and Skranak decision area. Prior to making a NEPA decision, a thorough examination of all standards and guidelines of the Forest Plan would be completed and, if necessary, plan amendments would be addressed in the EIS.

Decisions To Be Made: The Kootenai Forest Supervisor will decide the following:

Should road construction to the private properties be permitted and if so how and where,

What mitigation measures would be required for protection of National Forest Service resources, and

If Forest Plan amendments are necessary to proceed with the Proposed Action within the decision area.

Public Involvement and Scoping:
Notices will be mailed to interested parties from a mailing list, to provide an opportunity for the public to review and comment on the proposed action.
Consultation with appropriate State and Federal agencies will be initiated.
Preliminary effects analysis indicated that the proposed road construction may significantly affect the quality of the human environment. These potential effects prompted the decision to prepare an EIS for the road construction proposals.

This environmental analysis and decision making process will enable additional interested and affected people to participate and contribute to the final decision. Public participation will be requested at several points during the analysis. The Forest Service will be seeking information, comments, and assistance from Federal, State, local agencies, and other individuals or organizations who may be interested in or affected by the proposed projects. This input will be used in preparation of the draft and final EIS. The scoping process will include:

- Identifying potential issues.
- Identifying major issues to be analyzed in depth.
- Exploring additional alternatives which will be derived from issues recognized during scoping activities.
- Identifying potential environmental effects of this project and alternatives (i.e. direct, indirect, and cumulative effects and connected actions).

The analysis will consider a range of alternatives, including the proposed action, no action, and other reasonable action alternatives.

Estimated Dates for Filing: The draft EIS is expected to be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and to be available for public review by August, 1997. At that time EPA will publish a Notice of Availability of the draft EIS in the **Federal Register**. The

comment period on the draft EIS will be 45 days from the date the EPA publishes the Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register**.

The final EIS is scheduled to be completed in November, 1997. In the final EIS, the Forest Service is required to respond to comments and responses received during the comment period that pertain to the environmental consequences discussed in the draft EIS and applicable laws, regulations, and policies considered in making a decision regarding the proposal.

Reviewer's Obligations: The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage may be waived or dismissed by the courts. City of Angoon v. Hodel, 803, F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider and respond to them in the final EIS.

To be most helpful, comments on the draft EIS should be as specific as possible and may address the adequacy of the statement or the merit of the alternative discussed. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Responsible Official: Robert L. Schrenk, Forest Supervisor, Kootenai National Forest, 506 U.S. Highway 2 West, Libby, MT 59923 is the Responsible Official. As the Responsible Official I will decide which, if any, of the proposed projects will be implemented. I will document the decision and reasons for the decision in the Record of Decision. That decision will be subject to Forest Service Appeal Regulations.

Dated: April 28, 1997.

Robert L. Schrenk,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 97-11749 Filed 5-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Skranak Road Construction; Kootenai National Forest, Lincoln County, Montana

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Cancellation of the notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement published Monday, November 18, 1996, **Federal Register**, Vol. 61, No. 223.

SUMMARY: The Skranak Road Construction Environmental Analysis has been combined with another private property access request. Both of these private property requests are located in the same inventoried roadless area and in the same Grizzly Bear Management Unit. Therefore, the Libby Ranger District has decided to combine the analyses of the requests and proposed road construction and reconstruction and prepare one Environmental Impact Statement. The Notice of Intent, published in the Federal Register, Vol. 61, No. 223, on Monday, November 18, 1996 is thereby rescinded. A new notice of intent will be issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jon Jeresek, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, Libby Ranger District. Phone: (406) 293–7773.

Dated: April 28, 1997.

Robert L. Schrenk,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 97-11751 Filed 5-5-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

ASSASSINATION RECORDS REVIEW BOARD

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE: May 12–13, 1997.

PLACE: ARRB 600 E Street, NW.,

Washington, DC. **STATUS:** Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

- Review and Accept Minutes of Closed Meeting
- 2. Review of Assassination Records
- 3. Other Business

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Eileen Sullivan, Assistant Press and Public Affairs Officer, 600 E Street, NW., Second Floor, Washington, DC 20530. Telephone: (202) 724–0088; Fax: (202) 724–0457.

