

may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated: February 29, 1996
Francis P. McManamon
*Departmental Consulting Archeologist
Chief, Archeological Assistance Division*
[FR Doc. 96-5266 Filed 3-5-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

Notice of Intent to Repatriate Cultural Items in the Possession of the Museum of New Mexico, Santa Fe, NM

AGENCY: National Park Service
ACTION: Notice

Notice is hereby given under the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act, 25 U.S.C. 3005(a)(2), of the intent to repatriate cultural items in the possession of the Museum of Indian Arts and Culture, Laboratory of Anthropology, Museum of New Mexico, Santa Fe, NM which meet the definition of "sacred object" as defined in Section 2 of the Act.

The ten objects include: one snake effigy; one parrot effigy; two wooden balls; two katsina figures; three fetishes; and prayersticks, fetishes, and talismans comprising one shrine.

Between 1928 and 1972, the Museum of New Mexico accessioned these ten cultural items into its collections.

In 1928, the three wooden cylindrical fetishes were purchased at the Pueblo of Acoma by Mr. George H. Huddy during a Fred Harvey Car tour.

On October 6, 1957, Mr. and Mrs. G. Olmi illegally removed a shrine from Acoma tribal lands, and donated the shrine consisting of prayersticks, wood fetishes, and talismans to the Museum of New Mexico.

In 1958, one red and white cylindrical katsina figure was purchased from Mr. James K. Riley, and the other brown, white, blue, and black cylindrical Mountain katsina figure purchased from Mr. James Byrns.

In 1968, the Museum of New Mexico purchased the green carved foot-tall parrot effigy from Mr. Joe Chavez of San Fidel, NM.

In 1972, the second small unpainted wooden ball was improperly removed from Acoma lands by a Museum of New Mexico archeologist.

The Museum has no information concerning the collection or donation of the snake effigy or one of the wooden balls. The wood snake effigy is undecorated. The small wooden ball is unpainted, with a notation "purchase MCS". Museum records do not indicate the meaning of "purchase MCS".

During consultation with the Museum of New Mexico, representatives of the Pueblo of Acoma identified these objects as necessary for the practice of traditional Acoma religion. Provenance information indicates the objects are Acoma in origin and most likely used by Acoma during the last one hundred years, and as recently as the 1950s.

Based on the above-mentioned information, officials of the Museum of New Mexico have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(3)(C), these cultural items are specific ceremonial objects which are needed by traditional Native American religious leaders for the practice of traditional Native American religions by their present day adherents. Officials of the Museum of New Mexico have also determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001(2), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between these items and the Pueblo of Acoma.

This notice has been sent to representatives of the Pueblo of Acoma. Representatives of any other Indian tribe which believes itself to be culturally affiliated with these objects should contact Dr. Bruce Bernstein, Director, Museum of Indian Arts and Culture/ Museum of New Mexico, P.O. Box 2087, Santa Fe, NM 87504-2087, telephone (505) 827-6344 before April 5, 1996. Repatriation of these objects to the Pueblo of Acoma may begin after that date if no additional claimants come forward.

Dated:
Francis P. McManamon
*Departmental Consulting Archeologist
Chief, Archeology and Ethnography Program*
[FR Doc. 96-5265 Filed 3-5-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

Sunshine Act Meeting

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.
ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, that a meeting of the Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor Commission will be held on Thursday, March 21, 1996.

The Commission was established pursuant to Public Law 99-647. The purpose of the Commission is to assist federal, state and local authorities in the development and implementation of an integrated resource management plan for those lands and waters within the Corridor.

The meeting will convene at 7:00 pm at Blackstone Town Hall, 15 St Paul

Street, Blackstone, MA for the following reasons:

1. Presentation by the Town of North Smithfield
2. Other Commission Business

It is anticipated that about twenty people will be able to attend the session in addition to the Commission members.

Interested persons may make oral or written presentations to the Commission or file written statements. Such requests should be made prior to the meeting to: James R. Pepper, Executive Director, Blackstone River Valley National Heritage Corridor Commission, One Depot Square, Woonsocket, RI 02895, Tel.: (401) 762-0250.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from James R. Pepper, Executive Director of the Commission at the aforementioned address.

James R. Pepper,
Executive Director BRVNHCC.
[FR Doc. 96-5343 Filed 3-4-96; 10:12 am]
BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

Bureau of Reclamation

American River Water Resources Investigation, Central Valley, California

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public workshops and public hearings on draft planning report/draft environmental impact statement/draft environmental impact report.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the California Environmental Quality Act, the Bureau of Reclamation (Reclamation) and the Sacramento Metropolitan Water Authority (SMWA) have prepared a draft planning report/draft environmental impact statement/draft environmental impact report (DPR/DEIS/DEIR) for the American River Water Resources Investigation (ARWRI). The DPR/DEIS/DEIR was made available to the public on February 2, 1996. Reclamation and SMWA have scheduled five public workshops to present material on the alternatives and to answer questions. Five public hearings will also be held to receive comments from interested organizations and individuals on the environmental impacts of the project.

DATES: The public workshops will be held at the following locations:

- March 19, 1996, 7:00 p.m., Folsom Community Center, 52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA 95630.

- March 20, 1996, 1:00 p.m., Red Lion Sacramento Inn, 1401 Arden Way (at Business 80), Sacramento, CA 95815.

- March 21, 1996, 7:00 p.m., Auburn Holiday Inn, 120 Grass Valley Highway, Auburn, CA 95603.

- March 27, 1996, 2:00 p.m., Best Western Placerville Inn, 6850 Greenleaf Drive, Placerville, CA 95667.

- March 28, 1996, 7:00 p.m., Stockton Hilton, 2323 Grand Canal Boulevard, Stockton, CA 95207.

