

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**The Proposed Rule**

On November 27, 1996, a NPRM was published in the Federal Register to establish Class E airspace at Milton, FL, to accommodate a GPS RWY 36 SIAP for Peter Prince Field Airport (61 FR 60240).

Conclusion

In consideration of the existence of Class E airspace at Milton, FL, for NAS Whiting Field being of sufficient size to accommodate the GPS RWY 36 SIAP and for IFR operations at Peter Prince Field, action is being taken to withdraw the proposal to establish Class E airspace at Milton, FL, for Peter Prince Field Airport.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (Air).

Withdrawal of Proposal Rule

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Airspace Docket No. 96-ASO-33, as published in the Federal Register on November 27, 1996 (61 FR 60240), is hereby withdrawn.

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959-1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

Issued in College Park, Georgia, on December 23, 1996.

Lacy E. Wright,

*Acting Manager, Air Traffic Division,
Southern Region*

[FR Doc. 97-306 Filed 1-7-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 71**[Airspace Docket No. 96-AWP-35]****Proposed Establishment of Class E Airspace; Fallbrook, CA**

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to establish a Class E airspace area at Fallbrook, CA. The development of a Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Instrument Approach Procedure (SIAP) to Runway (RWY) 18 at Fallbrook Community Airpark has made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate controlled airspace for Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) operations at Fallbrook Community Airpark, Fallbrook, CA.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 14, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Attn: Manager, Operations Branch, AWP-530, Docket No. 96-AWP-35, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, California 90009.

The official docket may be examined in the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Western Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Room 6007, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business at the Office of the Manager, Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

William Buck, Airspace Specialist, Operations Branch, AWP-530, Air Traffic Division, Western-Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, telephone (310) 725-6556.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Comments Invited**

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy-related aspects of the proposal.

Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with the comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made:

"Comments to Airspace Docket No. 96-AWP-35." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received on or before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Operations Branch, Air Traffic Division, at 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each

substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, System Management Branch, P.O. Box 92007, Worldway Postal Center, Los Angeles, California 90009. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2A, which describes the application procedures.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 71) by establishing Class E airspace area at Fallbrook, CA. The development of GPS SIAP at Fallbrook Community Airpark has made this proposal necessary. The intended effect of this proposal is to provide adequate Class E airspace for aircraft executing the GPS RWY 18 SIAP at Fallbrook Community Airpark, Fallbrook, CA. Class E airspace areas designated as an extension to a Class D or Class E surface area are published in paragraph 6004 of FAA Order 7400.9D dated September 4, 1996, and effective September 16, 1996, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designation listed in this document would be published subsequently in this Order.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. Therefore, this proposed regulation—(1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 10034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a Regulatory Evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40103, 40113, 40120; E. O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389; 14 CFR 11.69.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of the Federal Aviation Administration Order 7400.9D, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated September 4, 1996, and effective September 16, 1996, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6004 Class E airspace areas designated as an extension to a Class D or Class E surface area.

* * * * *

AWP CA E4 Fallbrook, CA [New]

Fallbrook Community Airpark, CA
(Lat. 33°21'15"N, long. 117°15'03"W)

Within 4 miles west and 5.3 miles east of the 014° bearing from the Fallbrook Community Airpark extending from Fallbrook Community Airpark to 20.5 miles north of the airport. This Class E airspace area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airport/Facility Directory.

* * * * *

Issued in Los Angeles, California, on December 23, 1996.

Sabra W. Kaulia,
Assistant Manager, Air Traffic Division,
Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 97–395 Filed 1–7–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 96–AWP–32]

Proposed Amendment of Class E Airspace; Battle Mountain, NV

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking; correction.

SUMMARY: This action corrects an error in the airspace description and allows for an extension of the comment period of a proposed notice of rulemaking that was published in the Federal Register on December 18, 1996, Airspace Docket No. 96–AWP–32.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before February 18, 1997.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Buck, Airspace Specialist, Operations Branch, AWP–530, Air Traffic Division, Western-Pacific Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261, telephone (310) 725–6556.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

Federal Register Document 96–32018, Airspace Docket No. 96–AWP–32, published on December 18, 1996 (61 FR 66620), revised the description of the Class E airspace area at Battle Mountain, NV. An error was discovered in the airspace description for the Battle Mountain, NV, Class E airspace area. This action corrects that error and extends the comment period until February 18, 1997.

Correction to Proposed Notice of Rulemaking

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the airspace description for the Class E airspace area at Battle Mountain, NV, as published in the Federal Register on December 18, 1996 (61 FR 66620), (Federal Register Document 96–32018), is corrected as follows:

§ 71.1 [Corrected]

* * * * *

AWP NV E5 Battle Mountain, NV [Corrected]

Battle Mountain Airport, NV
(Lat. 40°35'54" N, long. 116°52'31" W)

Battle Mountain VORTAC
(Lat. 40°34'09" N, long. 116°55'20" W)

On page 66621, in the first column, the airspace description for Battle Mountain, NV, is corrected to read as follows:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 4.3-mile radius of the Battle Mountain Airport and within 4.3 miles southeast and 12 miles northwest of the Battle Mountain VORTAC 218° radial extending from the Battle VORTAC to 25 miles southwest of the VORTAC. That airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within 8.7 miles southeast and 11.7 miles northwest of the Battle Mountain VORTAC 218° and 038° radials extending from 25 miles southwest to 10.4 miles northeast of the Battle Mountain VORTAC and within 5.6 miles south and 7.8 miles north of the Battle Mountain VORTAC 077° and 257° radials, extending from 7 miles west to 161.1 miles east of the Battle Mountain VORTAC.

* * * * *

Issued in Los Angeles, California, on December 24, 1996.

Sabra W. Kaulia,
Assistant Manager, Air Traffic Division,
Western-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. 97–393 Filed 1–7–97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Part 284

[Docket No. RM96–1–003]

Standards for Business Practices of Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines

December 18, 1996.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; Notice of comment schedule.

SUMMARY: The Commission's proposed rule in this proceeding would amend its open access regulations by incorporating by reference standards promulgated by the Gas Industry Standards Board (GISB). A staff technical conference was held on December 12 and 13, 1996, to discuss the future direction of GISB's electronic communication standards and the possible need for standards in disputed areas. Comments are requested on issues considered at that technical conference. **DATES:** Comments on issues considered at the technical conference are due on or before February 21, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Goldenberg, Office of the General Counsel, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. (202) 208–2294.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is given that comments on issues considered at the technical conference held on December 12 and 13, 1996, are to be filed by February 21, 1997. Commenters should address the issues and questions identified in the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking¹ as the subjects for discussion at the technical conference. Further, commenters should address the issues identified by staff at the technical conference, including: whether it is important for the creation of an efficient interstate pipeline grid to have the Commission or GISB develop standards in the disputed areas; whether there are policy questions that the industry, through the Gas Industry Standards Board, will be unable to resolve and that the Commission should resolve to permit further progress in developing standards in these areas;

¹ Standards For Business Practices Of Interstate Natural Gas Pipelines, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 61 FR 58790 (Nov. 19, 1996), 77 FERC ¶ 61,143 (Nov. 13, 1996).