David G. Marwell,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 97–11815 Filed 5–2–97; 9:44 am]

BILLING CODE 6118-01-P

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Sunshine Act Meeting

DATE AND TIME: May 13, 1997; 9:30 a.m. PLACE: Radio Free Asia, 2025 "M" Street, NW., Suite 300, Washington, DC 20036.

CLOSED MEETING: The members of the Broadcasting Board of Governors (BAG) will meet in closed session to review and discuss a number of issues relating to U.S. Government-funded nonmilitary international broadcasting. They will address internal procedural, organizational, budgetary, and personnel issues, as well as sensitive foreign policy issues relating to potential options in the U.S. international broadcasting field. This meeting is closed because if open it likely would either disclose matters that would be properly classified to be kept secret in the interest of foreign policy under the appropriate executive order (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(1) or would disclose information the premature disclosure of which would be likely to significantly frustrate implementation of a proposed agency action. (5 U.S.C. 552b.(c)(9)(B)) In addition, part of the discussion will relate solely to the internal personnel issues of the BAG or the International Broadcasting Bureau. (5 U.S.C. 552b. (c)(2) and (6)) The meeting of the Broadcasting Board of Governors will be followed, as necessary, by meetings of the corporate boards of Radio Free Asia and RFE/RL, Inc.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Persons interested in obtaining more information should contact Brenda Thomas at (202) 401–3736.

Dated: May 2, 1997.

David W. Burke,

Chairman.

[FR Doc. 97–11882 Filed 5–2–97; 1:27 pm]

BILLING CODE 8230-01-M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting of the South Carolina Advisory Committee

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the rules and

regulations of the US Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the South Carolina Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 2 p.m. and adjourn at 5 p.m. on Thursday, May 29, 1997, at the Sheraton Hotel and Conference Center, Boardroom, 2100 Bush River Road in Columbia, South Carolina 29210. The purpose of the meeting is to: (1) Review Commission and Committee activities; (2) discuss report on church burnings in the State; (3) discuss civil rights progress and/or problems in the State; and (4) discuss plans to adopt a new project. The meeting is open to the public.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson Milton B. Kimpson, 803–779–2597, or Bobby D. Doctor, Director of the Southern Regional Office, 404–562–7000 (TDD 404–562–7004). Hearing-impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, April 29, 1997. Carol-Lee Hurley,

Chief, Regional Programs Coordination Unit. [FR Doc. 97–11748 Filed 5–5–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6335–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration [A-570-827]

Certain Cased Pencils From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On January 13, 1997, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results and partial rescission of an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain cased pencils (pencils) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) covering the period of December 21, 1994, through November 30, 1995 (62 FR 1734). We gave interested parties an

opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. We received no comments. Therefore, the preliminary results are unaltered. The review indicates the existence of a PRC-wide dumping margin of 44.66 percent for this period.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 6, 1997.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Stolz or Thomas Futtner, Office of Antidumping/Countervailing Duty Enforcement, Import Administration, US Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230, telephone (202) 482–4474/3814.

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Departments regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the **Federal Register** on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review are certain cased pencils of any shape or dimension which are writing and/or drawing instruments that feature cores of graphite or other materials encased in wood and/or man-made materials, whether or not decorated and whether or not tipped (e.g., with erasers, etc.) in any fashion, and either sharpened or unsharpened. The pencils subject to this review are classified under subheading 9609.10.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS"). Specifically excluded from the scope of this investigation are mechanical pencils, cosmetic pencils, pens, non-case crayons (wax), pastels, charcoals, and chalks. Although the HTSUS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, our written description of the scope of this review is dispositive.

Background

The antidumping duty order on pencils from the PRC was published on December 28, 1994 (59 FR 66909). On January 13, 1997, the Department published in the **Federal Register** the preliminary results of its review of this order for the period December 21, 1994 through November 30, 1995 POR. In our notice of January 13, 1997, we rescinded the review as to the several companies