redelivery notice is issued on the peanut lot, subsequently filed prior to conclusion of the redelivery period which will be 60 days, unless otherwise specified by the Customs Service.

(4) The Secretary shall ask the Customs Service to issue a redelivery demand for foreign produced peanut lots failing to meet requirements of this section. Extensions in a redelivery period granted by the Customs Service will be correspondingly extended by the Secretary, upon request of the importer. Importers unable to account for the disposition of all peanuts covered in a redelivery order, or redeliver such peanuts, shall be liable for liquidated damages. Failure to fully comply with quality and handling requirements or failure to notify the Secretary of disposition of all foreign produced peanuts, as required under this section, may result in a compliance investigation by the Secretary. Falsification of reports submitted to the Secretary is a violation of Federal law punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both.

(5) Reinspection. Whenever the Secretary has reason to believe that peanuts may have been damaged or deteriorated while in storage, the Secretary may reject the then effective inspection certificate and may require the importer to have the peanuts reinspected to establish whether or not such peanuts may be disposed of for

human consumption.

(6) Early arrival and storage. Peanut lots sampled and inspected upon arrival in the United States, but placed in storage for more than one month prior to beginning of the quota year for which the peanuts will be entered, must be reported to AMS at the time of inspection. The importer shall file copies of the Customs Service documentation showing the volume of peanuts placed in storage and location, including any identifying number of the storage warehouse. Such peanuts should be stored in clean, dry warehouses and under cold storage conditions consistent with industry standards. Pursuant to paragraph (f)(5) of this section, the Secretary may require reinspection of the lot at the time the lot is declared for entry with the Customs Service.

(g) Additional requirements. (1)
Nothing contained in this section shall preclude any importer from milling or reconditioning, prior to importation, any shipment of peanuts for the purpose of making such lot eligible for importation into the United States. However, all peanuts presented for entry for human consumption use must be certified as meeting the quality requirements specified in paragraph (c)

of this section.

(2) Conditionally released peanut lots of like quality and belonging to the same importer may be commingled. Defects in an inspected lot may not be blended out by commingling with other lots of higher quality. Commingling also must be consistent with applicable Customs Service regulations. Commingled lots must be reported and disposed of pursuant to paragraphs (f)(2) and (f)(3) of this section.

(3) Inspection by the Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service shall be available and performed in accordance with the rules and regulations governing certification of fresh  $\bar{\text{f}}$ ruits, vegetables and other products (7 CFR part 51). The importer shall make each conditionally released lot available and accessible for inspection as provided herein. Because inspectors may not be stationed in the immediate vicinity of some ports-ofentry, importers must make arrangements for sampling, inspection, and certification through one of the offices and laboratories listed in paragraphs (d)(3) and (d)(4) of this section, respectively.

(4) Imported peanut lots sampled and inspected at the port-of-entry, or at other locations, shall meet the quality requirements of this section in effect on

the date of inspection.

(5) A foreign-produced peanut lot entered for consumption or for warehouse may be transferred or sold to another person: *Provided*, That the original importer shall be the importer of record unless the new owner applies for bond and files Customs Service documents pursuant to 19 CFR §§141.113 and 141.20; and *Provided further*, That such peanuts must be certified and reported to the Secretary pursuant to paragraphs (f)(2) and (f)(3) of this section.

(6) The cost of transportation, sampling, inspection, certification, chemical analysis, and identification, as well as remilling and blanching, and further inspection of remilled and blanched lots, and disposition of failing peanuts, shall be borne by the importer. Whenever peanuts are presented for inspection, the importer shall furnish any labor and pay any costs incurred in moving, opening containers, and shipment of samples as may be necessary for proper sampling and inspection. The inspection service shall bill the importer for fees covering quality and size inspections; time for sampling; packaging and delivering aflatoxin samples to laboratories; certifications of lot identification and lot transfer to other locations, and other inspection certifications as may be necessary to verify edible quality or inedible disposition, as specified herein. The USDA and PAC-approved laboratories shall bill the importer separately for fees for aflatoxin assay. The importer also shall pay all required Customs Service costs as required by that agency.

(7) Each person subject to this section shall maintain true and complete records of activities and transactions specified in these regulations. Such records and documentation accumulated during entry shall be retained for not less than two years after the calendar year of acquisition, except that Customs Service documents shall be retained as required by that agency. The Secretary, through duly authorized representatives, shall have access to any such person's premises during regular business hours and shall be permitted, at any such time, to inspect such records and any peanuts held by such person.

(8) The provisions of this section do not supersede any restrictions or prohibitions on peanuts under the Federal Plant Quarantine Act of 1912, the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act, any other applicable laws, or regulations of other Federal agencies, including import regulations and procedures of the Customs Service.

Dated: December 31, 1996. Larry B. Lace,

Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division. [FR Doc. 97–283 Filed 1–8–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

# 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-51-AD; Amendment 39-9878; AD 97-01-07]

RIN 2120-AA64

## Airworthiness Directives; British Aerospace Model BAe 146 and Avro 146–RJ Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to all British Aerospace Model BAe 146 and Avro 146–RJ series airplanes, that requires modification of the left and right elevators, and replacement of the elevator spring with a stiffer spring. This amendment is prompted by reports indicating that water and ice have accumulated at the trailing edge of the left and right elevators; this accumulation can cause

the elevators to become unbalanced, and oscillate or flutter. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent this oscillation or flutter. Elevator oscillation, if not corrected, could result in reduced controllability of the airplane. Elevator flutter, if not corrected, could couple with the natural vibrations of the airplane, and result in loss of the airplane's structural integrity. **DATES:** Effective February 13, 1997.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of February 13, 1997.

