1997. The OES also requests clarification that it has met the Commission's requirement for unbundling. If the Commission determines that the steps taken by OES are not sufficient, then the OES requests additional time to meet such requirements.

Åll affected parties and rate schedules are identified in an exhibit submitted with the filing. A copy of the filing was served upon the affected parties and the State Utility Regulatory Commissions of Ohio and Pennsylvania.

Comment date: April 25, 1997, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–10084 Filed 4–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-25-000]

Northern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Peak Day 2000 Expansion Project

April 14, 1997.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) on the natural gas pipeline facilities proposed by Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) in the above-referenced docket.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed

project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the proposed pipeline looping facilities including:

- Three 30-inch-diameter mainline loops in Hardin County, Iowa and Rice and Washington Counties, Minnesota;
- Four branchline loops (6-, 6-, 6-, and 12-inch-diameter pipelines in Dakota, Scott, Wright, and Carver Counties, Minnesota and Dickinson County, Iowa;
- One 8-inch-diameter branchline replacement (Carver County, Minnesota) and one 6-inch-diameter branchline tieover (Jackson County, Iowa);
- Three new compressor stations and six modified compressor stations in Washington, Steele, Rice, and Dakota Counties, Minnesota, Guthrie and Hardin Counties, Iowa, Clay County, Kansas, and Gage and Otoe County, Nebraska; and
- Three new town border stations and 31 modified town border stations in various counties in Minnesota, Iowa, Wisconsin, and Nebraska.

The purpose of the proposed facilities would be to provide about 267,161 thousand cubic feet per day (Mcfd) of gas to 26 local distribution companies, commercial, and industrial customers in Northerns operational zones ABC, D, and EF.

The EA has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for public inspection at: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, NE., Room 2A, Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208–1371.

Copies of the EA have been mailed to Federal, state and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding. A limited number of copies of the EA are available from the above address.

Specific Comment Request

Areas residents, local or state governments, intervenors, Northern, and other interested parties are asked to provide specific comments on whether the Rockford branchline alternative is reasonable and practicable and environmentally preferable to the proposed facilities. Comments should also address any effect on project timing and related cost/benefits.

Comment Procedures

Any person wishing to comment on the EA may do so. Written comments

must reference Docket No. CP97–25–000, and be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Room 1A, Washington, DC 20426.

Comments should be filed as soon as possible, but must be received no later than May 16, 1997, to ensure consideration prior to a Commission decision on this proposal.

Comments will be considered by the Commission but will not serve to make the commentor a party to the proceeding. Any person seeking to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.214).

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97–10051 Filed 4–17–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5813-1]

Proposed Settlement; Acid Rain Allowance Allocations and Reserves Rule Litigation

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed settlement; request for public comment.

SUMMARY: In accordance with section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act ("Act"), notice is hereby given of a proposed settlement of *Duke Power Company* v. *Environmental Protection Agency*, No. 93–1343 (D.C. Cir.) and a consolidated case.

This case involves a challenge to the final rule, entitled "Acid Rain Allowance Allocations and Reserves," which, *inter alia*, established provisions concerning the allocation of early reduction credit allowances under section 404(e) of the Act. 58 FR 15634 (March 23, 1993).

For a period of thirty (30) days following the date of publication of this notice, the Environmental Protection Agency will receive written comments relating to the settlement from persons who were not named as parties to the litigation in question. The Agency or the Department of Justice may withhold or withdraw consent to the proposed settlement if the comments disclose facts or circumstances that indicate that such consent is inappropriate, improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Act. Copies of the settlement are available from

Jacqueline Jordan, Cross-Cutting Issues Division (2322), Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460, (202) 260-7622. Written comments should be sent to Jonathan Averback, Air and Radiation Division, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460 and must be submitted on or before May 19, 1997.

Dated: April 9, 1997.

Scott C. Fulton,

Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 97-10109 Filed 4-17-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5479-3]

Environmental Impact Statements: Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed April 07, 1997 Through April 11, 1997 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 970132, Draft EIS, EPA, AL, Sand Mountain Region On-Site Sewage Pollution Wastewater Disposal Site, Dekalb, Etowah, Marshall and Jackson Counties, AL, Due: June 02, 1997, Contact: Heinz J. Mueller (404) 562-9617.

