

collection on the respondents, including through the use of information technology.

Dated: May 16, 1997.

Gloria Parker,

Director, Information Resources Management Group.

Office of Management

Type of Review: New.

Title: Department of Education Federal Cash Award Certification Statement and Department of Education Federal Cash Quarterly Confirmation Statement.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; Not for Profit institutions; Federal Government; State, Local or Tribal Government, SEAs or LEAs.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 12,000.

Burden Hours: 38,160.

Abstract: The collection of the Federal Cash Award Statement is necessary for the Agency to monitor cash advanced to grantees and to obtain expenditure information for each grant from grantees. Information collection is used to report total outlays to the Office of Management and Budget and the Department of the Treasury and is used to project the Federal government's and the Department's financial condition. This information collection also enables the Department to provide Treasury with outlay information to facilitate Treasury's estimation of future borrowing requirements. Respondents include over 12,000 State, local, college, university, proprietary school and non-profit grantees who draw funds from the Department.

The collection of Federal cash quarterly confirmation statement enables grantees to identify discrepancies in grant authorizations, and funds drawn and funds refunded. Action is required only if a grantee's records do not agree with the information contained on the statement. This information will be used to help grantees report and initiate resolution of discrepancies. Respondents include over 12,000 State, local, college, university, proprietary school and non-profit grantees who draw funds from the Department.

Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services

Type of Review: New.

Title: Grantee Reporting Form.

Frequency: Annually.

Affected Public: Business or other for-profit; Not-for-profit institutions; State, local or Tribal Gov't, SEAs or LEAs.

Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Hour Burden:

Responses: 165.

Burden Hours: 330.

Abstract: Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA) training grants provide stipends to "RSA Scholars" in order to train skilled rehabilitation personnel. Grantees are required to "track" scholars, relative to the "payback" provision in the Rehabilitation Act. Data collection is reported annually to RSA in order to monitor performance and report progress to Congress.

[FR Doc. 97-13413 Filed 5-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Surplus Plutonium Disposition Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Department of Energy

ACTION: Notice of intent

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) announces its intent to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) on the disposition of United States' weapons-usable surplus plutonium. This EIS is tiered from the Storage and Disposition of Weapons-Usable Fissile Materials Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (Storage and Disposition PEIS) (DOE/EIS-0229), issued in December 1996, and the associated Record of Decision (62 FR 3014), issued on January 14, 1997.

The EIS will examine reasonable alternatives and potential environmental impacts for the proposed siting, construction, and operation of three types of facilities for plutonium disposition. The first is a facility to disassemble and convert pits (a nuclear weapons component) into plutonium oxide suitable for disposition. As explained in the January 1997 Record of Decision, this pit disassembly and conversion facility will be located at either DOE's Hanford Site, Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL), Pantex Plant, or Savannah River Site (SRS). The second is a facility to immobilize surplus plutonium in a glass or ceramic form for disposition in a geologic repository pursuant to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. This second facility will be located at either Hanford or SRS, and include a collocated capability to convert non-pit plutonium materials into a form suitable for immobilization. The EIS will discuss various technologies for immobilization.

The third type of facility would fabricate plutonium oxide into mixed oxide (MOX) fuel. The MOX fuel fabrication facility would be located at either Hanford, INEEL, Pantex or SRS. MOX fuel would be used in existing commercial light water reactors in the United States, with subsequent disposal of the spent fuel in accordance with the Nuclear Waste Policy Act. Some MOX fuel could also be used in Canadian deuterium uranium (CANDU) reactors depending upon negotiation of a future international agreement between Canada, Russia, and the United States. The EIS will also discuss decommissioning and decontamination (D&D) of the three facilities.

This Notice of Intent describes the Department's proposed action, solicits public input, and announces the schedule for the public scoping meetings.

DATES: Comments on the proposed scope of the Surplus Plutonium Disposition EIS (SPD EIS) are invited from the public. To ensure consideration in the draft EIS, written comments should be postmarked by July 18, 1997. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable. DOE will hold interactive scoping meetings near sites that may be affected by the proposed action to discuss issues and receive oral and written comments on the scope of the EIS. The locations, dates and times for these public meetings are included in the Supplementary Information section of this notice and will be announced by additional appropriate means.