The public hearings will be held at the following locations:

- April 9, 1996, 7:00 p.m., Stockton Hilton, 2323 Grand Canal Boulevard, Stockton, CA 95207.

- April 10, 1996, 7:00 p.m., Auburn Holiday Inn, 120 Grass Valley Highway, Auburn, CA 95603.

- April 11, 1996, 7:00 p.m., Folsom Community Center, 52 Natoma Street, Folsom, CA 95630.

- April 16, 1996, 7:00 p.m., Best Western Placerville Inn, 6850 Greenleaf Drive, Placerville, CA 95667

- April 17, 1996, 7:00 p.m., Red Lion Sacramento Inn, 1401 Arden Way (at Business 80), Sacramento, CA 95815.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Mr. Alan R. Candlish, Study Manager, CC-102, Bureau of Reclamation, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom CA 95630; telephone: (916) 989-7255.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Alan R. Candlish, Study Manager, CC-102, Bureau of Reclamation, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom CA 95630, telephone: (916) 989-7255; Mr. Gene Robinson, Sacramento Metropolitan Water Authority, 5620 Birdcage Street, Suite 180, Citrus Heights, CA 95610-7632, telephone: (916) 967-7692; or Mr. David M. Haisten, Activity Manager, MP-700, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento CA 95825-1898, telephone: (916) 979-2338.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Requests to Testify

Written or telephone requests to present oral comments at the April 1996 public hearings should be addressed to Ms. Lynnette Wirth, MP-140, Bureau of Reclamation, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825-1898, (916) 979-2837. Registration cards for presenting oral comments will also be at each public hearing.

Oral comments at each hearing will be limited to 5 minutes. The hearing officer may allow any speaker to provide additional oral comment after all persons wishing to comment have been

heard. Speakers not present when called will lose their privilege in the scheduled order, and will be recalled at the end of the scheduled speakers. Written comments from those unable to attend or those wishing to supplement their oral presentation at the hearing should be received by Reclamation by April 18, 1996, for inclusion in the hearing record. Written comments received after April 18, 1996, will not be included in the hearing record but will be included in the public comment period which will close on May 3, 1996. All written comments should be addressed to Mr. Alan R. Candlish, Study Manager, CC-102, Bureau of Reclamation, 7794 Folsom Dam Road, Folsom CA 95630, telephone: (916) 989-7255.

Dated: February 29, 1996.

Franklin E. Dimick,

Acting Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 96-5184 Filed 3-5-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-94-P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

[Docket No. 94-34 and 92-78]

AML Corporation, d/b/a G & O Pharmacy, and G & O Pharmacy Revocation of Registration

On July 23, 1992, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause to G & O Pharmacy (Respondent), DEA Registration, AG2999691, of Paducah, Kentucky, notifying it of an opportunity to show cause as to why DEA should not revoke its DEA Certificate of Registration, and deny any pending applications, under 21 U.S.C. 823(f) and 824(a)(4), as being inconsistent with the public interest. Specifically, the Order to Show Cause alleged in substance, that: (1) in July 1990, an individual had overdosed on Demerol received from the owner-manager pharmacist of the Respondent, Randall Lockhart, without benefit of prescription; (2) accountability audits conducted of the Respondent by DEA investigators in 1990 revealed shortages of Schedules II and III controlled substances; (3) the Respondent had filled at least 217 call-in prescriptions not authorized by the physicians whose names appeared on the Respondent's records; and (4) at least one individual, on multiple occasions, had received controlled substances from Mr. Lockhart without seeing the physician listed on the call-in prescriptions.

Respondent, through counsel, filed a timely request for a hearing, and the case was docketed as G & O Pharmacy, Docket No. 92-78. Following prehearing procedures, a hearing was held in Louisville, Kentucky, on March 10 and 11, 1993. At the hearing, both parties called witnesses to testify and introduced documentary evidence. After the hearing, counsel for both parties submitted proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and argument.

Subsequently, on December 16, 1993, counsel for the Government filed a motion to reopen the proceedings. The motion alleged that Mr. Lockhart had transferred the ownership of Respondent G & O Pharmacy to AML Corporation (AML). Further, the motion alleged that AML had applied for and received a DEA registration, BA3838553, to operate the Respondent, and that DEA had not been notified, pursuant to 21 CFR 1301.62 and 1307.14(b), that G & O Pharmacy had ceased doing business under the previous ownership or that Mr. Lockhart had transferred ownership to another entity. The Respondent did not answer the motion, and on January 12, 1994, Administrative Law Judge Mary Ellen Bittner issued an order reopening the proceedings in Docket No. 92-78.

On March 11, 1994, an Order to Show Cause was issued to AML d/b/a/ G & O Pharmacy, alleging that the Respondent's continued registration was inconsistent with the public interest on the same basis as stated in the July 1992 order in Docket No. 92-78, with the addition of the allegation that Mr. Lockhart had improperly transferred ownership of Respondent without notifying the DEA as required. The Respondent requested a hearing, and on June 1, 1994, Judge Bittner issued an order consolidating the two cases. On November 17, 1994, Judge Bittner conducted a hearing in the consolidated proceedings in Louisville, Kentucky. At this hearing, AML was represented by counsel, and both parties called witnesses to testify and introduced documentary evidence. Following the hearing, both the Government and the Respondent, AML, filed further proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law and argument.

On May 31, 1995, Judge Bittner issued her Opinion and Recommended Ruling, recommending that the Respondent's DEA registration be revoked and that any pending applications be denied. AML and G & O Pharmacy filed exceptions to her opinion, and on July 17, 1995, the Government filed a response to these exceptions. On July 19, 1995, Judge Bittner transmitted the record of these proceedings and the