

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Comments must be received within seven (7) days from the date of this Notice. Comments should refer to the proposal by name and should be sent to: Joseph F. Lackey, Jr. HUD Desk Officer, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kay F. Weaver, Reports Management Officer, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street, SW, Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 708-0050. This is not a toll-free number. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Weaver.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice informs the public that the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has submitted to OMB, for emergency processing, HUD Notice, Site-Based Waiting Lists.

Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination on the ground of race, color, national origin, religion of sex in any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. HUD has implemented Title VI through regulations at 24 CFR Part 1. HUD has existing authority under 24 CFR 1.4(b)(2)(iii), to make exceptions from the community-wide waiting list regulation that are consistent with the purpose of the regulation and Title VI to prohibit discrimination in the assignment of low-income families to public housing units. HUD's policy on implementation of exceptions under the community-wide waiting list regulation is included in the attached draft Notice.

The Department has submitted the proposal for the collection of information to OMB for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The Department has requested emergency clearance of the collection of information, as described below, with approval being sought by December 9, 1996:

(1) Title of the information collection proposal: PHA's Plan for Exception Request—Site-Based Waiting Lists

(2) Summary of the collection of information: Each PHA may request an exception to establish site-based waiting lists by submitting its plan and the rationale for it to the local HUD office. The plan must include all of the PHA's general occupancy developments and/or all of the PHA's mixed-population and elderly-designated developments. The request must also include: accurate statistics for the Metropolitan Statistical

Area (MSA) and the PHA's jurisdiction; each development's name, number, occupancy type and number of units, date site was developed, racial composition by bedroom size and waiting list composition. For the Section 8 program: the number of certificates and vouchers currently in use by race and bedroom size; and the length and composition of the waiting list by race and bedroom size. PHAs must provide current and proposed public housing tenant selection and assignment procedures along with any Consent Decrees, Voluntary Compliance Agreements, or other documentation related to current occupancy problems along with measures being taken to correct such problems.

(3) Description of the need for the information and its proposed use: HUD needs the information to assure statutory and regulatory compliance. The information will be used to approve the PHA's plan for exception to establish site-based waiting lists.

(4) Description of the likely respondents, and proposed frequency of response to the collection of information: State, Local Governments will request exceptions on occasion.

(5) Estimate of the total reporting burden that will result from the collection of information:

Reporting Burden:

Number of respondents: 52

(@ 72 hours per response)

Total Estimated Burden Hours: 3,744

Authority: Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended.

Dated: November 26, 1996.

David S. Cristy,

Director, IRM Policy and Management Division.

[FR Doc. 96-30768 Filed 12-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CA-330-1010-00]

Notice of Resource Advisory Council Meeting

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Land Management's Ukiah Resource Advisory Council will hold a business meeting and field tour Thursday and Friday, Jan. 9 and 10, 1997, at the BLM's Arcata Resource Area Office, 1695 Heindon Rd., Arcata, California. The meeting is

open to the public. The Jan. 9 meeting begins at 10 a.m. Agenda items include an update on BLM's development of standards for healthy rangelands and guidelines for livestock grazing, a discussion about recreation fees on public lands facilities, updates from the Arcata, Clear Lake and Redding Resource Areas, and a discussion of issues for future council consideration.

A public comment period is set for 1 p.m. Depending on the number of persons wishing to speak, a time limit could be imposed.

On Jan. 10, the council will convene at 8 a.m. at the Arcata Resource Area Office, then depart for a tour of public lands at the Somoa Dunes. The tour is open to the public, but participants must provide their own transportation.

Summary minutes of the meeting will be available for public review 30 days following the meeting at the Arcata Resource Area Office during regular business hours.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynda J. Roush, Area Manager, Arcata Resource Area, (707) 825-2300.

Lynda J. Roush,

Arcata Resource Area Manager.

[FR Doc. 96-30736 Filed 12-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-40-P

[OR-100-6321-01; GP7-0033 Case File #OR-51858]

SUBJECT: Notice of Intent, Plan Amendment.

AGENCY: Prineville District, Central Oregon Resource Area, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of realty action, Notice of exchange proposal.

