- 5. Pacificorp's power transmission line authorized under R/W grant, serial number UTU–54580.
- 6. City of St. George's water pipeline authorized under R/W grant, serial number UTU-65448.
- 7. U.S. West Communication's telephone line authorized under R/W grant, serial number UTU–60037.

Detailed information concerning this action is available at the office of the Bureau of Land Management, Dixie Resource Area Office, 345 E. Riverside Drive, St. George, Utah 84790.

This notice terminates, in its entirety, the proposed R&PP Act classification published on May 16, 23 and 30, 1984 in the *Spectrum*.

Upon publication of this notice in the Federal Register, the land will be segregated from all other forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws, except for leasing or conveyance under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act and leasing under the mineral leasing laws. For a period of 45 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed classification, leasing or conveyance of the land to the Area Manager, Dixie Resource Area Office.

Classification Comments: Interested parties may submit comments involving the suitability of the lands for a recreation area. Comments on the classification are restricted to whether the land is physically suited for the proposal, whether the use will maximize the future use or uses of the land, whether the use is consistent with local planning and zoning, or if the use is consistent with State and Federal programs.

Application Comments: Interested parties may submit comments regarding the specific use proposed in the Washington County Water Conservancy District's application and plan of development, whether the BLM followed proper administrative procedures in reaching the decision, or any other factor not directly related to the suitability of the land for recreation and public purposes.

Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the State Director. In the absence of any adverse comments, the classification will become effective 60 days from the date of publication of this notice.

Dated: March 17, 1997.

James D. Crisp,

Area Manager.

[FR Doc. 97–7899 Filed 3–27–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–DQ-M

[ES-960-1910-00-4041] ES-48650, Group 99, Arkansas

Notice of Filing of Plat of Survey; Arkansas

The plat of the dependent resurvey of the north boundary, a portion of the east boundary, a portion of the subdivisional lines, and the subdivision of certain sections of Township 15 North, Range 19 West, Fifth Principal Meridian Arkansas, will be officially filed in Eastern States, Springfield, Virginia at 7:30 a.m., on April 28, 1997.

The survey was requested by the National Park Service.

All inquiries or protests concerning the technical aspects of the survey must be sent to the Chief Cadastral Surveyor, Eastern States, Bureau of Land Management, 7450 Boston Boulevard, Springfield, Virginia 22153, prior to 7:30 a.m., April 28, 1997.

Copies of the plat will be made available upon request and prepayment of the reproduction fee of \$2.75 per copy.

Date: March 14, 1997.

Stephen G. Kopach,

Chief Cadastral Surveyor.

[FR Doc. 97–7895 Filed 3–27–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–65–M

Bureau of Reclamation

Review of Existing Coordinated Long-Range Operating Criteria for Colorado River Reservoirs

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

summary: The Bureau of Reclamation will be conducting a public meeting for a preliminary review of the comments received on the review of the 1970 Criteria for Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs (Criteria). Members of the Reclamation review team will be available to discuss the comments and Reclamation's analyses and responses to the key issues, and to receive any additional input from the public regarding the analyses and responses. In addition to the public meeting, Reclamation will extend a final comment period through May 16, 1997

As part of the Criteria review, Reclamation has incorporated an active public involvement process that includes all interested stakeholders. This public process is designed to solicit comments on Criteria provisions that may need revision as the result of actual operating experience, and to disclose the results of the analysis. Reclamation published a notice in the **Federal Register** on October 31, 1996, asking for written comments and announcing two public meetings to be held in November and December 1996. Detailed written comments were received from 17 interested agencies and the two public meetings provided Reclamation with numerous issues, comments, and concerns regarding possible changes to the Criteria.

DATE AND LOCATION: The public meeting will be held at the following time and location:

Las Vegas, Nevada—Tuesday, April 22, 1997, at 12 noon at McCarran Airport, Commissioners Meeting Room, 5th Floor, Main Terminal.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Bruce Moore, Bureau of Reclamation, 125 South State Street, Room 6107, Salt Lake City, Utah 84138–1102 telephone (801) 524–3702, or Jayne Harkins, Bureau of Reclamation, P.O. Box 61470, Boulder City, Nevada 89005, telephone (702) 293–8190.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The 1970 Criteria for Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs, promulgated pursuant to Public Law 90-537, were published in the **Federal** Register on June 10, 1970. The Criteria provided for the coordinated long-range operation of the reservoirs constructed and operated under the authority of the Colorado River Storage Project Act, the Boulder Canyon Project Act, and the Boulder Canyon Project Adjustment Act for the purposes of complying with and carrying out the provisions of the Colorado River Compact, the Upper Colorado River Basin Compact, and the Mexican Water Treaty.

The 1970 Criteria specified that a formal review take place at least once every five years with participation by such Colorado River Basin state representatives as each Governor may designate, and other parties and agencies as the Secretary of the Interior may deem appropriate. Public Law 90-537 allows the Secretary, as a result of actual operating experience or unforeseen circumstances, to modify the Criteria to better accomplish the purposes of the two basin compacts and the Mexican Water Treaty. The Commissioner of Reclamation is the authorized agent of the Secretary for the purpose of conducting and coordinating this review.

This is the fifth review of the Criteria conducted since its initial promulgation in 1970. Previous reviews of the Criteria were initiated in 1975, 1980, 1985, and 1990. They resulted in no changes to the operating Criteria.

