

Not later than 270 days after publication of this Notice of Initiation, the Department will issue its final results of review, and will publish these results in the **Federal Register**. All written comments must be submitted in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(e) and must be served on all interested parties on the Department's service list in accordance with 19 CFR 353.31(g).

This notice is in accordance with section 751(b)(1) of the Tariff Act and section 353.22(f)(1)(i) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: May 15, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-14482 Filed 6-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Export Trade Certificate of Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of initiation of process to revoke Export Trade Certificate of Review No. 95-00004.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Commerce issued an export trade certificate of review to UPA, Inc. Because this certificate holder has failed to file an annual report as required by law, the Department is initiating proceedings to revoke the certificate. This notice summarizes the notification letter sent to UPA, Inc.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: W. Dawn Busby, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 ("the Act") (15 U.S.C. 4011-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue export trade certificates of review. The regulations implementing Title III ("the Regulations") are found at 15 CFR part 325. Pursuant to this authority, a certificate of review was issued on August 18, 1995 to UPA, Inc.

A certificate holder is required by law (Section 308 of the Act, 15 U.S.C. 4018) to submit to the Department of Commerce annual reports that update financial and other information relating to business activities covered by its certificate. The annual report is due within 45 days after the anniversary date of the issuance of the certificate of review (Sections 325.14(a) and (b) of the Regulations). Failure to submit a

complete annual report may be the basis for revocation (Section 325.10(a) of the Regulations).

The Department of Commerce sent to UPA, Inc. on February 12, 1997, a letter containing annual report questions with a reminder that its annual report was due by October 2, 1996. Additional reminders were sent on April 11, 1997, and on May 2, 1997. The Department has received no response to any of these letters.

On May 27, 1997, and in accordance with Section 325.10 (c)(1) of the Regulations, a letter was sent by certified mail to notify UPA, Inc. that the Department was formally initiating the process to revoke its certificate. The letter stated that this action is being taken because of the certificate holder's failure to file an annual report.

In accordance with Section 325.10(c)(2) of the Regulations, each certificate holder has thirty days from the day after its receipt of the notification letter in which to respond. The certificate holder is deemed to have received this letter as of the date on which this notice is published in the **Federal Register**. For good cause shown, the Department of Commerce can, at its discretion, grant a thirty-day extension for a response.

If the certificate holder decides to respond, it must specifically address the Department's statement in the notification letter that it has failed to file an annual report. It should state in detail why the facts, conduct, or circumstances described in the notification letter are not true, or if they are, why they do not warrant revoking the certificate. If the certificate holder does not respond within the specified period, it will be considered an admission of the statements contained in the notification letter (Section 325.10(c)(2) of the Regulations).

If the answer demonstrates that the material facts are in dispute, the Department of Commerce and the Department of Justice shall, upon request, meet informally with the certificate holder. Either Department may require the certificate holder to provide the documents or information that are necessary to support its contentions (Section 325.10(c)(3) of the Regulations).

The Department shall publish a notice in the **Federal Register** of the revocation or modification or a decision not to revoke or modify (Section 325.10(c)(4) of the Regulations). If there is a determination to revoke a certificate, any person aggrieved by such final decision may appeal to an appropriate U.S. district court within 30 days from the date on which the Department's

final determination is published in the **Federal Register** (Sections 325.10(c)(4) and 325.11 of the Regulations).

Dated: May 27, 1997.

W. Dawn Busby,

Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 97-14521 Filed 6-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Notice of Scope Rulings

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of scope rulings and anticircumvention inquiries.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) hereby publishes a list of scope rulings and anticircumvention inquiries completed by Import Administration, between January 1, 1997, and March 31, 1997. In conjunction with this list, the Department is also publishing a list of pending requests for scope clarifications and anticircumvention inquiries. The Department intends to publish future lists within 30 days of the end of each quarter.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 4, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ronald M. Trentham, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4793.

Background

The Department's regulations (19 CFR 353.29(d)(8) and 355.29(d)(8)) provide that on a quarterly basis the Secretary will publish in the **Federal Register** a list of scope rulings completed within the last three months.

This notice lists scope rulings and anticircumvention inquiries completed by Import Administration, between January 1, 1997, and March 31, 1997, and pending scope clarification and anticircumvention inquiry requests. The Department intends to publish in July 1997 a notice of scope rulings and anticircumvention inquiries completed between April 1, 1997, and June 30, 1997, as well as pending scope clarification and anticircumvention inquiry requests.

The following lists provide the country, case reference number, requester(s), and a brief description of

either the ruling or product subject to the request.

