Type of application	Number of responses	Hours/re- sponses	Total hours
Exploration License	10	30	300
Coal for Coal & Resource Information	5	3	15
Surface Owner Consultation	50	1	50
Exp. of Leasing Interest	15	6.6	100
Notice of Sale	20	3	60
Leasing on Application	2	150	300
Surface Owner Consent	10	10	100
PRLA	10	150	1,500
Lease Modification	10	35	250
License to Mine	2	5	10
Lease Transfer (incl. assignments)	30	10	300
Sp.Leasing Qual.	10	4	40
Bonding Requirements	10	40	400
Lease Form	5	1	5
Exploration Plans	50	59	2,950
Res. Rec. and Protection Plans	30	174.8	5,245
Mining Plans	10	457.5	4,575
Changes in Plans	100	29.5	2,950
Mining Operations Maps	650	11.30	7,350
Pref, Standards for Exploration	90	1.75	158
Unexpected Wells	10	1	10
Exploration Reports	50	7.5	375
Royalty of Rental Reductions	10	150	1,500
Suspensions	10	14.4	144
Corr. Repts. for Noncompliances	90	3.75	338
LMU Applications/Requirements	10	32	320
Totals	1,299		24,737

BLM will summarize all responses to this notice and include them in the request for Office of Management and Budget approval. All comments will also become a matter of public record.

Dated: April 7, 1997.

## Carole Smith,

Information Collection Officer. [FR Doc. 97–9448 Filed 4–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–84–M

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

**Bureau of Land Management** 

[NV-040-1510-00]

### Ely District Proposed Fire and Vegetative Resource Management Plan and Environmental Documentation

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of Intent to Modify Fire Management Plan and Other Planning Documents As Necessary, Ely District, Nevada.

**SUMMARY:** The Bureau of Land Management, Ely District, Nevada intends to modify its current Fire Management Plan and, if necessary, existing land use plans, including a Resource Management Plans (RMP), and two Management Framework Plans (MFPs) and to prepare appropriate National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) analysis for the adoption of a Fire Management Plan (FMP). The purpose of the FMP is to provide the framework for the reintroduction of fire into the ecosystem while maintaining first priority on protection of human life, and secondary priority on protection of property and natural and cultural resources. Public comment is sought on identification of issues, alternatives that should be considered, and the level of analysis which would be appropriate under the NEPA.

**DATES:** Comments will be accepted throughout the process of modifying plans and preparation of NEPA analysis. However, comments received after May 28, 1997 may not be reflected in the alternatives considered in any preliminary NEPA analysis.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Dunn, Fire Management Officer, Bureau of Land Management, Ely District Office, HC 33 Box 33500, Ely, NV 89301; Telephone (702) 289–1920.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Federal Wildland Fire Policy and Program Review was completed to address issues of firefighter safety, as well as costs, inefficiencies, and inconsistencies between federal agencies involved in wildland fire suppression. Among the results of that review is a standardization of policies and procedures among Federal agencies, including the determination that wildland fire, as a critical natural process, must be reintroduced into the

ecosystem. The Bureau of Land Management, Ely District intends to develop objectives through a Technical Review Team, and supported by resource professionals, which will integrate fire into resource management; including fire suppression, prescribed fire, fuels management and public education (including fire prevention) without regard to administrative boundaries. Because the Technical Review Team will include private property owners, all of the affected land managing agencies (County, State and Federal), and interested land users, the preliminary fire management objectives will be developed through consensus to apply to all lands without regard to administrative jurisdiction to permit a comprehensive and realistic approach to fire and vegetative resource management.

At this point, it is uncertain what level of plan modification will be needed, if any. Land use plans affected by actions within the Ely District include the Egan Resource Management Plan, Schell Management Framework Plan, and Caliente Management Framework Plan. The level of environmental analysis appropriate under the Council on Environmental Quality's regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR part 1500) is undetermined pending the outcome of the Technical Review Team's recommendations. Should it be determined that no environmental

impact statement is needed, NEPA analysis will be accomplished through an environmental assessment or an administrative determination.

Public input on issues and alternatives for the fire and vegetative resource management plan will be forthcoming through the Technical Review Team's efforts. This notice invites additional public comment on the proposal to modify the Fire Management Plan, and affected land use plans, if applicable.