ADDRESSES: Comments and questions concerning the plutonium disposition program can be submitted by calling (answering machine) or faxing them to the toll free number 1-800-820-5156, or by mailing them to: Bert Stevenson, NEPA Compliance Officer, Office of Fissile Materials Disposition, U.S. Department of Energy, Post Office Box 23786, Washington, DC 20026-3786.

Comments may also be submitted electronically by using the Office of Fissile Materials Disposition's web site. The address is <http://web.fie.com/fedix/fisl.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For general information on the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Carol Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance, U.S. Department of Energy 1000, Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, DC 20585, 202-586-4600 or 1-800-472-2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

The Storage and Disposition Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS) analyzed the potential environmental consequences of alternatives for the long-term storage (up to 50 years) of weapons-usable fissile materials and the disposition of surplus plutonium. Surplus plutonium for disposition refers to that weapons-usable plutonium that the President has declared surplus to national security needs, as well as such plutonium that may be declared surplus in the future. As stated in the Record of Decision for the Storage and Disposition PEIS, the Department decided to pursue a hybrid

approach that allows immobilization of surplus plutonium in glass or ceramic form and burning of some of the surplus plutonium as MOX fuel in existing, commercial light water reactors in the United States (and potentially in Canadian Deuterium Uranium (CANDU) reactors in Canada depending on future international agreement). The Department decided that the extent to which either or both of these disposition approaches would ultimately be deployed would depend in part upon future NEPA review, although the Department committed to immobilize at least 8 metric tons (tonnes) of currently declared surplus plutonium and reserved the option of immobilizing all surplus weapons plutonium. In the

Record of Decision for the Storage and Disposition PEIS, the Department further decided to: (1) locate the immobilization facility (collocated with a plutonium conversion facility) at either Hanford or SRS; (2) locate a potential MOX fuel fabrication facility at either Hanford, INEEL, Pantex, or SRS; (3) locate a pit disassembly and conversion facility at either Hanford, INEEL, Pantex, or SRS; and (4) determine the specific technology for immobilization based in part on this follow-on disposition EIS.

The processes, materials and technologies involved in surplus plutonium disposition are depicted in Figure 1.

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P




Figure 1. Plutonium Disposition Processes in DOE's Proposed Action

Proposed Action

The Department proposes to determine whether to continue with both the immobilization and MOX approaches for surplus plutonium disposition and if so, to site, construct, and operate and ultimately D&D three types of facilities for plutonium disposition at one or more of four DOE sites, as follows:

- A collocated non-pit plutonium conversion and immobilization facility at either Hanford, near Richland, Washington, or SRS, near Aiken, South Carolina, with sub-alternatives for the technology and facilities used to form the immobilized plutonium.
- A pit disassembly/conversion facility at either Hanford; SRS; INEEL, near Idaho Falls, Idaho; or the Pantex Plant, near Amarillo, Texas.
- A MOX fuel fabrication facility at either Hanford, INEEL, Pantex, or SRS, with sub-alternatives for fabrication of Lead Test Assemblies for use in fuel qualification demonstrations.

Construction of these facilities would be on previously disturbed land and could include the modification of existing facilities where practicable, to reduce local environmental impacts, reduce costs, and shorten schedules. In the pit disassembly and conversion facility, the Department proposes to disassemble surplus pits and convert the plutonium in them to an unclassified oxide form suitable for disposition. The Department also proposes to convert most non-pit plutonium materials to plutonium oxide at the plutonium conversion facility, which will be collocated with the immobilization facility.

Plutonium Disposition Decisions

The Department expects to make the following decisions based upon the results of this EIS and other information and considerations:

- Whether to construct and operate collocated plutonium conversion and immobilization facilities, and if so, where (including selection of the specific immobilization technology).
- Whether to construct and operate a pit disassembly/conversion facility, and if so, where.
- Whether to construct and operate a MOX fuel fabrication facility, and if so, where (including selection of the site for fabrication of Lead Test Assemblies).

The exact extent to which the MOX approach would ultimately be deployed will depend on a number of factors, in addition to environmental impacts. These are likely to include cost, contract negotiations, and international agreements.

