

Superintendent by July 15, 1997. Issues for evaluation may be suggested as well as alternatives for addressing the issues. A draft of the planning and environmental impact statement is expected to be available for public review by the summer of 1998. Your input is appreciated.

Dated: May 22, 1997.

**Daniel W. Brown,**

*Acting Regional Director, Southeast Region.*  
[FR Doc. 97-14879 Filed 6-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### National Park Service

#### Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Point Reyes National Seashore Advisory Commission, Notice of Meeting Cancellation

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the meeting of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area and Point Reyes National Seashore Advisory Commission previously scheduled for Wednesday, June 11, 1997 in San Francisco will be cancelled.

The Advisory Commission was established by Public Law 92-589 to provide for the free exchange of ideas between the National Park Service and the public and to facilitate the solicitation of advice or other counsel from members of the public on problems pertinent to the National Park Service systems in Marin, San Francisco and San Mateo Counties. Members of the Commission are as follows:

Mr. Richard Bartke, Chairman  
Ms. Naomi T. Gray  
Mr. Michael Alexander  
Ms. Lennie Roberts  
Ms. Sonia Bolaños  
Mr. Redmond Kernan  
Mr. Merritt Robinson  
Mr. John J. Spring  
Mr. Joseph Williams  
Ms. Amy Meyer, Vice Chair  
Dr. Howard Cogswell  
Mr. Jerry Friedman  
Ms. Yvonne Lee  
Mr. Trent Orr  
Ms. Jacqueline Young  
Mr. R. H. Sciaroni  
Dr. Edgar Wayburn  
Mr. Mel Lane

Dated: May 28, 1997.

**Len McKenzie,**

*General Superintendent, Golden Gate National Recreation Area.*

[FR Doc. 97-14880 Filed 6-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Reclamation

#### Proposed Water Supply Exchange Contract, Yakima Project, Washington

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, as amended, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) proposes to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposed water exchange contract with Trendwest Resorts, Inc. (Trendwest) in Kittitas County, Washington. The purpose of the water exchange contract is to provide a water supply for a Master Planned Resort, proposed for development by Trendwest on property owned by JELD-WEN, Inc. near Roslyn, Washington. Trendwest intends to purchase 3,500 acre-feet of privately owned water rights in tributaries to the Yakima River which it proposes to exchange for storage water from Reclamation's Yakima Project. The exchange would also enable Reclamation to augment instream flows for anadromous fish in streams tributary to the Yakima River.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David Kaumheimer, Environmental Programs Manager, or Candace McKinley, Environmental Protection Specialist, at Reclamation's Upper Columbia Area Office, P.O. Box 1749, Yakima, Washington 98907-1749; telephone: (509) 575-5848.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Trendwest has submitted an application to Kittitas County (County) to develop a Master Planned Resort on a 7,420-acre site near Roslyn. The County has made a determination of significance under the Washington State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) and has announced its intention to prepare an EIS under SEPA. Kittitas County has invited Reclamation, Washington Department of Ecology, and the Yakima Indian Nation to be cooperating agencies in that effort. The County's SEPA document will address impacts associated with development of the proposed resort, including impacts associated with water supply alternatives including the proposed water exchange with Reclamation.

Reclamation will not initiate work on its NEPA document until the County has substantially completed its SEPA document. The selection and analysis of water supply alternatives in the SEPA EIS will help Reclamation determine which portions of the overall resort

development should be considered connected actions for the NEPA analysis and whether an EIS or an environmental assessment would be the appropriate NEPA documentation. In the interim, Reclamation assumes that an EIS will be necessary. Reclamation may adopt, with or without supplementary information, the County's SEPA EIS as its NEPA document or may prepare a separate document, incorporating portions of the SEPA EIS by reference, as applicable.

To date Trendwest has acquired water rights to approximately 1,448 acre-feet of water in the Teanaway River and 360 acre-feet of water in Big Creek near Easton, Washington. Additional water purchases would be within the upper portions of the Yakima basin.

#### Public Involvement

Reclamation plans to conduct public scoping meetings to identify water supply alternatives and impacts. These meetings will be held in mid-June of 1997 at locations in Kittitas and Yakima Counties, Washington. The dates, times, and locations of public scoping meetings will be noted in newspapers of general circulation in both Kittitas and Yakima Counties. Reclamation will summarize comments received during the scoping meetings into a scoping document which will be available to the public. The public is also invited to comment on the process Reclamation plans to use to meet its responsibilities under NEPA. Comments may be submitted to the address in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section.

Dated: May 21, 1997.

**John W. Keys, III,**

*Regional Director, Pacific Northwest Region.*  
[FR Doc. 97-14760 Filed 6-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-M

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-382]

#### Certain Flash Memory Circuits and Products Containing Same; Notice of Issuance of Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Order

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has issued a limited exclusion order and cease and desist order in the above-captioned investigation.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Carl P. Bretscher, Esq., Office of the General

Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-3107.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. § 137), and in sections 210.45 and 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR §§ 210.45 and 210.50).

