occupational radiation exposure. The Commission concludes that granting the proposed exemption would result in no significant radiological environmental impact.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed exemption does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. The Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the exemption would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed exemption and this alternative are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to Catawba Nuclear Station and McGuire Nuclear Station.

Agencies and Persons Contacted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 13, 1997, the staff consulted with the South Carolina and North Carolina State officials, respectively, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State officials had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

For further details with respect to this action, see the licensee's request for the exemption dated February 24, 1997, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington DC, and at the local public document rooms located at the York County Library, 138 East Black Street, Rock Hill, South Carolina 29730 for the Catawba Nuclear Station; and the J. Murrey Atkins Library, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, 9201 University City Boulevard, Charlotte, North Carolina 28223 for the McGuire Nuclear Station.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of May 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Herbert N. Berkow**,

Director, Project Directorate II-2, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97-13866 Filed 5-27-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-302]

Florida Power Corporation; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of its regulations to Facility Operating License No. DPR-72 issued to Florida Power Corporation, (the licensee), for operation of the Crystal River Unit 3 Nuclear Generating Plant (CR3) located in Citrus County, Florida.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application dated April 7, 1997 for exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 50.60, "Acceptance Criteria for Fracture Prevention Measures for Lightwater **Nuclear Power Reactors for Normal** Operation" which would allow the licensee to utilize the American Society of Mechanical Engineers Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (ASME Code) Case N-514, "Low Temperature Overpressure Protection," to determine its low temperature overpressure protection (LTOP) setpoints. The licensee requests an exemption from certain requirements of 10 CFR 50.60, to allow application of an alternate methodology to determine the LTOP setpoints for CR3. The proposed alternate methodology is consistent with guidelines developed by the ASME Working Group to define pressure limits during LTOP events that avoid certain unnecessary operational restrictions, provide adequate margins against failure of the reactor pressure vessel, and reduce the potential for unnecessary activation of pressurerelieving devices used for LTOP. These guidelines have been incorporated into Code Case N-514, "Low Temperature Overpressure Protection," which has been approved by the ASME Code Committee. The content of Code Case N-514 has been incorporated into Appendix G of Section XI of the ASME Code and published in the 1993 Addenda to Section XI. However, 10

CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards," and Regulatory Guide 1.147, "Inservice Inspection Code Case Acceptability" have not been updated to reflect the acceptability of Code Case N-514.

The philosophy used to develop Code Case N-514 guidelines is to ensure that the LTOP limits are still below the pressure/temperature (P/T) limits for normal operation but allow the pressure that may occur with activation of pressure-relieving devices to exceed the P/T limits, provided acceptable margins are maintained during these events. This philosophy protects the pressure vessel from LTOP events and still maintains the Technical Specifications P/T limits applicable for normal heatup and cooldown in accordance with 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G, and Sections III and XI of the ASME Code.

The Need for the Proposed Action

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.60, all lightwater nuclear power reactors must meet the fracture toughness requirements for the reactor coolant pressure boundary as set forth in 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G, which defines P/ T limits during any condition of normal operation including anticipated operational occurrences and system hydrostatic tests, to which the pressure boundary may be subjected over its service lifetime. It is specified in 10 CFR 50.60(b) that alternatives to the described requirements in 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G, may be used when an exemption is granted by the Commission pursuant to 10 CFR 50.12.

To prevent transients that would produce excursions exceeding the 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G, P/T limits while the reactor is operating at low temperatures, the licensee installed an LTOP system. The LTOP system includes a pressure-relieving device in the form of a power-operated relief valve (PORV). The PORV is set at a pressure below the LTOP enabling temperature that would prevent the pressure in the reactor vessel from exceeding the P/T limits of 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G. To prevent the PORV from lifting as a result of normal operating pressure surges (e.g., reactor coolant pump starting or stopping) with the reactor coolant system in a water solid condition, the operating pressure must be maintained below the PORV setpoint. The licensee indicates that its LTOP PORV setpoint based on the 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G, would restrict the P/T operating window and could potentially result in undesired actuation of the PORV during normal heatup and cooldown operation. The operating window is restricted by the difference between the P/T limit curves and the