Alternatives

No Action

A No Action alternative will be analyzed (Alternative 1) in the SPD EIS. Implementation of the No Action alternative would mean that disposition would not occur, and surplus weapons-usable plutonium, including pits, metals and oxides, would remain in storage in accordance with the Storage and Disposition PEIS Record of Decision.

Plutonium Disposition Alternatives

The SPD EIS will analyze alternatives for the siting, construction and operation of the three facilities at various candidate sites as described in the Proposed Action. These facilities would be designed so that they could collectively disposition surplus plutonium (existing and future) over their operating lives. Although the exact quantity of plutonium that may be declared surplus over time is not known, for purposes of analysis a nominal 50 tonnes of surplus plutonium will be used for assessing the environmental impacts of plutonium disposition activities at the various candidate sites. Under alternatives involving the "hybrid" (immobilization and MOX) approach selected in the Storage and Disposition Record of Decision, the SPD EIS will analyze the same distribution of surplus plutonium that was analyzed in the Storage and Disposition PEIS, which is fabrication of pits and pure plutonium metal or oxide (approximately 33 tonnes) into MOX fuel, and immobilization of the remaining non-pit plutonium (approximately 17 tonnes). The Record of Decision on the Storage and Disposition PEIS states, "DOE will immobilize at least eight tonnes of currently declared surplus plutonium materials that DOE has already determined are not suitable for use in MOX fuel." Since the issuance of that decision, the Department has further determined that a total of about 17 tonnes of surplus plutonium is not suitable for use in MOX fuel without extensive processing. Thus, an alternative for fabricating all surplus plutonium into MOX fuel will not be analyzed. However, converting the full 50 tonnes of surplus plutonium into an immobilized form will be analyzed as a reasonable alternative.

Under each disposition approach, DOE could in principle locate one, two, or all three facilities at a candidate site. However, locating one facility at each of three sites would mean conducting disposition activities at three widely separated locations around the country. This would substantially increase

transportation cost, unnecessarily increase exposure of workers and the public, and increase transportation risks, without any apparent compensating benefit. Therefore, the Department is proposing to consider only alternatives that locate two or more facilities at one site, with the possibility of one facility at a separate site. Further, certain combinations of facilities and sites are not being considered as reasonable alternatives, because they would also substantially increase transportation cost, unnecessarily increase exposure to workers and the public, and increase transportation risks, without any apparent compensating benefit.

Based on the above considerations and the candidate site selections in the Storage and Disposition Record of Decision, the following alternatives have been developed in addition to the No Action alternative. Table 1 summarizes the alternatives by site. Alternatives 2 through 10 (see Table 1) would involve immobilization of approximately 17 tonnes of low purity (non-pit) plutonium, and fabrication of approximately 33 tonnes of high purity plutonium (pits and plutonium metal) into MOX fuel. The differences among alternatives 2 through 10 are the locations of the proposed facilities. Alternatives 11 and 12 would involve immobilization of all 50 tonnes of plutonium at either Hanford or SRS.

The Department has identified existing facilities that can be modified for use in plutonium disposition at various candidate sites. A summary of the existing and new facilities (shown in the parentheses in Table 1) to be used in the SPD EIS analyses is given in Table 1, where FMEF is the Fuel and Materials Examination Facility, FPF is the Fuel Processing Facility, and DWPF is the Defense Waste Processing Facility.

Lead Test Assemblies

With respect to the MOX alternatives, the Department would qualify MOX fuel forms for use in existing commercial reactors. DOE will analyze two sub-alternatives for the fabrication of the lead test assemblies needed to qualify the fuel. In one sub-alternative, the lead test assemblies would be fabricated in the United States. Fabrication in the United States would involve constructing a pilot capability in conjunction with the fuel fabrication facility. Therefore, the potential sites include the candidate sites for the fuel fabrication facility (i.e., Hanford, INEEL, Pantex, and SRS). The pilot capability could also be located in an existing small facility at the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). The

second alternative would be for fabrication in existing European facilities; three potential fabrication

sites exist (Belgium, France, and the United Kingdom) that would allow fabrication of the Lead Test Assemblies

sooner than with any facility under the United States alternative.

