

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Part 135 air taxi/commercial operators filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice, and other documents germane to the application in person at the Capital Region Airport Authority.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois, on December 3, 1996.

Melissa S. Wishy,

Acting Manager, Planning/Programming Branch, Airports Division, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc. 96-31384 Filed 12-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Notice of Intent To Rule on Application To Impose and Use the Revenue From a Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) at Grand Forks International Airport, Grand Forks, ND

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent to rule on application.

SUMMARY: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Grand Forks International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158). **DATES:** Comments must be received on or before January 10, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this application may be mailed or delivered in triplicate to the AA at the following address: Federal Aviation Administration, Bismarck Airports District Office, 2000 University Drive, Bismarck, North Dakota 58504. In addition, one copy of any comments submitted to the FAA must be mailed or delivered to Ms. Mary Jo Crystal, Director of Finance and Administration, of the Grand Forks Regional Airport Authority at the following address: Grand Forks International Airport, 2787 Airport Drive, Grand Forks, North Dakota 58203.

Air carriers and foreign air carriers may submit copies of written comments previously provided to the Grand Forks Regional Airport Authority under section 158.23 of Part 158.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ms. Irene R. Porter, Manager, Bismarck Airports District Office, 2000 University Drive, Bismarck, North Dakota 58504, (701) 250-4385. The application may be reviewed in person at this same location.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA proposes to rule and invites public comment on the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC at Grand Forks International Airport under the provisions of the Aviation Safety and Capacity Expansion Act of 1990 (Title IX of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1990) (Pub. L. 101-508) and Part 158 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 158).

On November 15, 1996, the FAA determined that the application to impose and use the revenue from a PFC submitted by the Grand Forks Regional Airport Authority was substantially complete within the requirements of section 158.25 of Part 158. The FAA will approve or disapprove the application, in whole or in part, no later than February 15, 1997.

The following is a brief overview of the application.

PFC application number: 97-04-C-00-GFK.

Level of the proposed PFC: \$3.00.

Proposed charge effective date: April 1, 1997.

Proposed charge expiration date: December 1, 1997.

Total estimated PFC revenue: \$331,110.00.

Brief description of proposed project(s): Reconstruct and Widen Taxiway A; Update Airport Master Plan and Airport Layout Plan; Acquire Land for Runway Protection Zone (RPZ) and Obstruction Removal in RPZ; Reconstruct April "B" and Install Apron Lights; Purchase Snow Removal Equipment (SRE)/Snowplow; Purchase SRE/Loader; Rehabilitate Airline (Terminal) Apron.

Class or classes of air carriers which the public agency has requested not be required to collect PFCs: Air Taxi/Commercial Operators (ATCO) filing FAA Form 1800-31.

Any person may inspect the application in person at the FAA office listed above under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

In addition, any person may, upon request, inspect the application, notice and other documents germane to the application in person at the Grand Forks Regional Airport Authority offices at the Grand Forks International Airport.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on December 3, 1996

Melissa S. Wishy,

Acting Manager, Planning and Programming Branch, Airports Division, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc. 96-31383 Filed 12-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

[Summary Notice No. PE-96-57]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before December 30, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@faa.dot.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Haynes (202) 267-3939 or Angela Anderson (202) 267-9681 Office of Rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 4, 1996.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: 13199

Petitioner: American Airlines, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2);

61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61
Description of Relief Sought: To permit American Airlines, Inc., to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 4652F*

Docket No.: 15903

Petitioner: Department of the Treasury, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.111(b); 91.119(c); 91.127(b); 91.159(a) (1) and (2); and 91.209(a)

Description of Relief Sought: To permit the petitioner to conduct surveillance operations using aircraft to enforce Federal laws pertaining to firearms, liquor, explosives, and wagering. *Grant, October 18, 1996, Exemption No. 2327A*

Docket No.: 23336

Petitioner: Simulator Training, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58(c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d) 2 and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Simulator Training Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5232E*

