Parties to this proceeding may request disclosure within five days of publication of this notice and any interested party may request a hearing within 10 days of publication. Any hearing, if requested, will be held 44 days after the date of publication, or the first working day thereafter. Interested parties may submit case briefs and/or written comments no later than 30 days after the date of publication. Rebuttal briefs and rebuttals to written comments, limited to issues raised in such briefs or comments, may be filed no later than 37 days after the date of publication. The Department issue the final results of the administrative review, which will include the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written comments or at the hearing, within 120 days from the date of publication of these preliminary results.

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Individual differences between USP and NV may vary from the percentages stated above. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to Customs. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping dumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the determination and for future deposits of

estimated duties.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective upon completion of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of titanium sponge from Russia entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of these administrative reviews, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rates for Interlink, TMC, Cometals, and AVISMA will be the rates established in the final results of this administrative review; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in the original LTFV investigation or a previous review and have a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the most recent rate published in the final determination or final results for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; (3) for Russian manufacturers or exporters not covered in the LTFV investigation or in this or prior administrative reviews, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the Russia-wide rate; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-Russian exporters of subject merchandise from Russia who were not covered in the LTFV investigation or in this or prior administrative reviews will be the rate

applicable to the Russian supplier of that exporter. These deposit rates, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26(b) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)).

Dated: May 5, 1997.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 97-12202 Filed 5-9-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Norfolk State University; Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89– 651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington,

Docket Number: 97-013. Applicant: Norfolk State University, Norfolk, VA 23504. Instrument: Q-Band ESR Spectrometer with Accessories. Manufacturer: Bruker Instruments Inc., Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 10543, March 7, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) A 34 GHz Gunn source (Q-Band) with 80 mW power, 60 dB +/ -0.2 attenuation, 100 MHz tuning range and (2) a low temperature Q-Band resonator. A domestic spectrometer manufacturer advises that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it

knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97-12390 Filed 5-9-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology, et al; Consolidated **Decision on Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instruments**

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instruments described below, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Docket Number: 96–141. Applicant: Oregon Graduate Institute of Science and Technology, Portland, OR 97291-1000. Instrument: Stopped-Flow Spectrometer, Model SX.18MV. Manufacturer: Applied Photophysics, Ltd., United Kingdom. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 5619, February 6, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) Multimixing capability, (2) a deadtime of 1.2 ms permitting analysis of reaction rates to 1500 s-1 and (3) a photo-diode array detector. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, March 19, 1997.

Docket Number: 97-017. Applicant: University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0931. Instrument: Sleep Recorder, Model Vitaport 2. Manufacturer: TEMEC Instruments BV. The Netherlands. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 13600, March 21, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) Electronic measurements of electrophysical (e.g. EEG and EOG) and cardiorespiratory (e.g. ECG and RIP-THOR) parameters and (2) minimized weight power consumption and physical dimensions appropriate for space flight. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, March 19,

Docket Number: 97–020. Applicant: University of Texas at Austin, Port Aransas, TX 78373. Instrument: IR Mass Spectrometer, Model DELTA^{plus}. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 13600, March 21, 1997. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) A magnetic sector analyzer with stigmatic focusing, (2) internal precision of 0.006 (2σ) per CO₂ ion and (3) absolute sensitivity of 1500 molecules CO₂ per mass 44 ion at the collector. Advice received from: National Institutes of Health, March 19, 1997.

The National Institutes of Health advises in its memoranda that (1) the capabilities of each of the foreign instruments described above are pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–12392 Filed 5–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

University of Chicago; Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 a.m. and 5 p.m. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 97–011. Applicant: The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637. Instrument: ICP Mass Spectrometer, Model ELEMENT. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 62 FR 10543, March 7, 1997.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) A magnetic sector analyzer with inductively coupled plasma ion source, (2) sensitivity better than $50 \times 10^6/\text{second/ppm}$ of indium and (3) a linear detection range from 0.1 ppt to 100 ppm. These capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purposes and we know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States. Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff. [FR Doc. 97–12391 Filed 5–9–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-122-815]

Pure Magnesium and Alloy Magnesium From Canada; Preliminary Results of the Fourth Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews for the 1995 Period of Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of preliminary results of countervailing duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting administrative reviews of the countervailing duty orders on pure magnesium and alloy magnesium from Canada. For information on the net subsidy for the reviewed company, as well as for all non-reviewed companies, please see the Preliminary Results of Reviews section of this notice. If the final results remain the same as these preliminary results of administrative reviews, we will instruct the U.S. Customs Service (Customs) to assess countervailing duties as detailed in the Preliminary Results of Reviews section of this notice. Interested Parties are invited to comment. (See Public Comment section of this notice.)

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 12, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sally Hastings or Cynthia Thirumalai, AD/CVD Enforcement, Group 1, Office 1, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington,

Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–3464 or (202) 482–4087, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 31, 1992, the Department published in the Federal Register (57 FR 39392) the countervailing duty orders on pure and alloy magnesium from Canada. On August 12, 1996, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (61 FR 41771) of these countervailing duty orders. We received timely requests for review from NHCI on August 20, 1996 and from the Gouvernment du Québec (GOQ) on August 21, 1996 and we initiated these reviews, covering the period January 1, 1995 through December 31, 1995, on September 15, 1996 (61 FR 48882)

In accordance with 19 CFR 355.22(a), these reviews cover only the producer or exporter of the subject merchandise for which reviews were specifically requested. Accordingly, these reviews cover only NHCI. Also, these reviews cover seventeen programs.

On October 30, 1996, the Department issued questionnaires to NHCI, the Government of Canada (GOC), and the GOQ. The Department received questionnaire responses from NHCI, the GOC and the GOQ on December 3, 1996. The Department issued supplemental questionnaires to NHCI and the GOQ on April 10, 1997 and received supplemental questionnaire responses from both parties on April 24, 1997.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA) effective January 1, 1995 (the Act). The Department is conducting these administrative reviews in accordance with section 751(a) of the Act.

Scope of the Reviews

The products covered by these reviews are pure and alloy magnesium from Canada. Pure magnesium contains at least 99.8 percent magnesium by weight and is sold in various slab and ingot forms and sizes. Magnesium alloys contain less than 99.8 percent magnesium by weight with magnesium being the largest metallic element in the alloy by weight, and are sold in various ingot and billet forms and sizes. Secondary and granular magnesium are not included. Pure and alloy magnesium are currently provided for in subheadings 8104.11.0000 and 8104.19.0000, respectively, of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Although the HTS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs