

protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Tennessee to appear or be represented at the hearing.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-16829 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP91-203-064]

#### Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Refund Report

June 23, 1997.

Take notice that on June 16, 1997, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), tendered for filing its Refund Report in the referenced proceeding related to the period from 1974 to 1984.

Tennessee states that it dispersed refunds, with interest, to its customers entitled to a refund on May 16, 1997 with detailed calculations supporting the refunded amount in accordance with the Stipulation in the above-referenced proceeding.

Tennessee states that a copy of this filing including Schedules 1 and 2 has been mailed to each affected state regulatory commission and to Tennessee's customers.

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's rules and regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before June 30, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-16838 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-275-003]

#### Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company; Notice of Tariff Filing

June 23, 1997.

Take notice that on June 18, 1997, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fifth Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised tariff sheets in compliance with the Commission's June 3, 1997 Order on Compliance and Rehearing in the above-referenced dockets (June 3 Order):

Third Revised Sheet No. 405A

Third Revised Sheet No. 405B

Third Revised Sheet No. 405C

Tennessee states that these tariff sheets set forth the revisions required by the June 3 Order to Tennessee's tariff provisions concerning the net present value (NPV) system for awarding generally available capacity on its system.

Tennessee further states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all intervening parties in the above-referenced dockets.

Any person desiring to protect this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of

the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to this proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-16839 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-573-000]

#### Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

June 23, 1997.

Take notice that on June 12, 1997, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), 5400 Westheimer Court, Houston, Texas 77056-5310, filed in Docket No. CP97-573-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) under the Natural Gas Act (NGA) for authorization to modify an existing receipt point by converting it to a delivery point and to construct and operate an additional delivery point, both located on Texas Eastern's 20-inch Line No. 2 in Lincoln Parish, Louisiana, under Texas Eastern's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-535-000, pursuant to Section 7 of the NGA, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Eastern proposes to utilize the facilities for deliveries of natural gas to PanEnergy Louisiana Intrastate Company (PELICO), a wholly-owned subsidiary of PanEnergy Corp. and an affiliate of Texas Eastern. It is stated that the existing receipt point is an 8-inch tap and that the proposed delivery point would be a 4-inch tap. The cost of the proposal is estimated at \$114,811, and it is stated that Texas Eastern will be fully reimbursed for the cost of converting and installing the facilities by PELICO. It is asserted that Texas Eastern will use the facilities to deliver up to 125 NMcf of gas per day to PELICO. It is asserted that the proposal is not prohibited by Texas Eastern's existing tariff and can be accomplished without detriment or disadvantage to Texas Eastern's other customers. It is further asserted that the service

rendered through the facilities will utilize existing capacity and will have no effect on Texas Eastern's peak day or annual deliveries.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-16827 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. FA96-6-003 and RP92-137-046]

#### Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Compliance Filing

June 23, 1997.

Take notice that on June 13, 1997, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission its refund plan to comply with the Division of Audits letter order of January 8, 1997 in OCA-DOA Docket Nos. FA96-6-000 and 002.

As background to the instant filing, the January 8 Order recommended corrective actions on certain findings of non-compliance with the Commission's accounting, financial reporting and related regulations. Part I, Compliance Exception No. 3, stated, in part, that Transco inappropriately excluded certain interruptible transportation revenues related to a Spider Field lateral in Louisiana from its excess IT revenue refunds covering the period September 1, 1992 through October 31, 1994. The instant filing is to submit a refund plan to distribute such revenue to firm shippers, GSS customers and interruptible shippers paying maximum rates covering the period September 1, 1992 through October 31, 1993.

Transco states that copies of the filing have been served upon its affected customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's rules and regulations. All such protests must be filed on or before June 30, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-16834 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ANTITRUST DIVISION

### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; Health Data Sciences—Ulticare Consortium

Notice is hereby given that, on March 24, 1997, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Health Data Sciences Corporation has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objective of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties to the venture which shall be known as the Ulticare Consortium are: Health Data Sciences Corporation, San Bernardino, CA; BSG Corporation, Austin, TX and New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation, New York, NY. General planned activities are to develop and demonstrate enhanced health care computer information infrastructures to redefine care-giver roles in order provide better collaborative care of patients by all care-givers. The Consortium will examine new models of care-giver collaborative organizations and workflow management using dependable automated information systems and will

identify the best objectified model created under the project.

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 97-16816 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

#### Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; The Development of STEP Ship Product Model Database & Translators for Data Exchange Between U.S. Shipbuilders

Notice is hereby given that, on September 19, 1996, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Intergraph Corporation has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of the venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are: Intergraph Corporation, Huntsville, AL; Advanced Management Catalyst, Inc., Wiscasset, ME; Computervision Corporation, Bedford, MA; Electric Boat Corporation, Groton, Ct; Ingalls Shipbuilding, Inc., Pascagoula, MS; Kockums Computer Systems, Inc., Annapolis, MD; Newport News Shipbuilding, Newport News, VA; The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI. The activities of this venture are partially funded through a Cooperative Agreement with the Carderock Division of the Naval Surface Warfare Center. The objectives are to implement a neutral file transfer capability between the product models at the U.S. Shipyards, and to develop a United States marine industry prototype product model which will facilitate the implementation of translators and product model data architectures by U.S. shipyards and CAD system developers.

**Constance K. Robinson,**

*Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.*

[FR Doc. 97-16817 Filed 6-26-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-11-M