Docket No.: 23713

Petitioner: Simuflite Training International

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c) (2), and (d) 2 and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) 1 and 2, and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit SimuFlite Training International to

use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 3931K*

Docket No.: 23921

Petitioner: FlightSafety International

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d)(2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e)(2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit FlightSafety International to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5317F*

Docket No.: 24256

Petitioner: Dalfort Training, L.P.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Dalfort Training, L.P. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. *Grant, October 12, 1996, Exemption No. 4955F*

Docket No.: 24413

Petitioner: Tiger

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Tiger to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6073A*

Docket No.: 25892

Petitioner: Reflectone Training Center—Dulles

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Reflectone Training Center—Dulles to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements

of part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5110D*

Docket No.: 26056

Petitioner: AVIA Training

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65 (c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2);

61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61
Description of Relief Sought: To permit AVIA Training to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 516D*

Docket No.: 26163

Petitioner: USAir, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65 (c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2);

61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61
Description of Relief Sought: To permit USAir, Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5158E*

Docket No.: 26223

Petitioner: Airbus Service Company, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57(c) and (d); 61.58(c) (1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2);

61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61
Description of Relief Sought: To permit Airbus Service Company, Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6032B*

Docket No.: 26577

Petitioner: Jet Tech, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR

61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c) (1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d)(2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Jet Tech, Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5377D*

Docket No.: 26945

Petitioner: Seven Stars International, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58(c) (1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Seven Stars International, Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5544B*

Docket No.: 26992

Petitioner: Continental Airlines, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d)(2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d) (2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Continental Airlines, Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5557B*

Docket No.: 27120

Petitioner: Flight Training International, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58(c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Flight Training International, Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5629B*

Docket No.: 27223

Petitioner: Ralph J. Diana

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57(c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.76(d)(2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Ralph J. Diana to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 21, 1996, Exemption No. 6191A*

Docket No.: 27310

Petitioner: Purdue University

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and

(d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d) (2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Purdue University to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5706B*

Docket No.: 27978

Petitioner: Delta Airlines, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65 (c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Delta Airlines, Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 5995A*

Docket No.: 28310

Petitioner: Waypoint Aeronautical Corporation

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55 (b) (2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c) (2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Waypoint Aeronautical Corporation to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6155A*

Docket No.: 28237

Petitioner: Premair, Inc.

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63(c) (2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65(c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit PremAir, Inc. to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6190A*

Docket No.: 28423

Petitioner: American Trans Air Training Corporation

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and (d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65 (c), (e) (2)

and (3), and (g); 61.67 (d) (2); 61.157 (d) (1) and (2), and (e) (1) and (2); 61.191(c); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought: To permit American Trans Air Training Corporation to use Federal Aviation Administration (FAA)-approved simulator to meet certain flight experience requirements of part 61. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6411A*

Docket No.: 28485

Petitioner: Polar Air Cargo

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.583(a)(8)

Description of Relief Sought: To allow Polar Air Cargo to provide transportation for the dependents of its employees to any destination without meeting certain passenger-carrying requirements of part 121 and without the dependents traveling with the employees, and without regard as to whether the employees are traveling on company business. *Grant, October 18, 1996, Exemption No. 6530*

Docket No.: 28517

Petitioner: Samuel D. James

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.109 (a) and (b)(3)

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Samuel D. James to conduct certain flight instruction and simulated instrument flights to meet recent instrument experience requirements, in certain Beechcraft airplanes equipped with a functioning throw-over control wheel in place of functioning dual controls. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6532*

Docket No.: 28536

Petitioner: Kenneth W. Brown

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.109 (a) and (b)(3)

Description of Relief Sought: To permit Kenneth W. Brown to conduct certain flight instruction and simulated instrument flights to meet recent instrument experience requirements, in certain Beechcraft airplanes equipped with a functioning throw-over control wheel in place of functioning dual controls. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6531*

Docket No.: 28538

Petitioner: John M. Hirsch

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.109 (a) and (b)(3)

Description of Relief Sought: To permit John M. Hirsch to conduct certain flight instruction and simulated instrument flights to meet recent instrument experience requirements, in certain Beechcraft airplanes equipped with a functioning throw-

over control wheel in place of functioning dual controls. *Grant, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6533*

