#### B. Executive Order 12866

This action has been classified as a Table 3 action for signature by the Regional Administrator under the procedures published in the **Federal Register** on January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2214–2225), as revised by a July 10, 1995 memorandum from Mary Nichols, Assistant Administrator for Air and Radiation. The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from E.O. 12866 review.

## C. Regulatory Flexibility

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 et seq., the EPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities (5 U.S.C. 603 and 604). Alternatively, EPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with jurisdiction over populations of less than 50,000.

This approval does not create any new requirements, but simply approves pre-existing requirements under state law. Therefore, I certify that this action does not have a significant impact on any small entities affected. Moreover, due to the nature of the Federal-State relationship under the Act, preparation of the regulatory flexibility analysis would constitute Federal inquiry into the economic reasonableness of the State action. The Act forbids EPA to base its actions concerning SIPs on such grounds. *Union Electric Co.* v. *EPA*, 427 U.S. 246, 256–66 (1976).

#### D. Unfunded Mandates

Under Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 ("Unfunded Mandates Act"), signed into law on March 22, 1995, EPA must prepare a budgetary impact statement to accompany any proposed or final rule that includes a Federal mandate that may result in estimated costs to state. local, or tribal governments in the aggregate; or to the private sector, of \$100 million or more. Under Section 205, EPA must select the most costeffective and least burdensome alternative that achieves the objectives of the rule and is consistent with statutory requirements. Section 203 requires EPA to establish a plan for informing and advising any small governments that may be significantly or uniquely impacted by the rule.

This Federal action approves preexisting requirements under state or local law, and imposes no new Federal requirements. Accordingly, no additional costs to state, local, or tribal governments, or the private sector, result from this action.

#### E. Petitions for Judicial Review

Under section 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by July 7, 1997. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final rule does not affect the finality of this rule for the purposes of judicial review, nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review may be filed and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action. This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements (see section 307(b)(2)).

# F. Submission to Congress and the General Accounting Office

Under 5 U.S.C. 801(a)(1)(A) as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, EPA submitted a report containing this rule and other required information to the U.S. Senate, the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Comptroller General of the General Accounting Office prior to publication of the rule in the **Federal Register**. This rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

## **List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52**

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Ozone, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: April 4, 1997.

## Valdas V. Adamkus,

Regional Administrator.

Part 52, Chapter I, title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

## PART 52—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

## Subpart X—Michigan

2. Section 52.1170 is amended by adding paragraph (c)(108) to read as follows:

## § 52.1170 Identification of plan.

(c) \* \* \*

(108) On May 16, 1996, the State of Michigan submitted a revision to the

Michigan State Implementation Plan (SIP). This revision is for the purpose of establishing a gasoline Reid vapor pressure (RVP) limit of 7.8 pounds per square inch (psi) for gasoline sold in Wayne, Oakland, Macomb, Washtenaw, Livingston, St. Clair, and Monroe counties in Michigan.

- (i) Incorporation by reference.
- (A) House Bill No. 4898; signed and effective November 13, 1993.
- (B) Michigan Complied Laws, Motor Fuels Quality Act, Chapter 290, Sections 642, 643, 645, 646, 647, and 649; all effective November 13, 1993.
- (C) Michigan Complied Laws, Weights and Measures Act of 1964, Chapter 290, Sections 613, 615; all effective August 28, 1964.
  - (ii) Additional materials.
- (A) Letter from Michigan Governor John Engler to Regional Administrator Valdas Adamkus, dated January 5, 1996.
- (B) Letter from Michigan Director of Environmental Quality Russell Harding to Regional Administrator Valdas Adamkus, dated May 14, 1996.
- (C) State report titled "Evaluation of Air Quality Contingency Measures for Implementation in Southeast Michigan," submitted to the EPA on May 14, 1996.

[FR Doc. 97–11633 Filed 5–2–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

## 44 CFR Part 64

[Docket No. FEMA-7664]

## Suspension of Community Eligibility

**AGENCY:** Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule identifies communities, where the sale of flood insurance has been authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), that are suspended on the effective dates listed within this rule because of noncompliance with the floodplain management requirements of the program. If the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) receives documentation that the community has adopted the required floodplain management measures prior to the effective suspension date given in this rule, the suspension will be withdrawn by publication in the Federal Register. **EFFECTIVE DATES:** The effective date of

**EFFECTIVE DATES:** The effective date of each community's suspension is the third date ("Susp.") listed in the third column of the following tables.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to determine whether a particular community was suspended on the suspension date, contact the appropriate FEMA Regional Office or the NFIP servicing contractor.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert F. Shea Jr., Division Director, Program Implementation Division, Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street, SW., Room 417, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646–3619.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NFIP enables property owners to purchase flood insurance which is generally not otherwise available. In return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding. Section 1315 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage as authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program, 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq., unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed in this document no longer meet that statutory requirement for compliance with program regulations, 44 CFR part 59 et seq. Accordingly, the communities will be suspended on the effective date in the third column. As of that date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the community. However, some of these communities may adopt and submit the required documentation of legally enforceable floodplain management measures after this rule is published but prior to the actual suspension date. These communities will not be suspended and will continue their eligibility for the sale of insurance. A notice withdrawing the suspension of the communities will be published in the Federal Register.

In addition, the Federal Emergency Management Agency has identified the special flood hazard areas in these communities by publishing a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). The date of the FIRM if one has been published, is

indicated in the fourth column of the table. No direct Federal financial assistance (except assistance pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act not in connection with a flood) may legally be provided for construction or acquisition of buildings in the identified special flood hazard area of communities not participating in the NFIP and identified for more than a year, on the Federal **Emergency Management Agency's** initial flood insurance map of the community as having flood-prone areas (section 202(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4106(a), as amended). This prohibition against certain types of Federal assistance becomes effective for the communities listed on the date shown in the last column.