EIS No. 970133, Final EIS, BLM, WY, North Rochelle Mine, Application for Federal Coal Lease (WYW127221), Special-Use-Permits and NPDES Permit, Campbell County, WY, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Nancy Doelger (307) 261 - 7627.

EIS No. 970134, Final SUPPLEMENT, AFS, ID, Packsaddle Timber Sale and Road Construction Project,

Implementation, New Information to Address the Severe Root Disease, Idaho Panhandle National Forests, Sandpoint Ranger District, Bonner County, ID, Due: May 19, 1997. Contact: Tong Erba (208) 263-5111.

EIS No. 970135, Final EIS, FHW, AK, Third Street Widening Project, Improvement, Old Steese Highway and Hamilton Avenue, Funding and Right-of-Way Acquisition, Fairbanks North Star Borough, AK, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: James Bryson (907) 586 - 7430

EIS No. 970136, DRAFT EIS, NOA, MA, New Bedford Harbor Environment Restoration Plan, Implementation, Acushnet River, Buzzards Bay, MA,

Due: June 02, 1997, Contact: Rolland A. Schmitten (301) 713-2239.

EIS No. 970137, Draft EIS, AFS, CA, Canyons Analysis Area, Implementation, Tahoe National Forest, Trucker Ranger District, Sierra and Nevada County, CA, Due: June 02, 1997, Contact: Caryn Huntt (916) 587-3558.

EIS No. 970138, Final EIS, SCS, HI, Upcountry Maui Watershed, Implementation, To Address Agricultural Water Shortage, COE Section 404 Permit, Makawao District, Island of Maui, Maui County, HI, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Kenneth M. Kaneshiro (808) 541-2600.

EIS No. 970139, Final EIS, AFS, ID, Prince John Timber Sale Project, Implementation, Boise National Forest, Cascade Ranger District, Valley County, ID, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Steve Patterson (208) 364-7400.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 960589, Final EIS, FHW, PA, US 220 Transportation Improvements Project, Bald Eagle Village to Interstate 80 (I-80), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Blair and Centre Counties, PA, Due: May 19, 1997, Contact: Ronald W. Carmichael (717) 782–3461. Published FR –12–27–96— Review Period Reopened.

EIS No. 970108, Draft EIS, SCS, HI, Waimea-Paauilo Watershed Project, Alleviation of Agricultural Water Storage Problems for Corp Irrigation and Livestock Drinking Water, Funding, COE Section 404 Permit Issuance and Implementation, Hawaii County, HI, Published FR-04-04-97—This EIS was inadvertently published in the 04-04-97 FR. The correct Notice of Availability was published in the 03-28-97 FR. The correct date comments are due back to the preparing agency is MAY 15, 1997.

Dated: April 15, 1997.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 97-10117 Filed 4-17-97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-5479-4]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared March 31, 1997 Through April

04, 1997 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 564–7167. An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 04, 1997 (62 FR 16154).

Final EISs

ERP No. F-AFS-L61207-AK, Upper Carroll Timber Sale, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Ketchikan Administrative Area, Ketchikan Ranger District, Revillagigedo Island, AK.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the

preparing agency.

ERP No. F-AFS-L65271-AK, South Lindenberg Timber Sale(s), Timber Harvesting, Tongass National Forest, Stikine Area, Kupreanof Island, AK.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the

preparing agency.

ERP No. F-BLM-G65061-NM, Roswell Resource Area Management Plan and Carlsbad Resource Area Management Plan Amendment, Implementation, Quay, Curry, DeBaca, Roosevelt, Lincoln, Guadalupe, Chaves, Eddy, and Lea Counties, NM.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS has been completed and the project found to be satisfactory. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-BLM-G65064-TX, Texas Land and Resource Management Plan (RMP), Implementation, Split Estates Federal Mineral Ownership (FMO), Several Counties, TX.

Summary: EPA had no objection to the selection of the preferred alternative described in the final EIS

ERP No. F-BLM-K67039-NV, Denton-Rawhide Mine Expansion Project, Plan of Operation Approval, Implementation, Mineral County, NV.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency

ERP No. F-DOE-K05218-00, Sierra Nevada Region 2004 Power Marketing Program, Implementation, 1,480 megawatts (MW) Power from the Central Valley and Washoe Project, NV and CA.

Summary: Review of the Final EIS was not deemed necessary. No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

ERP No. F-NPS-G65063-NM, Pecos National Historical General