The Commission instituted this patent-based section 337 investigation based on a complaint filed by complainant SanDisk Corporation ("SanDisk"). Complainant alleged that respondents Samsung Electric Company, Ltd. and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (collectively, "Samsung") had violated section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 CFR § 1337), in the importation, sale for importation, and/or sale after importation of certain flash memory circuits by reason of infringement of claim 1, 2, or 4 of complainant's U.S. Letters Patent 5,418,752 (the '752 patent') and/or claim 27 of complainant's U.S. Letters Patent 5,172,338 (the '338 patent').

The administrative law judge ("ALJ") assigned to this investigation held an evidentiary hearing in September and October 1996. On February 26, 1997, the presiding ALJ issued an initial determination ("ID"), in which he found a violation of section 337. Specifically, the ALJ found that Samsung's so-called "original" design products directly infringe the '752 patent, and both Samsung's original and "new" design products directly infringe the '338 patent. The ALJ also found that Samsung could be held liable for contributory and/or induced infringement of the '752 patent under an alternate construction of certain patent claims in issue advocated by Samsung. However, the ALJ declined to make a determination as to whether Samsung's new design products infringe the '752 patent, citing inadequate document production by Samsung.

On March 5, 1997, the ALJ issued his recommended determination ("RD") on remedy and bonding. The ALJ recommended that the Commission issue a limited exclusion order directed toward Samsung's infringing flash memory circuits as well as to downstream products that incorporate such circuits. The ALJ also recommended that the Commission issue a cease and desist order prohibiting Samsung from selling any flash memory devices in the United

States that infringe the patent claims at issue. Finally, the ALJ recommended that the Commission require Samsung to post a bond in the amount of 100 percent of the entered value of the infringing articles during the Presidential review period.

On March 10, 1997, Samsung petitioned for review of nearly all of the ALJ's major findings, while the Commission investigative attorneys ("IAs") filed a more limited petition for review of certain findings regarding the '752 patent. SanDisk and the IAs filed responses to Samsung's petition on March 18, 1997.

On April 15, 1997, the Commission notified the parties that it had determined to review two issues raised by Samsung's petition for review: (1) Whether the ALJ erred in finding that Samsung could be held liable for contributory and/or induced infringement of the '752 patent; and (2) whether the ALJ erred in declining to make a determination as to whether Samsung's new design products infringe the '752 patent. The Commission requested that the parties brief a series of questions regarding these two issues. The Commission also asked the parties to provide written submissions on the proposed remedy, the public interest, and bonding. In accordance with the Commission's directions, the parties filed their initial briefs on April 28, 1997, and their reply briefs on May 5, 1997.

The target date for completion of this investigation was May 27, 1997. However, on May 23, 1997, the parties jointly requested that the Commission extend the target date to June 2, 1997, in order to give the parties time to finalize a settlement agreement and to file a joint motion to terminate the investigation on the basis of the settlement. The Commission granted the motion, with the stipulation that the deadline for submission of the motion to terminate was May 30, 1997. The parties, however, were unable to reach a settlement agreement and no motion to terminate was filed, with the result that the Commission is issuing its final determinations on the violation issues under review and on remedy, the public interest, and bonding on June 2, 1997.

Having reviewed the record in this investigation, including the parties' written submissions, the Commission determined: (1) To reverse the ALJ and find that Samsung is not liable for contributory infringement; (2) to reverse the ALJ and find that Samsung is not liable for induced infringement; and (3) to find that Samsung's new design products do not infringe the '752 patent due to a failure of proof.

The Commission has further determined that the appropriate form of relief is a limited exclusion order prohibiting the unlicensed entry of infringing flash memory circuits, and carriers and circuit boards containing such circuits, that are manufactured by or on behalf of Samsung. The limited exclusion order does not cover any other products that may contain the infringing circuits, whether manufactured by Samsung or a third party. The Commission has further determined to issue a cease and desist order to domestic respondent Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. prohibiting the importation, selling, marketing, distributing, or advertising of infringing flash memory circuits and carriers and circuit boards containing such circuits.

Finally, the Commission has determined that the public interest factors enumerated in subsections 1337 (d) and (f) do not preclude issuance of the limited exclusion order and cease and desist order, and that the bond during the Presidential review period shall be in the amount of one hundred (100) percent of the entered value of the articles in question.

Copies of the Commission's order, the public version of the Commission's opinion in support thereof, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at (202) 205-1810.

Issued: June 2, 1997.

By order of the Commission.

**Donna R. Koehnke,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-14838 Filed 6-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-01-P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-376]

### Certain Variable Speed Wind Turbines and Components Thereof; Notice of Reopening of Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that, in response to an order issued by the U.S.