The Executive Associate Director finds that notice and public comment under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary because communities listed in this final rule have been adequately notified.

Each community receives a 6-month, 90-day, and 30-day notification addressed to the Chief Executive Officer that the community will be suspended unless the required floodplain management measures are met prior to the effective suspension date. Since these notifications have been made, this final rule may take effect within less than 30 days.

## **National Environmental Policy Act**

This rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR Part 10, Environmental Considerations. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

## **Regulatory Flexibility Act**

The Executive Associate Director has determined that this rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage unless an appropriate public body adopts

adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed no longer comply with the statutory requirements, and after the effective date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the communities unless they take remedial action.

## **Regulatory Classification**

This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

## **Paperwork Reduction Act**

This rule does not involve any collection of information for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* 

## **Executive Order 12612, Federalism**

This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, October 26, 1987, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 252.

## Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets the applicable standards of section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778, October 25, 1991, 56 FR 55195, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 309.

## List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 64

Flood insurance, Floodplains. Accordingly, 44 CFR part 64 is amended as follows:

## PART 64—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for part 64 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 4001 *et seq.*; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp., p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp., p. 376.

## § 64.6 [Amended]

2. The tables published under the authority of  $\S\,64.6$  are amended as follows:

State/location	Community No.	Effective date of eligibility	Current effective map date	Date certain Federal assist- ance no longer available in spe- cial flood hazard areas
Region I Vermont: Jay, town of, Orleans County	500253	April 7, 1992, Emerg.; May 5, 1997, Reg.; May 5, 1997, Susp	May 5, 1997	May 5, 1997
Region III				
Pennsylvania: Stroudsburg, borough of, Monroe County	420694	August 25, 1972, Emerg.; December 31, 1976, Reg.; May 19, 1997, Susp	May 19, 1997	May 19, 1997

State/location	Community No.	Effective date of eligibility	Current effective map date	Date certain Federal assist- ance no longer available in spe- cial flood hazard areas
Region V				
Illinois:				
Huntley, village of, Kane and McHenry Counties	170480	June 6, 1975, Emerg.; December 15, 1992, Reg.; May 19, 1997, Susp	do	Do.
McHenry County, unincorporated areas	170732	January 15, 1974, Emerg.; September 30, 1981, Reg.; May 19, 1997, Susp	do	Do.
Minnesota: North Branch, city of, Chicago County	270072	September 15, 1987, Emerg.; May 19, 1997, Reg.; May 19, 1997, Susp	do	Do.
Region VI				
Louisiana: Caddo Parish, unincorporated areas	220361	November 9, 1979, Emerg.; September 5, 1990, Reg.; May 19, 1997, Susp	do	Do.

Code for reading third column: Emerg.-Emergency; Reg.-Regular; Rein.-Reinstatement; Susp.-Suspension.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.100, "Flood Insurance.")

Issued: April 28, 1997.

### Craig S. Wingo,

Deputy Associate Director, Mitigation Directorate.

[FR Doc. 97–11639 Filed 5–2–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-05-P

## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

### 48 CFR Part 1831

## Revision to the NASA FAR Supplement To Delete Class Deviation

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This action deletes the class deviation from the cost principle at 1831.205–18 on independent research and development (IR&D).

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 16, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Joseph LeCren, Code HK, (202) 358–0444, fax (202) 358–2–3220, or e-mail joseph.lecren@hq.nasa.gov.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

## **Background**

NASA published a class deviation as a final rule in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 46359–46360) September 8, 1994. The class deviation eliminated the provision at FAR 31.205–18(e) against the treatment of contractor IR&D contributions under NASA cooperative arrangements as allowable indirect costs. A FAR case was initiated to revise the IR&D cost principle to remove that provision at 31.205–18(e). A final FAR rule was published in the **Federal Register** (62 FR 12704–12705) March 17, 1997, making that revision. The publication of the revised FAR cost

principle eliminates the need for the NASA class deviation. The revised FAR cost principle is effective May 16, 1997.

## **Impact**

NASA certifies that this change to its regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). This change does not impose any reporting or recordkeeping requirements subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act.

### List of Subjects in 48 CFR Part 1831

Government procurement.

## Tom Luedtke,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Procurement.

Accordingly, 48 CFR 1831 is amended as follows:

# PART 1831—CONTRACT COST PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR 1831 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

## §1831.205-18 [Removed]

2. Section 1831.205–18 is removed. [FR Doc. 97–11586 Filed 5–2–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

#### 50 CFR Parts 222 and 227

[Docket No. 961217358-6358-01; I.D. 041995B]

RIN 0648-XX77

Threatened Fish and Wildlife; Change in Listing Status of Steller Sea Lions Under the Endangered Species Act

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Steller sea lion, Eumetopias jubatus, is currently listed as threatened, pursuant to the Endangered Species Act (ESA), throughout its range, which extends from California and associated waters to Alaska, including the Gulf of Alaska (GOA) and Aleutian Islands, and into the Bering Sea and North Pacific and into Russian waters and territory. Based on biological information collected since the species was listed as threatened in 1990, NMFS is now reclassifying Steller sea lions as two distinct population segments under the ESA. The Steller sea lion population segment west of 144 °W. long. (a line near Cape Suckling, AK) is reclassified as endangered; the threatened listing is being maintained for the remainder of the U.S. Steller sea lion population.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** June 4, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Requests for copies of this rule or a complete list of references should be addressed to the Director, Office of Protected Resources, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1315 East-West Highway, Silver Spring, MD 20910