

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**  
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-12100 Filed 5-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Notice of Application Filed With the Commission

May 5, 1997.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Amendment of License.

b. *Project No.:* 2142-025.

c. *Date filed:* December 30, 1996.

d. *Applicant:* Central Maine Power.

e. *Name of Project:* Indian Pond.

f. *Location:* The project is located on the Kennebec River, in Somerset and Piscataquis Counties, Maine.

g. *Filed pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* William Campbell, Central Maine Power, 83 Edison Drive, Augusta, ME 04336, Phone: (207) 621-4493.

i. *FERC Contact:* Jake H. Tung, (202) 219-2663.

j. *Comment Date:* June 12, 1997.

k. *Description of Amendment:* The licensee, Central Maine Power, applied for an amendment of license to remove all long-term leased lands from the Indian Pond Project. The redrawn project boundary removes the existing long-term leases from the project and allows continued shoreline erosion control by maintaining at least 50 feet of the project land on the shore side of the leased lands.

1. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs; B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit

comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", OR "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invite to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-12114 Filed 5-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

### Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

#### Notice of Application Filed With the Commission

May 5, 1997.

Take notice that the following hydroelectric application has been filed with the Commission and is available for public inspection:

a. *Type of Application:* Amendment to Licenses.

b. *Project Nos.:* 2322-023, 2325-021, 2552-022, 2574-021, 5073-051, 2611-030, and 11472-002.

c. *Date Filed:* April 23, 1997.

d. *Applicants:* Kennebec Hydro Developers Group (Central Maine Power Company, Merimil Limited Partnership, Benton Falls Associates, Kimberly-Clark Tissue Co./UAH Hydro-Kennebec Limited Partnership, and Ridgewood Maine Hydro Partners, L.P.).

e. *Name of Projects:* Shawmut, Weston, Ft. Halifax, Lockwood, Benton Falls, Hydro-Kennebec, and Burnham.

f. *Location:* Kennebec and Sebasticook Rivers, Kennebec, Somerset and Waldo Counties, Maine.

g. *Filed Pursuant to:* Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 791(a)-825(r).

h. *Applicant Contact:* F. Allen Wiley, P.E., Managing Director, Generation, Central Maine Power Company, North Augusta Office Annex, 41 Anthony Avenue, Augusta, ME 04430, (207) 626-9620.

i. *FERC Contact:* Robert Grieve, (202) 219-2655.

j. *Comment Date:* June 16, 1997.

k. *Description of Application:* By order issued October 22, 1992, the Commission incorporated provisions of the Kennebec Hydro Developers Group (KHDG) agreement into the licenses for six licensed projects (Project Nos. 2322, 2325, 2552, 2574, 5073, and 2611). The order set the dates for filing of fish passage drawings (1997-1999) and construction of fish passage facilities (1999-2001). For Project No. 11472, an existing unlicensed project, the applicant has proposed in its application for license to provide downstream fish passage within 2 years of licensing and upstream passage within 2 years of licensing or by the year 2000, whichever is later, in accordance with the KHDG agreement.

KHDG applicants request: (1) amendment of the existing licenses to require that fish passage facilities be installed only when (a) either permanent fish passage is available at the Edwards Dam Project No. 2389 or that dam is removed, and (b) a biological assessment process determines that restoration efforts have advanced sufficiently to require fish passage; (2) an extension of time for Project Nos. 2552, 5073, 2574, and 2611 to file functional design drawings, now due April 30, 1997, until it has been determined through an assessment process that permanent fish passage facilities are necessary; (3) a stay of the requirement to file said drawings by April 30, 1997; (4) revision of Commission staff's recommendations in the Kennebec River Basin Draft Environmental Impact Statement that

fish passage facilities be installed for Project Nos. 2552 and 2325 by 1999 and 2001, respectively, to be consistent with the request for license amendment; (5) revision of Commission staff's recommendation in the Environmental Assessment for Project No. 11472, issued November 1, 1996, that fish passage facilities be installed by 2000, to be consistent with the request for license amendment; and (6) to the extent that there is any opposition to these requests, a technical conference with the Commission and interested parties to discuss the issues presented by these requests, including, in particular, the conditions under which the KHDG dam owners would continue to conduct trap and truck operations after 1998, at which time their existing obligation to conduct such operations ceases.