TABLE 1.—DISPOSITION ALTERNATIVES

Alternative/Site/Disposition Facility				
Alt. No.	Pit disassembly	MOX plant	Plutonium conversion and immobilization	Amounts of plutonium
1			No Action	
2	Hanford (FMEF)	Hanford (FMEF)	Hanford (FMEF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
3	SRS (New)	SRS (New)	SRS (New, or Bldg 221F, and DWPF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
4	Pantex (New)	Hanford (FMEF)	Hanford (FMEF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
5	Pantex (New)	SRS (New)	SRS (New, or Bldg 221F, and DWPF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
6	Hanford (FMEF)	Hanford (FMEF)	SRS (New, or Bldg 221F, and DWPF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
7	INEEL (FPF)	INEEL (New)	SRS (New, or Bldg 221F, and DWPF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
8	INEEL (FPF)	INEEL (New)	Hanford (FMEF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
9	Pantex (New)	Pantex (New)	SRS (New, or Bldg 221F, and DWPF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
10	Pantex (New)	Pantex (New)	Hanford (FMEF)	17t Immobilization / 33t MOX.
11	Hanford (FMEF)	N/A	Hanford (FMEF)	50t Immobilization / 0t MOX.
12	SRS (New)	N/A	SRS (New, or Bldg 221F, and DWPF)	50t Immobilization / 0t MOX.

Immobilization Technology

The Record of Decision on the Storage and Disposition PEIS stated, "Because there are a number of technology variations that could be used for immobilization, DOE will also determine the specific immobilization technology based upon the follow-on EIS * * *" (i.e., the SPD EIS). The technologies to be considered are those identified as variants in the Storage and Disposition PEIS.

Preferred Alternative

For immobilization, the Department prefers to use the "can-in-canister" technology at the DWPF at SRS. Under the can-in-canister approach, cans containing plutonium in glass or ceramic form would be placed in DWPF canisters, which would be filled with borosilicate glass containing high-level waste.

Classified Information

The Department plans to prepare the SPD EIS as an unclassified document with a classified appendix. The classified information in the SPD EIS will not be available for public review. However, the classified information will be considered by DOE in reaching a decision on the disposition of surplus plutonium. DOE will provide as much information as possible in unclassified form to assist public understanding and comment.

Research and Development Activities

The Department recently announced its intent to prepare two environmental assessments (EAs) for proposed research and development activities that DOE would conduct prior to completion of the SPD EIS and ROD. One EA will

analyze the potential environmental impacts of a proposed pit disassembly and conversion integrated systems test at LANL. In addition, to further the purposes of NEPA, this EA will describe other research and development activities currently on-going at various sites, including work related to immobilization and to MOX fuel fabrication. The other EA will be prepared for the proposed shipment of special MOX fuel to Canada for an experiment involving the use of United States and Russian fuel in a Canadian test reactor, for development of fuel for the CANDU reactors. This EA will analyze the prior and future fabrication and proposed shipment of the fuel pellets needed for the experiment.

Relationships With Other DOE NEPA Activities

In addition to the SPD EIS and the EAs discussed above, the Department is currently conducting NEPA reviews of other activities that have a potential relationship with the SPD EIS. They include:

1. *Waste Management Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Managing Treatment, Storage and Disposal of Radioactive and Hazardous Waste* (DOE/EIS-0200D) (Draft issued: September 22, 1995; 60 FR 49264).

2. *Management of Certain Plutonium Residues and Scrub Alloy Stored at the Rocky Flats Environmental Technology Site EIS* (Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement: November 19, 1996; 61 FR 58866).

Invitation To Comment

DOE invites comments on the scope of this EIS from all interested parties, including potentially affected Federal, State, and local agencies, and Indian

tribes. Comments can be provided by any of the means listed in the Address Section of this notice and by providing oral and written comments at the scoping meetings.

The Department is requesting, by separate correspondence, that Federal agencies¹ desiring to be designated as cooperating agencies on the SPD EIS inform DOE by July 18, 1997.

Scoping Meetings

Public scoping meetings will be held near each site that may be affected by the proposed action. The interactive scoping meetings will provide the public with the opportunity to present comments, ask questions, and discuss concerns regarding plutonium disposition activities with DOE officials, and for the Department to receive oral and written comments on the scope of the EIS. Written and oral comments will be given equal weight in the scoping process. Input from the scoping meetings along with comments received by other means (phone, mail, fax, website) will be used by the Department in refining the scope of the EIS. The locations and dates for these public meetings are as shown below. All meetings will consist of two sessions (1:00 pm to 4:00 pm and 6:00 pm to 9:00 pm).