I. Scope Rulings Completed Between January 1, 1997 and March 31, 1997

Brazil

A-351-817, C-351-818 *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate*
Wirth Limited—Profile slab produced by Companhia Siderurgica de Tubarao (CST) is within the scope of the order. 4/2/97

Germany

A-428-801 *Antifriction Bearings*
Enkotec Company, Inc.—“Main bearings” imported for incorporation into Enkotec Rotary Nail Machines are slewing rings and, therefore, are outside the scope of the order. 2/10/97

Singapore

A-559-801 *Antifriction Bearings*
Rockwell International Corporation—Automotive components known as cushion suspension units (or cushion assembly units or center bearing assemblies) are outside the scope of the order. 2/10/97

Japan

A-588-802 *3 1/2" Micro Disks*
TDK Corporation and TDK Electronics Corporation (collectively TDK)—TDK model PR-CLF2MA coated media web roll is within the scope of the order. 10/23/96. (Correction to Notice of Scope Rulings, 62 FR 9176, February 28, 1997)

A-588-804 *Antifriction Bearings*
Rockwell International Corporation—Automotive components known as cushion suspension units (or cushion assembly units or center bearing assemblies) are outside the scope of the order. 2/10/97

A-588-807 *Industrial Belts*
Honda Power Equipment Manufacturing Inc. (HPE)—Eight drive and blade belts produced by HPE are outside the scope of the order. 1/21/97

American Honda Motor Co., Inc. (AHM)—Twenty-two drive and blade belts produced by AHM are outside the scope of the order. 1/15/97

A-588-810 *Mechanical Transfer Presses*
Komatsu Ltd.—Certain mechanical transfer press parts exported from Japan are outside the scope of the order. 10/1/96. (Correction to Notice of Scope Rulings, 62 FR 9176, February 28, 1997)

Russia

A-821-803 *Titanium Sponge*

Waldron Pacific, Inc.—Titanium tablets produced by electrolytic reduction are within the scope of the order. 3/6/97

II. Anticircumvention Rulings Completed Between January 1, 1996 and March 31, 1997

None.

III. Scope Inquiries Terminated Between January 1, 1997 and March 31, 1997

None.

IV. Anticircumvention Inquiries Terminated Between January 1, 1997 and March 31, 1997

None.

V. Pending Scope Clarification Requests as of March 31, 1997

Canada

A-122-823 *Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon Steel Plate*
Petitioners—Clarification to determine whether certain boron steels are within the scope of the order.

People's Republic of China

A-570-501 *Natural Bristle Paint Brushes and Brush Heads*
Kwick Clean and Green Ltd.—Clarification to determine whether a group of bristles held together at the base with glue, which are to be used as replaceable parts within the cavity of the paintbrush body, is within the scope of the order

A-570-504 *Petroleum Wax Candles*
Enesco Corporation—Clarification to determine whether a birthday candle (style #9500340) is within the scope of the order

Institutional Financing Services—Clarification to determine whether red/white candles packaged as peppermint candles are holiday novelty candles and, thus, outside the scope of the order

Sun-It Corporation—Clarification to determine whether taper candles containing oil of citronella are within the scope of the order

Ocean State Jobbers—Clarification to determine whether taper candles consisting of a blend of petroleum wax and beeswax are within the scope of the order

Fritz Companies, Inc.—Clarification to determine whether a taper with a design depicting a painted “Christmas scene” of holly ivy and berries, item # 416750, is within the scope of the order

Hallmark Cards, Inc.—Clarification to determine whether the 399FMB5503 Formed Wax

Peppermint Candy Candle is within the scope of the order

M.G. Maher & Co. Inc.—Clarification to determine whether a 12 inch spiral candle is within the scope of the order

A-570-808 *Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts*
Wheel Plus, Inc.—Clarification to determine whether imported zinc-plated lug nuts which are chrome-plated in the United States are within the scope of the order

A-570-822 *Helical Spring Lock Washers (HSLWs)*
Shakeproof Industrial Products Division of Illinois Tool Works (SIP)—Clarification to determine whether HSLWs which are imported to the United States in an uncut, coil form are within the scope of the order

A-570-827 *Certain Cased Pencils*
Nadel Trading Corporation—Clarification to determine whether a plastic, “quasi-mechanical” pencil (also known as the “Bensia” pencil) is within the scope of the order

A-570-836 *Glycine*
Consolidated Pharmaceutical Group, Inc.—Clarification to determine whether D(-) Phenylglycine Ethyl Dane Salt is within the scope of the order

South Korea

A-580-803 *Small Business Telephones from Korea*
TT Systems Corporation—Clarification to determine whether the “Model 4300” should be excluded from the scope of the order because it is a “blocking” system, whereas the order pertains to “non-blocking” systems

Taiwan

A-583-810 *Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts*
Wheel Plus, Inc.—Clarification to determine whether imported zinc-plated lug nuts which are then chrome-plated in the United States are within the scope of the order

A-583-820 *Helical Spring Lock Washers (HSLWs)*
Shakeproof Industrial Products Division of Illinois Tool Works (SIP)—Clarification to determine whether HSLWs imported into the United States in an uncut, coil form are within the scope of the order