I. This notice also consists of the following standard paragraphs: B, C1, and D2.

B. Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, .211, .214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C1. Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TERMS AND CONDITIONS", "PROTEST", or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing refers. Any of the above-named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies provided by the Commission's regulations to: The Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the particular application.

D2. Agency Comments—Federal, state, and local agencies are invited to file comments on the described application. A copy of the application may be obtained by agencies directly from the Applicant. If an agency does not file comments within the time

specified for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 97-12115 Filed 5-8-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5480-2]

### Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 21, 1997 Through April 25, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of FEDERAL ACTIVITIES AT (202) 564-7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 04, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

#### Draft EISs

ERP No. D-BLM-K65196-CA Rating EO2, Interlakes Special Recreation Management Area Plan, Implementation, Federal and Private Lands Issues, Shasta County, CA.

#### Summary

EPA expressed environmental objections with the lack of information regarding monitoring and mitigation proposals to offset significant environmental impacts associated with OHV use in the Chappie-Shasta OHV Management Areas.

ERP No. D-COE-C35011-00 Rating EC2, Newark Bay Confined Disposal Facility (NBCDF), Construction, Dredged Material Disposal Site, NY and NJ.

#### Summary

EPA expressed environmental concerns about the proposed project and requested that additional information be presented in the Final EIS to address these concerns. EPA expressed concerns with the project's monitoring plan and requested a complete assessment of the project's potential impacts to buried prehistoric deposits as well.

ERP No. D-FHW-E40700-GA Rating EC2, Harry S. Truman Parkway, Construction from the Abercorn Street

Extension (GA-204) to Derenne Avenue, COE Section 404 Permit and U.S. Coast Guard Permit, Chatham County, GA.

#### Summary

EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding impacts to estuarine marshes. EPA recommended that additional mitigation be provided to reduce marsh impacts.

ERP No. D-UAF-G11032-TX Rating LO, Reese Air Force Base (AFB) Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, NPDES Permit and COE Section 404 Permit, Lubbock and Terry Counties, TX.

#### Summary

EPA had no objections to the selection of the preferred alternative.

ERP No. D-USN-K11078-00 Rating EO2, Marianas Islands Military Training, Implementation, Marianas Training Plan, Guam, Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Asia, Hawaii and Alaska.

#### Summary

EPA expressed environmental objections due to significant impact to biological resources and coral reefs. EPA requested additional information regarding project description, purpose and need, alternative development and cumulative impacts.

ERP No. DA-COE-C32034-00 Rating EC2, Delaware River Comprehensive Navigation Channel Improvement, Additional Information, Beckett Street Terminal in New Jersey through Philadelphia Harbor, Implementation, several counties, NJ, DE and PA.

#### Summary

EPA expressed environmental concerns about the design and monitoring plan for Kelly Island, and the stockpiling of sand at Slaughter and Broadkill Beaches. Additional information should be presented in the final SEIS to address these issues.

ERP No. DS-AFS-L65202-ID Rating LO, Katka Peak Timber Sale and Road Construction, Implementation, New Information from Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project, to implement Ecosystem Restoration Treatment, Bonners Ferry Ranger District, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, Boundary County, ID.

#### Summary

Our abbreviated review has revealed no EPA concerns on this project.

ERP No. DS-AFS-L67028-AK Rating EC1, Kensington Venture Underground Gold Mine Project, Additional Information, Development, Construction and Operation, Operating Plan Approval, NPDES, Section 10 and 404