on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty during the period January 1, 1997 through March 31, 1997. We are publishing the current listing of those subsidies that we have determined exist.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Russell Morris or Maria MacKay, Office of CVD/AD Enforcement VI, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 702(a) of the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 (as amended) (the Act) requires the Department to determine, in consultation with the Secretary of Agriculture, whether any foreign government is providing a subsidy with respect to any article of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty, as defined in section 702(g)(b)(4) of the Act, and to publish an annual list and quarterly updates of the type and amount of those subsidies. We hereby provide the Department's quarterly update of subsidies on cheeses that were imported during the period January 1, 1997 through March 31, 1997.

The Department has developed, in consultation with the Secretary of

Agriculture, information on subsidies (as defined in section 702 (g)(b)(2) of the Act) being provided either directly or indirectly by foreign governments on articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty. The appendix to this notice lists the country, the subsidy program or programs, and the gross and net amounts of each subsidy for which information is currently available.

The Department will incorporate additional programs which are found to constitute subsidies, and additional information on the subsidy programs listed, as the information is developed.

The Department encourages any person having information on foreign government subsidy programs which benefit articles of cheese subject to an in-quota rate of duty to submit such information in writing to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

This determination and notice are in accordance with section 702(a) of the Act.

Dated: June 20, 1997.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

APPENDIX—SUBSIDY PROGRAMS ON CHEESE SUBJECT TO AN IN-QUOTA RATE OF DUTY

Country	Program(s)	Gross ¹ subsidy	Net ² subsidy
Austria	European Union Restitution Payments	\$0.26	\$0.26
Belgium	EU Restitution Payments	0.00	0.00
Canada	Export Assistance on Certain Types of Cheese	0.26	0.26
Denmark	EU Restitution Payments	0.20	0.20
Finland	EU Restitution Payments	0.35	0.35
France	EU Restitution Payments	0.20	0.20
Germany	EU Restitution Payments	0.26	0.26
Greece	EU Restitution Payments	0.00	0.00
Ireland	EU Restitution Payments	0.09	0.09
Italy	EU Restitution Payments	0.04	0.04
Luxembourg	EU Restitution Payments	0.00	0.00
Netherlands	EU Restitution Payments	0.11	0.11
Norway	Indirect (Milk) Subsidy	0.42	0.42
	Consumer Subsidy	0.19	0.19
Total	0.61	0.61
Portugal	EU Restitution Payments	0.12	0.12
Spain	EU Restitution Payments	0.13	0.13
Switzerland	Deficiency Payments	0.32	0.32