(CAA) section 812 Retrospective and Prospective Studies (see 61 FR 54196, Thursday, October 17, 1996), as well as public teleconferences held in March 14, 19 and 26, and May 15, 1997 (See 62 FR 10045, Wednesday, March 5, 1997 and 62 FR 19320, Monday, April 21, 1997, for further information). Please note that the previously advertised teleconferences of March 21 and May 16, 1997 were cancelled. Specifically, the March 21, 1997 teleconference was cancelled to give the Agency staff time to prepare select text edits to the Retrospective Study, and have discussion on them at the March 26,1997 public teleconference. The May 16, 1997 teleconference was cancelled so that the Agency staff would have time to prepare a strategic vision and plan to present and discuss with the Council at its June 30, 1997 public teleconference.

The latest revised draft Retrospective Study document, dated April 1997 is not available from the Science Advisory Board, but may be obtained by contacting Ms. Michelle Olawuyi (see below for ordering information). The Prospective Study emissions estimates draft documents, as well as a brief paper on the strategic plan are available from Mr. James DeMocker, Office of Air and Radiation (see below for ordering information).

With regard to closure on the Retrospective Study Report to Congress, the Council identified a number of Retrospective Study issue areas, some of which are listed here as follows: valuation of bronchitis and heart disease; presentation of baseline ("but for" issues, that is, but for the presence of the 1990 Clean Air Act), choice of study for estimating PM-related mortality (includes physical effects); costs (operations and maintenance costs, cost-of-clean, etc.); ecological effects; valuing changes in intelligence quotient (IQ) issues; presentation of life years lost calculations (life years remaining issue); methodological effects; morbidity effects by age; and research needs. While most of these issues are settled, it is anticipated that the closure discussions will touch on some of these issues. Other related issues may be discussed as time permits.

To discuss technical aspects or obtain copies of the draft EPA documents pertaining to the CAA section 812 Retrospective Study select draft text edits as well as the Prospective Study strategic vision and plan, as well as emissions estimates, please contact Mr. James DeMocker, Office of Policy Analysis and Review (OAR) (MC 6103), US Environmental Protection Agency,

401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460. Tel. (202) 260-8980; FAX (202) 260–9766, or via the Internet at: democker.jim@epamail. epa.gov. To obtain copies of the latest complete draft of the Retrospective Study Report to Congress dated April 1997 and entitled "The Benefits and Costs of the Clean Air Act, 1970 to 1990," please contact Ms. Michelle Olawuyi, Secretary, Office of Economy and Environment (MC 2172), US Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460. Tel. (202) 260-5488; FAX (202) 260-5732, or via the Internet at olawuyi.michelle@epamail.epa.gov.

To obtain copies of the June 30, 1997 teleconference agenda, please contact Mrs. Diana L. Pozun, Secretary to the Council at Tel. (202) 260-8414: FAX (202) 260-7118; or via the Internet: pozun.diana@epamail.epa.gov. To discuss technical or logistical aspects of the Council's review process, please contact Dr. K. Jack Kooyoomjian, Designated Federal Official for the Council, Tel. (202) 260-2560; FAX (202) 260-7118; or via the Internet at: kooyoomjian.jack@epamail.epa.gov. Members of the public who wish to physically be present at the teleconference may do so at the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Headquarters Building, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, Waterside Mall Room Number 2103. Members of the public who wish to obtain teleconference logging-on procedures should contact Mrs. Diana L. Pozun at least one week prior to the teleconference.

Public Speaking

To request time to present public comments at the Council teleconference, please contact Mrs. Diana L. Pozun in writing at the mail, FAX or E-Mail addresses given above no later than one week prior to the teleconference.

Providing Oral or Written Comments at SAB Meetings

The Science Advisory Board (SAB) expects that public statements presented at its meetings will not be repetitive of previously submitted oral or written statements. In general, opportunities for oral comment at teleconference meetings will be usually limited to three minutes per speaker and no more than fifteen minutes total. Written comments (at least 35 copies) received in the SAB Staff Office sufficiently prior to a meeting date (usually one week prior to a meeting), may be mailed to the Council prior to its meeting; comments received too close to the meeting date will normally be provided to the Council at its meeting, except for

teleconferences, where brief written materials may be FAXed to the participants, with more detailed or lengthy materials received too close to the teleconference to be mailed to the Council or its appropriate subcommittee participants shortly after the teleconference. Written comments may be provided up until the time of the meeting.

Dated: June 4, 1997.

