

other activity subject to the Regulations; or

C. Benefiting in any way from any transaction involving any item exported or to be exported from the United States that is subject to the Regulations, or in any other activity subject to the Regulations.

Second, that no person may, directly or indirectly, do any of the following:

A. Export or reexport to or on behalf of the denied person any item subject to the Regulations;

B. Take any action that facilitates the acquisition or attempted acquisition by the denied person of the ownership, possession, or control of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States, including financing or other support activities related to a transaction whereby the denied person acquires or attempts to acquire such ownership, possession or control;

C. Take any action to acquire from or to facilitate the acquisition or attempted acquisition from the denied person of any item subject to the Regulations that has been exported from the United States;

D. Obtain from the denied person in the United States any item subject to the Regulations with knowledge or reason to know that the time will be, or is intended to be, exported from the United States; or

E. Engage in any transaction to service any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States and that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person, or service any item, or whatever origin, that is owned, possessed or controlled by the denied person if such service involves the use of any item subject to the Regulations that has been or will be exported from the United States. For purposes of this paragraph, servicing means installation, maintenance, repair, modification or testing.

Third, that, after notice and opportunity for comment as provided in § 766.23 of the Regulations, any person, firm, corporation, or business organization related to the denied person by affiliation, ownership, control, or position of responsibility in the conduct of trade or related services may also be made subject to the provisions of this Order.

Fourth, that this Order does not prohibit any export, reexport, or other transaction subject to the Regulations where the only items involved that are subject to the Regulations are the foreign-produced direct product of U.S.-origin technology.

Fifth, that the proposed Charging Letter, the Settlement Agreement, and this Order shall be made available to the public. A copy of this Order shall be published in the Federal Register.

This Order, which constitutes the final agency action in this matter, is effective immediately.

Entered this 18th day of December, 1996.

Frank W. Deliberti,

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 96-32905 Filed 12-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

## Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 8-95]

### Foreign-Trade Zone 24—Pittston, Pennsylvania; Withdrawal of Application for Subzone Status J. Schoeneman, Inc., Plant (Wearing Apparel) State Line, Pennsylvania

Notice is hereby given of the withdrawal of the application submitted by the Eastern Distribution Center, Inc., grantee of FTZ 24, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the apparel manufacturing plant of J. Schoeneman, Inc. (subsidiary of the Plaid Clothing Group, Inc.), located in State Line, Pennsylvania. The application was filed on March 10, 1995 (60 FR 14420, 3/17/95).

The withdrawal was requested by the applicant because of changed circumstances, and the case has been closed without prejudice.

Dated: December 16, 1996.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-32875 Filed 12-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[Docket 5-93]

### Foreign-Trade Zone 86—Tacoma, WA; Withdrawal of Application for Subzone Status for the Toray Carbon Fiber Composites Plant

Notice is hereby given of the withdrawal of the application submitted by the Port of Tacoma, Washington, grantee of FTZ 86, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the carbon fiber composite materials manufacturing plant of Toray Composites (America), Inc. The application was filed on February 16, 1993 (58 FR 11208, 2/24/93).

The withdrawal was requested by the applicant because of changed circumstances, and the case has been closed without prejudice.

Dated: December 17, 1996.

John J. Da Ponte, Jr.,

*Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-32874 Filed 12-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## International Trade Administration

[A-559-801]

### Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof From Singapore: Amended Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** International Trade Administration, Import Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of amended final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** On December 5, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued the final results of administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, which published on December 17, 1996 in the Federal Register.

The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof, and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof. The reviews cover 64 manufacturers/exporters. The review period is May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994. We are correcting a margin-rate error with respect to ball bearings from Singapore manufactured/exported by NMB/Pelmecc.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 27, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Lyn Johnson or Richard Rimlinger, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4733.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On December 5, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) issued the final results of the fifth administrative review of the antidumping duty orders on Antifriction Bearings (Other Than Tapered Roller Bearings) and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, Singapore, Sweden, and the United Kingdom, which published on

December 17, 1996 in the Federal Register. The classes or kinds of merchandise covered by these reviews are ball bearings and parts thereof, cylindrical roller bearings and parts thereof, and spherical plain bearings and parts thereof. The reviews cover 64 manufacturers/exporters. The review period is May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994.

After issuance of our final results, we realized that we did not publish the correct margin we calculated for the final results with respect to ball bearings exported by NMB/Pelmec.

#### Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references

to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

#### Amended Final Results of Review

We have determined the following weighted-average margin to exist for the period May 1, 1993, through April 30, 1994:

Country	Company	Class or kind	Rate (percent)
Singapore .....	NMB/Pelmec .....	Ball Bearings .....	12.47

This deposit requirement is effective upon publication of this notice of amended final results of administrative review for all shipments entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (as amended). This deposit requirement shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Failure to comply is a violation of the APO.

This administrative review and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: December 18, 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-32872 Filed 12-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### [A-570-831]

#### **Fresh Garlic From the People's Republic of China; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Termination of Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

**SUMMARY:** In response to a request by the petitioner, the Fresh Garlic Producers Association and its individual members, the Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the People's Republic of China (PRC). The period of review (POR) is July 11, 1994, through October 31, 1995. The petitioner's request covered 159 producers/exporters of subject merchandise. Only one company, Top Pearl Ltd. (Top Pearl), a Hong Kong company, along with its U.S. importer of record, Merex Corporation, requested a review of its sales and has responded to our questionnaire. Because we have determined that (1) the review of Top Pearl should be terminated, and (2) the other PRC producers/exporters failed to submit responses to our questionnaires, we have preliminarily determined to use facts otherwise available for cash deposit and assessment purposes for all PRC producers/exporters of the subject merchandise.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit comments are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** December 27, 1996.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Andrea Chu or Kris Campbell, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade

Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Applicable Statute**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

##### **Background**

On September 26, 1994, the Department published in the Federal Register (59 FR 49058) the final affirmative antidumping duty determination on fresh garlic from the PRC and published an antidumping duty order on November 16, 1994 (59 FR 59209). On November 15, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 55541) a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order on fresh garlic from the PRC. On November 30, 1995, petitioner requested an administrative review of 159 producers/exporters of this merchandise to the United States. On the same date, Top Pearl, along with its U.S. importer of record, Merex Corporation, requested a review of its sales. We initiated the review on December 15, 1995 (60 FR 64413).

##### **Scope of the Review**

The products subject to this antidumping duty order are all grades of garlic, whole or separated into constituent cloves, whether or not peeled, fresh, chilled, frozen, provisionally preserved, or packed in