People's Republic of China, 60 FR 64016 (December 13, 1995).

Given the facts of this investigation, as noted above, we are amending Arrighi's and Pagani's preliminary dumping margins to correct for the ministerial errors, since the correction of these ministerial errors results in a difference between a dumping margin of zero (or *de minimis*) and a margin of greater than *de minimis*. The corrected dumping margins for Arrighi and Pagani are 6.14 and 6.42 percent, respectively. As a result, the "All Others" rate is now 11.94 percent.

We are not amending the preliminary margins of De Matteis and Delverde because the corrections of the ministerial errors do not result in a difference of five absolute percentage points from the preliminary margin rates, nor do they result in a difference between a *de minimis* margin and a margin of greater than *de minimis*.

In its allegation, La Molisana stated that the alleged errors were not significant, as defined above, therefore, no further analysis was warranted. However, we are correcting the company's deposit rate. In the preliminary determination, we stated that the deposit rate was 14.03 percent. While the dumping margin that we calculated was correct, we incorrectly calculated the deposit rate. The correct rate is 14.75 percent.

Suspension of Liquidation

In accordance with section 733(d)(2) of the Act, we are directing the Customs Service to continue to suspend liquidation of all entries of pasta from Italy that are entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of this amended preliminary determination notice in the Federal Register. As discussed in the *Preliminary Determination*, we are subtracting for deposit purposes, the rate attributable to the export subsidies found in the concurrent countervailing duty investigation (0.62 percent for Arrighi)

from the antidumping margin percentages for Arrighi. The "All Others" deposit rate is based on subtracting the rate attributable to the export subsidies found in the countervailing duty investigation for those companies that are respondents in the antidumping investigation and are found to have dumping margins. In keeping with Article 17.4 of the WTO Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing Measures, the Department will terminate the suspension of liquidation in the companion countervailing duty investigation of Certain Pasta From Italy, effective February 14, 1996, which is 120 days after the date of publication of the preliminary determination. Accordingly, on February 14, 1996, the antidumping deposit rate will revert to the full amount calculated in this amended preliminary determination. These suspension of liquidation instructions will remain in effect until further notice.

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Original margin	Revised margin	Deposit rate
Arrighi	.06	6.14	5.52
	.14	6.42	6.42
	15.85	11.94	11.78

ITC Notification

In accordance with section 733(f) of the Act, we have notified the International Trade Commission of our amended preliminary determination.

This amended preliminary determination is published in accordance with section 733(f) of the

Dated: February 21, 1996.
Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.
[FR Doc. 96–4549 Filed 2–27–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administration

[A-570-601]

Tapered Roller Bearings and Parts Thereof, Finished and Unfinished, From the People's Republic of China; Extension of Time Limits of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of extension of time limit of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for preliminary and final results in the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on tapered roller bearings (TRBs) and parts thereof, finished and unfinished, from the People's Republic of China (PRC), covering the period June 1, 1994, through May 31, 1995, since it is not practicable to complete the reviews within the time limits mandated by the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1675(a) (the Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 1996. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kris Campbell or Michael Rill, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department of Commerce has received requests to conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on TRBs from the PRC. On August 16, 1995, the Department initiated this administrative review covering the period June 1, 1994, through May 31, 1995. The Department adjusted the time limits by 28 days due to the government shutdowns, which lasted from November 14, 1995, to November 20, 1995, and from December 15, 1995, to January 6, 1996. See Memorandum to the file from Susan G. Esserman, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, January 11, 1996.

It is not practicable to complete this review within the time limits mandated by section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act. Therefore, in accordance with that section, the Department is extending the time limits for the preliminary results to July 27, 1996, and for the final results to January 23, 1997.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective order in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(b). These extensions are in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

Dated: February 22, 1996, Roland L. MacDonald, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96–4545 Filed 2–27–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[C-301-003, C-301-601]

Extension of Time Limit for Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews of the Suspension Agreements on Roses and Other Fresh Cut Flowers and Miniature Carnations From Colombia

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Extension of time limits for countervailing duty administrative reviews of suspension agreements.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the time limit for preliminary and final results of countervailing duty administrative reviews of the suspension agreements on Roses and Other Fresh Cut Flowers and Miniature Carnations from Colombia pursuant to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended by the Uruguay Round Agreement Act (hereinafter, "the Act").

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 1996. **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Jean Kemp or Rick Johnson, Office of

Agreements Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington D.C. 20230, Telephone (202) 482–3793.

POSTPONEMENT: Under the Act, the Department may extend the deadline for completion of administrative reviews if it determines that it is not practicable to complete the review within the statutory time limit of 365 days.

Product	Country	Review pe- riod	Initiation date	Prelim due date	Final due date
Roses & Other Cut Flowers (C-301-003)	Colombia	1/1/94 12/31/94	4/14/95	2/28/95	8/26/95
Miniature Carnations (C-301-601)	Colombia	1/1/94 12/31/94	4/14/95	2/28/95	8/26/95

In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending, as noted above, the preliminary results of these reviews from a 245-day period to no later than a 365-day period, and the final results of these reviews from a 120-day period to no later than a 180-day period.

Dated: February 22, 1996. Roland L. MacDonald, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96–4553 Filed 2–27–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

Determination Not To Revoke Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Determination not to revoke countervailing duty order.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its determination not to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below. EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 1996. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Albright or Cameron Cardozo, Office of Countervailing Compliance.

Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 2, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60

FR 51456) its intent to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below. Under 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)(iii), the Secretary of Commerce will conclude that an order is no longer of interest to interested parties and will revoke the order if no domestic interested party (as defined in section 355.25(i)(3), (i)(4), (i)(5), and (i)(6) of the regulations) objects to revocation and no interested party requests an administrative review by the last day of the 5th anniversary month.

Within the specified time frame, we received an objection from a domestic interested party to our intent to revoke the countervailing duty order.

Therefore, because the requirements of 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)(iii) have not been met, we will not revoke this order.

This determination is in accordance with 19 CFR 3545.25(d)(4).

COUNTERVAILING DUTY ORDER

Argentina:	10/02/90
Leather(C-357-803)	55 FR 40212

Dated: February 12, 1996.
Joseph A. Spetrini,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.
[FR Doc. 96–4550 Filed 2–27–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

Determination Not To Revoke Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Determination Not To Revoke Countervailing Duty Order.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is notifying the public of its determination not to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 28, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brian Albright or Cameron Cardozo, Office of Countervailing Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department

of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230; (202) 482–2786.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 31, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 45398) its intent to revoke the countervailing duty order listed below. Under 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)(iii), the Secretary of Commerce will conclude that an order is no longer of interest to interested parties and will revoke the order if no domestic interested party (as defined in § 355.25 (i)(3), (i)(4), (i)(5), and (i)(6) of the regulations) objects to revocation and no interested party requests an administrative review by the last day of the 5th anniversary month.

Within the specified time frame, we received an objection from a domestic interested party to our intent to revoke the countervailing duty order.

Therefore, because the requirements of 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4)(iii) have not been met, we will not revoke this order.

This determination is in accordance with 19 CFR 355.25(d)(4).