Preliminary issues identified include: human health and safety; protection of property; protection of natural and cultural resources; use of fire to enhance biodiversity, stabilize soils, and promote ecological health; maintenance or improvement of rangeland health in accordance with established standards; and the proper role of fire in areas managed for wilderness values. No preliminary alternatives have been identified.

Dated: April 2, 1997.

Gene A. Kolkman,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 97–9457 Filed 4–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–HC–P

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## Bureau of Land Management [ID–990–1020–00]

## Notice of Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Upper Columbia—Salmon Clearwater Districts, Idaho.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), 5 U.S.C. Appendix, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) announces the meeting of the Upper Columbia— Salmon Clearwater Districts Resource Advisory Council (RAC) on Wednesday, May 14, 1997 and Thursday, May 15, 1997 in Salmon, Idaho.

The purpose of the meeting is to brief the RAC on upcoming sub-basin and watershed assessments, which will be part of the implementation process for the Interior Columbia Basin Ecosystem Management Project, and to provide a field tour of the Herd Creek watershed. Other administrative issues may be discussed as time permits. The RAC will meet from 1:00 p.m. to 4:30 p.m. (MDT) on May 14 and 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. (MDT) on May 15. The public may address the Council during the public comment period starting at 1:30 p.m. on May 14 at BLM's Salmon Field Office, Highway 93 South, Salmon, Idaho.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** All Resource Advisory Council meetings are open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements to the Council, or written statements may be submitted for the Council's consideration. Depending on the number of persons wishing to make oral statements, a per-person time limit may be established by the District Manager.

The Council's responsibilities include providing long-range planning and establishing resource management priorities.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ted Graf (208) 769–5004.

Dated: April 4, 1997.

# Fritz U. Rennebaum,

District Manager. [FR Doc. 97–9456 Filed 4–11–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–GG–M

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Minerals Management Service

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice of extension and revision of a currently approved information collection.

**SUMMARY:** As part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, MMS invites the public and other Federal agencies to comment on a proposal to extend and revise the currently approved collection of information discussed below. The Paperwork Reduction act of 1995 (PRA) provides that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid Office of Management and Budget (OMB) control number. DATE: Submit written comments by June 13, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Direct all written comments to the Rules Processing Team, Minerals Management Service, Mail Stop 4700, 381 Elden Street, Herndon, Virginia 20170–4817.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alexis London, Rules Processing Team, telephone (703) 787–1600.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

*Title:* 30 CFR Part 254, Oil Spill Response Requirements for Facilities Located Seaward of the Coast Line. *Abstract:* The Federal Water Pollution

*Abstract:* The Federal Water Pollution Control Act as amended by the Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA) requires that a spill-response plan be submitted

for offshore facilities prior to February 18, 1993. The OPA specifies that after that date, an offshore facility may not handle, store, or transport oil unless a plan has been submitted. In order to meet the deadline and assure that spillresponse plans of sufficient quality were being developed, MMS issued an interim final rule (IFR) and the OMB approved the information collection requirements. MMS subsequently issued a notice of proposed rulemaking (NPR0 incorporating the experience gained with the IFR and OMB approved the revised information collection requirements. MMS has now published a final rule (62 FR 13991) which supersedes the IFR effective June 23, 1997. The final rule changes the structure of the regulation, thereby changing the citations for the information collections. However, no significant changes to the information collection resulted from the comments received in response to the NPR and restructuring.

The MMS uses the information collected under Part 254 to determine the response capability of the owner/ operator. The requirements allow the Regional Supervisor to verify compliance with the requirements of OPA. The final rule removes any duplicative reporting requirements in 30 CFR part 250, subpart C. If MMS did not collect the information we would be unable to comply with the mandates of the OPA.

The collection does not include proprietary or confidential information and no items of a sensitive nature are collected. The requirement to respond is mandatory. Description of Respondents: Owners or operators of an oil handling, storage, or transportation facility which is located seaward of the coast line.

Frequency: On occasion.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 270.

*Estimated Annual Burden:* 28,756 burden hours. Based on \$35 per hour, the cost to respondents is \$1,005,410.

*Estimated Other Annual Costs to Respondents:* MMS has identified no other cost burdens on respondents for providing this information.

OMB Number: 1010-0091.

*Comments:* The MMS will summarize written responses to this notice and address them in its submission for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. We will also consult with a representative sample of respondents. The estimates shown above are those currently approved by OMB for this collection of information. As a result of the consultations and