**ADDRESSES:** The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft Limited, Avro International Aerospace Division, Customer Support, Woodford Aerodrome, Woodford, Cheshire SK7 1QR, England. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Backman, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227–2797; fax (206) 227–1149. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all British Aerospace Model BAe 146 and Avro 146–RJ series airplanes was published in the Federal Register on October 18, 1996 (61 FR 54362). That action proposed to require modification of the left and right elevators by installation of mass balance weights at the leading edge of the horn, forward of the hinge line; and replacement of the elevator spring with a stiffer spring.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments were submitted in response to the proposal or the FAA's determination of the cost to the public.

### Conclusion

The FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

### Cost Impact

The FAA estimates that 52 British Aerospace Model BAe 146 and Avro 146–RJ series airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 12 work hours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. Required parts will cost approximately \$700 per airplane. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$73,840, or \$1,420 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

### Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a 'significant regulatory action'' under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a 'significant rule'' under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

### List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

# Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

#### § 39.13—[Amended]

- 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:
- 97-01-07 British Aerospace Regional Aircraft Limited, AVRO International Aerospace Division (Formerly British Aerospace, plc; British Aerospace Commercial Aircraft Limited): Amendment 39-9878. Docket 96-NM-51-AD.

Applicability: All Model BAe 146 and Avro 146–RJ series airplanes, certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been otherwise modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (c) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless

accomplished previously.

To prevent the left and right elevators from oscillating or fluttering, which could result in either reduced controllability of the airplane, or loss of the airplane's structural integrity, accomplish the following:

(a) Within 12 months after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the requirements of paragraphs (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD.

- (1) Modify the left and right elevators by installing mass balance weights at the leading edge of the horn, forward of the elevator hinge line, in accordance with British Aerospace Service Bulletin SB.55–014–01510A, dated December 15, 1995. And
- (2) Replace the left and right elevator spring with a stiffer spring, in accordance with British Aerospace Service Bulletin SB.27–150–01510B, dated December 15, 1995
- (b) As of 12 months after the effective date of this AD, no person shall install on any airplane an elevator that has not been modified in accordance with paragraph (a) of this AD.
- (c) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(d) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199

of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(e) The modification and replacement shall be done in accordance with British Aerospace Service Bulletin SB.55-014-01510A, dated December 15, 1995; and British Aerospace Service Bulletin SB.27-150-01510B, dated December 15, 1995. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from British Aerospace Regional Aircraft Limited, Avro International Aerospace Division, Customer Support, Woodford Aerodrome, Woodford, Cheshire SK7 1QR, England. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

(f) This amendment becomes effective on February 13, 1997.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on January 2, 1997.

S. R. Miller,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 97–365 Filed 1–8–97; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

### **DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

## **Federal Aviation Administration**

## 14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 96-NM-28-AD; Amendment 39-9879; AD 97-01-08]

RIN: 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Model F27 Mark 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.
ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD) applicable to certain Fokker Model F27 Mark 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 series airplanes, that requires a onetime visual inspection to detect missing rivet heads or loose rivets of the applicable stringer-to-rib connections in the upper and lower wing skin, and repair, if necessary. In lieu of the onetime visual inspection or in addition to that inspection, the AD also requires replacement of certain rivets with certain new rivets in all applicable ribto-stringer connections of the upper and lower wings. This amendment is prompted by reports of missing rivet heads at the rib-to-stringer connections of the upper and lower wing skin at stringers 5 and 6. The actions specified

by this AD are intended to prevent reduced structural integrity of the wings that is caused by problems associated with missing and/or loose rivets.

DATES: Effective February 13, 1997.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of February

13, 1997.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Fokker Aircraft USA, Inc., 1199 North Fairfax Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the Office of the Federal Register, 800 North Capitol Street, NW., suite 700, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ruth E. Harder, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227–1721; fax (206) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Fokker Model F27 Mark 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 series airplanes was published in the Federal Register on July 24, 1996 (61 FR 38407). That action proposed to require a one-time visual inspection to detect missing rivet heads or loose rivets of the applicable stringerto-rib connections in the upper and lower skin, and repair, if necessary. In lieu of the one-time visual inspection, or in addition to that inspection, that action also proposed to require replacement of certain rivets with certain new rivets in all applicable ribto-stringer connections of the upper and lower wings.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Support for the Proposal

One commenter supports the proposed rule.

Request to Cite the Latest Dutch AD

One commenter notes that the preamble to the notice stated that "the RLD classified [Fokker Service Bulletin F27/57–74, dated November 15, 1994] as mandatory and issued Dutch airworthiness directive BLA 93–094 (A), dated July 16, 1993 \* \* \*." The

commenter points out that the reference to BLA 93–094 (A) is incorrect, since that BLA 93–094 (A) was issued in 1993, a year earlier than the release of Fokker Service Bulletin F27/57–74. The commenter states that the Dutch BLA that mandated that service bulletin is BLA 94–148, dated November 24, 1994.

The FAA concurs. The FAA inadvertently referenced the wrong BLA number and issue date in the preamble to the notice; it should have referenced BLA 94–148 as the applicable Dutch airworthiness directive. However, since that information is not restated in this final rule, no specific change is necessary.

### Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the changes previously described. The FAA has determined that these changes will neither increase the economic burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

### **Cost Impact**

The FAA estimates that 6 Fokker Model 100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, and 700 series airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD.

The required inspection will take approximately 4 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the required inspection action on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$240 per airplane.

The required replacement will take approximately 19 work hours per airplane to accomplish, at an average labor rate of \$60 per work hour. The cost of required parts will be nominal. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the required replacement on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,140 per airplane.

The cost impact figures discussed above are based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

## Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612,