Dated: March 25, 1997.

Eluid L. Martinez,

Commissioner, Bureau of Reclamation. [FR Doc. 97–7948 Filed 3–27–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–94–M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration [Docket No. 96–42]

Bruce A. Ames, M.D.; Revocation of Registration

On July 22, 1996, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to Bruce A. Ames, M.D. (Respondent), of Redding, California, notifying him of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke his DEA Certificate of Registration AA5878422, and deny any pending applications for registration as a practitioner pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(3), for reason that he is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the State of California.

On August 19, 1996, Respondent filed a timely request for a hearing, and the matter was docketed before Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner. On August 21, 1996, Judge Bittner issued an Order for Prehearing Statements. On August 26, 1996, the Government filed a Motion for Summary Disposition, alleging that effective May 12, 1995, the Medical Board of California (Board) placed Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of California on probation for five years, prohibited him from handling controlled substances, and ordered him to surrender his DEA Certificate of Registration. In his response to the Government's motion, Respondent asserted various defenses. However, Respondent did not deny that the Board prohibited him from handling controlled substances.

On October 28, 1996, Judge Bittner issued her Opinion and Recommended Decision, finding that Respondent lacked authorization to handle controlled substances in the State of California; granting the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition; and recommending that Respondent's DEA Certificate of Registration be revoked. Neither party filed exceptions to her opinion, and on December 3, 1996, Judge Bittner transmitted the record of these proceedings to the Acting Deputy Administrator.

The Acting Deputy Administrator has considered the record in its entirety,

and pursuant to 21 CFR 1316.67, hereby issues his final order based upon findings of fact and conclusions of law as hereinafter set forth. The Acting Deputy Administrator adopts, in full, the Opinion and Recommended Decision of the Administrative Law Judge.

The Acting Deputy Administrator finds that effective May 12, 1995, the Board revoked Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of California, but stayed the revocation and placed Respondent's license on probation for five years subject to various terms and conditions. One of these terms is that "Respondent shall not prescribe, administer, dispense, order or possess any controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act." In addition, "Respondent is prohibited from practicing medicine until [he] provides documentary proof * * * that [his] DEA permit has been surrendered to the Drug Enforcement Administration for cancellation * * *." Therefore, the Acting Deputy Administrator finds that Respondent is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the State of California.

The DEA does not have the statutory authority under the Controlled Substances Act to issue or maintain a registration if the applicant or registrant is without state authority to handle controlled substances in the state in which he conducts business. 21 U.S.C. 802(21), 823(f), and 824(a)(3). This prerequisite has been consistently upheld. See Dominick A. Ricci, M.D., 58 Fed. Reg. 51,104 (1993); James H. Nickens, M.D., 57 Fed. Reg. 59,847 (1992); Roy E. Hardman, M.D., 57 Fed. Reg. 49,195 (1992). In the instant case, the record indicates that Respondent is not currently authorized to handle controlled substances in the State of California. As Judge Bittner notes, "[i]t is equally clear that because Respondent lacks this state authority, Respondent is not currently entitled to a DEA registration.

Judge Bittner also properly granted the Government's Motion for Summary Disposition. Here, the parties did not dispute the fact that Respondent was unauthorized to handle controlled substances in California. Therefore, it is well-settled that when no question of material fact is involved, a plenary, adversary administrative proceeding involving evidence and cross examination of witnesses is not obligatory. See Phillip E. Kirk, M.D., 48 Fed. Reg. 32,887 (1983), aff'd sub nom Kirk v. Mullen, 749 F.2d 297 (6th Cir. 1984); NLRB v. International Association of Bridge, Structural and

Ornamental Ironworkers, AFL-CIO, 549 F.2d 634 (9th Cir. 1977); United States v. Consolidated Mines & Smelting Co., 44 F.2d 432 (9th Cir. 1971).

Accordingly, the Acting Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824 and 28 C.F.R. 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration AA5878422, previously issued to Bruce A. Ames, M.D., be, and it hereby is, revoked. The Acting Deputy Administrator further orders that any pending applications for renewal of such registration be, and they hereby are, denied. This order is effective April 28, 1997.

Dated: March 14, 1997.

James S. Milford,

Acting Deputy Administrator.
[FR Doc. 97–7883 Filed 3–27–97; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

Importer of Controlled Substances; Notice of Registration

By Notice dated August 21, 1996, and published in the **Federal Register** on September 3, 1996, (61 FR 46488), Calbiochem-Novabiochem Corporation, 10394 Pacific Center Court, Attn: Receiving Inspector, San Diego, California 92121–4340, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to renew its registration to import small quantities of the listed controlled substances to make reagents for distribution to the biomedical research community as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Tetrahydrocannabinols (7370) Mescaline (7381) Amphetamine (1100) Phencyclidine (7471) Phenylacetone (8501) Cocaine (9041)	

No request for a hearing was filed concerning Calbiochem-Novabiochem Corporation's 1996 application for renewal of its registration. However, by Notice dated July 5, 1995, Calbiochem-Novabiochem Corporation made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to renew its registration as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above. Notice of this application was published in the Federal Register on July 13, 1995 (60 FR 36165). A registered manufacturer filed a request for a hearing with respect to amphetamine for the 1995 application.