Donald G. Barnes,

Staff Director, Science Advisory Board. [FR Doc. 97-15727 Filed 6-13-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collections Submitted to OMB for **Review and Approval**

June 10, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following proposed and/or continuing information collections, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commissions burden estimates; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before July 16, 1997. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy **Boley, Federal Communications**

Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20554 or via internet to jboley@fcc.gov and Timothy Fain, OMB Desk Officer, 10236 NEOB 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503 or fain_t@a1.eop.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Judy Boley at 202–418–0214 or via internet at jboley@fcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval No.: 3060–XXX. Title: Amendment to Part 90 of the Commission's Rules to Provide for Use of the 220–222 MHz Band by Private Land Mobile Radio Service, PR 89–552. Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: New Collection. Respondents: Businesses or other for profit.

Number of Respondents: 27,062. Estimate Hour Per Response: This collection contains a number of information collection requirements ranging from 1–50 hours per response.

Total Annual Burden: 112,450 hours. Estimated Cost Total Annual Costs: \$28,490,000. This estimate includes costs incurred by respondents hiring consulting engineers to prepare the required information. The estimated costs for hiring these engineers is \$200

per hour.

Needs and Uses: The enclosed Third Report and Order (Third R&O) adopts rules to govern the future operation and licensing of the 220-222 MHz band (220 MHz service). In establishing this new licensing plan, the Commission's goal is to establish a flexible regulatory framework that will allow for the efficient licensing of the 220 MHz service, eliminate unnecessary regulatory burdens, and enhance the competitive potential of the 220 MHz service in the mobile service marketplace. However, as with any licensing and operational plan for a radio service, a certain number of regulatory burdens are necessary. The various information reporting and verification requirements, and the requirement that licensees coordinate and provide written consent, concurrence or agreement with other licensees will be used by the Commission to verify licensee compliance with Commission rules and regulation and to ensure that licensees continue to fulfill their statutory responsibilities in accordance with the Communications Act of 1934. Such information has been used in the past and will continue to be used to minimize interference, verify that applicants are legally, technically, and financially qualified to hold licenses,

and to determine compliance with Commission Rules.

The burden estimates provided in this notice differ from the estimates provided in the **Federal Register** summary of the Third R&O 62 FR 15978, April 3, 1997. In the submission the commission reestimated the burdens to account for respondents hiring consultant engineers, and clarified some of the requirements.

OMB Approval No.: 3060–0757. Title: FCC Auctions Customer Survey. Form No.: N/A.

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Businesses or other for profit; and individuals or households. Number of Respondents: 45,000.

Estimate Hour Per Response: 15 minutes.

Total Annual Burden: 10,875 hours. Estimated Cost Total Annual Costs: There are no estimated cost incurred by the respondents.

Needs and Uses: Section 309(j)(3) of the Communications Act requires the Commission to, under appropriate circumstances, test various methodologies for conducting competitive bidding. By seeking input from auction participants throught the Auctions Customer Survey, the Commission with gather information to evaluate the operation of competitive bidding methodologies used to date and to improve the methodologies used in future auctions.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–15639 Filed 6–13–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Notice of Public Information Collection(s) Being Reviewed by the Federal Communications Commission

June 10, 1997.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork burden invites the general public and other Federal agencies to take this opportunity to comment on the following information collection(s), as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, Public Law 104–13. An agency may not conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid control number. No person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information subject to the

Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) that does not display a valid control number. Comments are requested concerning (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Commission, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of the Commission's burden estimate; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on the respondents, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted on or before July 16, 1997. If you anticipate that you will be submitting comments, but find it difficult to do so within the period of time allowed by this notice, you should advise the contact listed below as soon as possible.

ADDRESSES: Direct all comments to Judy Boley, Federal Communications Commission, Room 234, 1919 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20544 or via internet to jboleyfcc.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For additional information or copies of the information collections contact Judy Boley, at 202–418–0214 or via internet to jboleyfcc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

OMB Approval No.: 3060–0438. Title: Transmittal Sheet for Cellular Applications for Unserved Areas. Form No.: FCC 464.

Type of Payiovy Payi

Type of Review: Revision of a currently approved collection.

Respondents: Business or other forprofit entities.

Number of Respondents: 49
Estimated Hour Per Response: .166
(10 minutes).

Frequency of Response: On occasion reporting requirement.

Estimateed Total Annual Burden: 8 hours.

Needs and Uses: FCC Rules require that applicants submit a transmittal sheet, FCC Form 464, in addition to other filing requirements pursuant to 47 CFR 22. FCC Form 464 is designed to facilitate application intake and other processing functions by serving as a cover sheet to the application. FCC Form 464 is used in Phase I of the licensing scheme for Cellular Applications for Unserved Areas.

The form is being revised to add a space for applicant's Internet or e-mail address and to add a space to collect Taxpayer Identification Number as required by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1966.