Japan

A-588-804 *Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings), and Parts Thereof*
Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd.—Clarification to determine whether a cylindrical roller bearing, supposedly without a precision rating, for use as an axle

bearing in cars and trucks is within the scope of the order

A-588-813 Light-Scattering

Instruments and Parts Thereof

Thermo Capillary Electrophoresis, Inc.—Clarification to determine whether diode array detectors and cell flow units are within the scope of the order

A-588-824 Corrosion Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products

Drive Automotive Industries—Clarification to determine whether 2000 millimeter wide, made to order, corrosion resistant carbon steel coils are within the scope of the order

A-588-833 Stainless Steel Bar

Keystone Stainless Inc.—Clarification to determine whether “Keystone 2000”, a specialty stainless steel bar product, should be excluded from the scope of the order because the manufacture of the product substantially differentiates it from any other product available

VI. Pending Anticircumvention Inquiries as of March 31, 1997

Mexico

A-201-805 Certain Welded Non-Alloy Steel Pipe

Allied Tube & Conduit Corp., Sawhill Tubular Division of Tex-Tube Co., Century Tube Corp., Laclede Steel Co., LTV Tubular Products Co., Sharon Tube Co., Western Tube & Conduit Co., Wheatland Tube Co., and CSI Tubular Products, Inc. (Petitioners)—Anticircumvention inquiry to determine whether imports of (i) pipe certified to the American Petroleum Institute (API) 5L line pipe specifications (API 5L or line pipe) and (ii) pipe certified to both the API 5L line pipe specifications and the less stringent American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) A-53 standard pipe specifications (dual certified pipe), falling within the physical dimensions outlined in the scope of the order, are circumventing the antidumping duty order

Korea

A-580-008 Color Television Receivers from Korea

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, the International Union of Electronic Electrical, Salaried, Machine & Furniture Workers, and the Industrial Union Department (the Unions)—Anticircumvention inquiry to determine whether Samsung Electronics Co., L.G. Electronics Inc., and Daewoo Electronics Co.,

are circumventing the order by shipping Korean-origin color picture tubes, printed circuit boards, color television kits, chassis, and other materials, parts and components to plants operated by related parties in Mexico where the parts are then assembled in CTVs and shipped to the United States. Additionally, an anticircumvention inquiry to determine whether Samsung by shipping Korean-origin color picture tubes and other CTV parts to a related party in Thailand for assembly into complete CTVs prior to exportation to the United States is circumventing the order

Interested parties are invited to comment on the accuracy of the list of pending scope clarification requests. Any comments should be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Dated: May 23, 1997.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 97-14481 Filed 6-3-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the Proposed Georgia Coastal Management Program

AGENCY: National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a draft environmental impact statement as required under the National Environmental Policy Act 42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.* (NEPA).

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the intent to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) on the proposed approval of the Georgia Coastal Management Program (GCMP, or Program) under the provisions of Section 306 of the Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, 16 U.S.C. 1455, and distribute it in August 1997.

Federal approval of the GCMP would make the State eligible for program administration grant funds and require that Federal actions be consistent with the Program.

The Program is the culmination of several years of development and consists of numerous State policies on diverse management issues which are prescribed by statute and made enforceable under State law. The Program should improve the decision making process for determining appropriate coastal land and water uses in light of resource considerations. The Program should increase public awareness of coastal resources. Federal alternatives will include delaying or denying approval if certain requirements of the Coastal Zone Management Act have not been met. State alternatives include the possibility of modifying parts of the Program or withdrawal of the request for Federal approval.

In order to determine the scope and significance of issues to be addressed in the DEIS, the Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management (OCRM) hereby solicits comments on the proposed action, particularly with respect to the following issues:

(1) The adequacy of the scope and geographic coverage of the Program's laws and regulations to manage impacts on wetlands, beaches, and other vulnerable natural resources;

(2) The adequacy of the mechanisms for State agency coordination and consultation in order to effectively implement the GCMP; and

(3) The adequacy of the mechanisms for ensuring State agency consistency with the policies of the GCMP and resolving conflicts between agencies.

The manner in which the State proposes to address the above requirements was presented in the Public Review Draft of the Program Document of the GCMP, in November 1996, and a revised Program Document in January 1997. The State has considered all comments submitted in response to those documents in the preparation of the GCMP Draft Program Document to be released with the DEIS in August. Copies of the State document are available from OCRM.

DATES: Persons or organizations wishing to submit comments on these or other issues should do so by July 7, 1997. Any comments received after that time will be considered in the response to comments received on the DEIS.

ADDRESSES: Requests for the above described documents and all comments should be made to: Joshua Lott, Coastal Programs Division, Southeast Region, Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management, 1305 East-West Highway (N/ORM3), Silver Spring, Maryland 20910; tel. 301/713-3117, ext. 178, e-mail:jlott@coasts.nos.noaa.gov.