Hanford Site:

July 1, 1997
Shilo Inn
50 Comstock
Richland, WA 99352
509-946-4661

¹ Arms Control and Disarmament Agency; Department of Defense; Department of State; Environmental Protection Agency; and Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory

June 10, 1997
 Shilo Inn
 780 Lindsay Boulevard
 Idaho Fall, ID 83402
 208-523-0088

Pantex Plant

June 12, 1997
 Radisson Inn Airport
 7909 I-40 East at Lakeside
 Amarillo, TX 79104
 806-373-3303

Savannah River Site

June 19, 1997
 North Augusta Community Center
 495 Brookside Avenue
 North Augusta, SC 29841
 803-441-4290

Advanced registration for the public meetings is requested but not required. Please call 1-800-820-5134 and leave your name and the location of the meeting(s) you plan to attend. This information will be used to determine the size and number of rooms needed for the meeting.

Scoping Meeting Format:

The Department intends to hold a plenary session at the beginning of each scoping meeting in which DOE officials will more fully explain the framework for the plutonium disposition program, the proposed action, preliminary alternatives for accomplishing the proposed action and public participation in the NEPA process. Following the plenary session, the Department intends to discuss relevant issues in more detail, answer questions, and receive comments. Each scoping meeting for the Surplus Plutonium Disposition EIS will have two sessions, with each session lasting approximately three to four hours.

Issued in Washington, DC this 16 day of May, 1997, for the United States Department of Energy.

Peter N. Brush,

*Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary,
 Environment, Safety and Health.*

[FR Doc. 97-13494 Filed 5-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. RP97-165-003]

Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company; Notice of Compliance Filing

May 16, 1997.

Take notice that on May 12, 1997, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas

Company (Alabama-Tennessee) tendered for filing the tariff sheets listed in Appendix A to the filing, to be effective June 1, 1997.

Alabama-Tennessee states that the tariff sheets are submitted in compliance with Order No. 587 and the Commission's order issued on May 1, 1997 FERC ¶ 61,117).

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Regulations. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-13441 Filed 5-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. ES97-32-000]

Citizens Utilities Company; Notice of Application

May 16, 1997.

Take notice that on May 9, 1997, Citizens Utilities Company (Applicant) filed an application with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission under § 204 of the Federal Power Act requesting orders (a) extending the effectiveness of the order in Docket No. ES95-34-000 until the close of business on June 30, 1997, and (b) authorizing the issuance, from time to time, of up to 50,000,000 shares of common stock as stock dividends on shares of its outstanding common stock during a two-year period ending July 1, 1999.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 1st Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20426 in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before May 20, 1997. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the

protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-13437 Filed 5-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. CP96-712-000]

Discovery Gas Transmission LLC; Notice of Site Visit

May 16, 1997.

On May 22, 1997, beginning at 9:30 a.m., the Office of Pipeline Regulation (OPR) staff will conduct a compliance inspection of the onshore facilities of the Discovery Gas Transmission LLC Pipeline Construction Project in Lafourche Parish, Louisiana, beginning at the Larose Gas Processing Plant site (off state highway 24) in Larose.

All parties may attend. Those planning to attend must provide their own transportation (an air boat is required for most of the pipeline route).

For further information, please contact Paul McKee at (202) 208-1088.

Warren C. Edmunds,

Acting Director, Office of Pipeline Regulation.

[FR Doc. 97-13434 Filed 5-21-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**Federal Energy Regulatory Commission**

[Docket No. ER97-2846-000]

Florida Power Corporation; Notice of Filing

May 16, 1997.

Take notice that on May 5, 1997, Florida Power Corporation (Florida Power) filed an Application for an Order Approving Market-Based Rates for Sales Outside of Florida. In its Application, Florida Power requests authorization to engage in wholesale, bulk power sales outside of Florida at market-determined prices, including sales not involving Florida Power's generation or transmission. Florida Power requests an effective date of 60 days after this filing, or the date on which the Commission issues an order approving Florida Power's application for market-based rates, whichever is earlier.