Docket No.: 28619

Petitioner: Law Offices of Birch, Horton, Bittner and Cherot

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 135.267 (b)(2) and (c), and 135.269 (b) (2) (3) and (4)

Description of Relief Sought: To permit F.S. Air Service Inc., to assign its flight crewmembers and allow its flight crewmembers to accept a flight assignment of up to 16 hours of flight time during 20-hour duty day, for the purpose of conducting international emergency medical evacuation operations. *Denial, October 17, 1996, Exemption No. 6534*

Docket No.: 28694

Petitioner: North American Airlines
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 121.358(a)

Description of Relief Sought: To permit North American Airlines to operate a foreign-registered B757-200 aircraft (G-MONE) on an interchange agreement between December 2, 1996, and March 31, 1997, without being equipped with a low-altitude windshear system.

[FR Doc. 96-31379 Filed 12-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Federal Aviation Administration

[Summary Notice No. PE-96-56]

Petitions for Exemption; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for exemption received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for exemption (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions seeking relief from specified requirements of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Chapter I), dispositions of certain petitions previously received, and corrections. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket

number involved and must be received on or before December 30, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rule Docket (AGC-200), Petition Docket No. _____, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

Comments may also be sent electronically to the following internet address: nprmcmts@faa.dot.gov.

The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-200), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Fred Haynes (202) 267-3939 or Angela Anderson (202) 267-9681 Office of rulemaking (ARM-1), Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (c), (e), and (g) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on December 4, 1996.

Donald P. Byrne,

Assistant Chief Counsel for Regulations.

Dispositions of Petitions

Docket No.: 23647

Petitioner: Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 141.65

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit the petitioner to recommend graduates of its certified flight instructor courses for certification without taking the FAA written or flight tests. *Grant, September 30, 1996, Exemption No. 3859I*

Docket No.: 24283

Petitioner: American Flyers Incorporated
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 141.65

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition: To permit American Flyers Incorporated to hold examining authority for flight instructor and airline transport pilot (ATP) written tests. *Grant, September 30, 1996, Exemption No. 4287F*

Docket No.: 25080

Petitioner: Aeroservice Aviation Center, Inc.
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 61.55(b)(2); 61.56(c)(1); 61.57 (c) and

(d); 61.58 (c)(1) and (d); 61.63 (c)(2), and (d) (2) and (3); 61.65 (c), (e) (2) and (3), and (g); 61.67(d)(2); 61.157(d) (1) and (2), and (e)(1) and (e)(2); and Appendix A to part 61

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit the petitioner to use FAA-approved simulators to meet certain flight experience requirements of Part 61. *Grant, September 30, 1996, Exemption No. 4745E*

Docket No.: 25550

Petitioner: Department of The Army
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.1699a) (2) and (c)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To allow Army flightcrews to file Instrument Flight Rules (IFR) flight plans in accordance with regulations prescribed by the Army. *Grant, October 16, 1996, Exemption No. 6528*

Docket No.: 25863

Petitioner: Office of the Secretary of Defense

Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 91.117(a) and (b), 91.159(a), and 91.209(a)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit the petitioner to continue to conduct air operations in support of drug law enforcement and traffic interdiction without meeting certain FAA regulations governing aircraft speed, cruising altitudes for flights conducted under visual flight rules and use of aircraft lights. *Grant, September 25, 1996, Exemption No. 5100D*

Docket No.: 26743

Petitioner: The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 145.45(f)

Description of Relief Sought/

Disposition: To permit Air Treads Incorporated (ATI) to establish and maintain a number of fixed locations for the distribution of its repair station inspection procedures manual at each facility rather than providing a copy of the manual to each of its supervisory and inspection employees, as required by the Federal Aviation Regulations. *Grant, September 30, 1996, Exemption No. 5543B*

Docket No.: 26846

Petitioner: University of North Dakota
Sections of the FAR Affected: 14 CFR 141.65

Description of Relief Sought/Disposition:

To permit the University of North Dakota to recommend graduates of its approved certification course for flight instructor certificates and ratings without those graduates taking