reactor coolant pump net positive suction head curve. Therefore, the licensee proposed to use the safety margins developed in an alternate methodology in lieu of the safety margins required by 10 CFR part 50, Appendix G for determining the allowable pressure, and the PORV setpoint for LTOP events. The alternate methodology is consistent with ASME Code Case N–514. The content of Code Case N–514 was incorporated into Appendix G of Section XI of the ASME Code and published in the 1993 Addenda to Section XI.

An exemption from 10 CFR 50.60 is required to use the alternate methodology for calculating the maximum allowable pressure for LTOP considerations. By application dated April 7, 1997, the licensee requested an exemption from 10 CFR 50.60 to allow it to utilize the alternate methodology of Code Case N–514 for computing its LTOP setpoints.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

Appendix G of the ASME Code requires that the P/T limits be calculated (a) Using a safety factor of 2 on the principal membrane (pressure) stresses, (b) assuming a flaw at the surface with a depth of one-quarter (1/4) of the vessel wall thickness and a length of 6 times its depth, and (c) using a conservative fracture toughness curve that is based on the lower bound of static, dynamic, and crack arrest fracture toughness tests on material similar to the CR3 reactor vessel material.

In determining the PORV setpoint for LTOP events, the licensee proposed the use of safety margins based on an alternate methodology consistent with the proposed ASME Code Case N-514, which allows determination of the setpoint for LTOP events such that the maximum pressure in the vessel will not exceed 110 percent of the P/T limits of the existing ASME Appendix G. All other factors, including assumed flaw size and fracture toughness, will be consistent with the 10 CFR 50.60, Appendix G. Although this methodology would reduce the safety factor on pressure, the margins with respect to toughness are acceptable for LTOP transients. Thus, applying Code Case N-514 will satisfy the underlying purpose of 10 CFR 50.60 for fracture toughness requirements. Further, by relieving the operational restrictions, the potential for undesirable lifting of the PORV would be reduced, thereby improving plant safety.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in

the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

Alternative to the Proposed Action

As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action did not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statements related to operation of CR3, dated May 1973.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on May 12, 1997 the staff consulted with the Florida State Official, Mr. Bill Passetti of the Florida Department of Health and Rehabilitative Services, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

Finding of No Significant Impact

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption. Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the request for exemption dated April 7, 1997 which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC and at the local public document room located at Coastal Region Library, 8619 W. Crystal Street, Crystal River, Florida 32629.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 21st day of May 1997.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Frederick J. Hebdon**,

Director, Project Directorate II-3, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97-13867 Filed 5-27-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATES: Weeks of May 26, June 2, 9, and 16, 1997.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Public and closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of May 26

There are no meetings scheduled for the week of May 26.

Week of June 2—Tentative

Wednesday, June 4

11:30 a.m.

Affirmation Session (PUBLIC MEETING) (if needed)

Week of June 9—Tentative

Wednesday, June 11

9:00 a.m

Briefing by the Executive Branch (Closed—Ex. 1)

Thursday, June 12

1:30 p.m.

Briefing on Status of License Renewal, (Public Meeeting), (Contact: P.T. Kuo, 301–415–3147)

3:00 p.m.

Briefing on Steam Generator Issues, (Public Meeting), (Contact: Brian Sheron, 301–415–2722)

4:30 p.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting), (if needed)

Friday, June 13

9:00 a.m.

Briefing on Medical Regulation Issues, (Public Meeting), (Contact: Catherine Haney, 301–415–6852)

Week of June 16—Tentative

Thursday, June 19

11:30 a.m.

Affirmation Session (Public Meeting), (if needed)

The schedule for Commission meetings is subject to change on short notice. To verify the status of meetings call (recording)—(301) 415–1292.