SUMMARY: In accordance with 43 CFR 1610.2 and 1610.3 and 43 CFR 2200, notice is given that the Bureau of Land Management in the State of Oregon, Vale District, Baker Resource Area, intends to analyze a potential amendment to the Baker R.A. Resource Management Plan (RMP). The potential amendment will involve adjustment of land tenure designations. Currently the Baker RMP designates only two land tenure adjustment categories. The potential amendment would reclassify those areas with special designations into a "retention only" zone, thus adding an additional category. If necessary, the purpose of the plan amendment would be to make available for exchange certain lands located in Baker, Umatilla, Union, and Morrow Counties in Northeastern Oregon and would facilitate exchange proposals that involve the Prineville District BLM, Baker R.A., numerous private property holders, and a third party facilitator.

Subject to valid existing rights, most of the public lands referred to herein have been segregated from appropriation under the public land laws and mineral laws for a period of five years, beginning May 24, 1996. A complete list of specific lands segregated will be available in the same locations as the other elements of the supporting record, as noted elsewhere in this notice.

DATES: A two purpose public comment period is provided at this time. Publication of this Notice in the Federal Register starts the 45 day comment period necessary to meet public notification requirements for both the Notice of Intent to prepare to prepare plan amendments, an EIS and the Notice of Realty Action.

ADDRESSES:

Bureau of Land Management, Vale District, Baker R.A., P.O. Box 987, Baker City, OR, 97.
Prinville District, Central Oregon Resource Area, P.O. Box 550, Prineville, OR 97754.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ron Lane, Central Oregon, R.A. Realty Specialist, (541) 416-6752 and Dorothy Mason, Baker R.A., Staff Supervisor, (541) 523-1256.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Prineville District's Two Rivers (1986) and John Day (1985, 1995) Resource Management Plans and the Vale District's Baker (1989, 1992) Resource Management Plan (RMPs) currently provide general management guidelines for land tenure adjustments as well as overall land resource use allocations and resource protection or enhancement. Although it is anticipated that the final decisions for land exchanges considered through this analysis will be in full conformance with the applicable RMPs, it is possible that portions of some actions under some alternatives may not be in full conformance with the approved plans, as required by 43 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Subpart 1610.5-3, "Conformity and Implementation". The environmental analysis and public and interagency review process anticipated for this analysis are expected to fully comply with the Bureau's regulations for land use planning, including land use plan amendments, public involvement and coordination with other Federal agencies, State and local governments and Indian tribes, (43 CFR 1610.2, 1610.3 and 1610.5-5). This will allow the analysis to consider land tenure strategies which are inconsistent with the current direction or substantially affect other resource uses

and allocations in one or more of the subject approved RMPs. Any approved decisions which amend the applicable plans will be incorporated into the plans and become part of the permanent planning record. Any refinements or clarifications of management direction, priority of disposal or use of acquired lands will be incorporated into the applicable plans and documented through published plan maintenance reports, as provided under 43 CFR 1610.5-4. Copies of the three existing approved plans (as amended) will be available in the same locations as the other elements of the supporting record, as noted elsewhere in this notice.

The decisions made through this analysis are expected to be implemented through a relatively complex series of land tenure adjustment actions over a period of several years. Although the intent is to consummate the majority of the exchanges within approximately two years of the approval of the decision(s), some residual actions or independent land exchanges which are in conformance with the analysis and decisions and associated approved RMPs may occur over a period of ten or more years. In effect, this analysis will serve both to facilitate the ongoing project as well as future actions that fall under the programmatic nature of this analysis. Future exchanges or other land transfers would be subject to appropriate environmental analyses, public and interagency reviews and would be reported in the applicable District or Resource Area periodic planning update reports which are distributed to known interested parties.

The Baker R.A. RMP proposed plan amendment and exchange proposal include public lands administered by the Baker R.A. located within the following areas.

Willamette Meridian, Baker, Morrow, Union and Umatilla Counties:

T.1N. through 6N., R.23E. through 41E.,
T.1S. through 6S., R.23E. through 42E.,
T.7S through 14S., R.36E. through 48E.

Containing approximately 45,000 acres of public land.

Public lands considered for disposal in the Central Oregon R.A. Prineville, are located within the following areas:

Willamette Meridian, Grant and Wheeler Counties:

T.7S. through 18S., R.26E. through 35E.
T.7S. through 9S., R.21E. through 25E.

Containing approximately 50,000 acres of public land.

Contingent upon approval of the amended RMP, or an approved conformance determination with the existing approved Baker RMP, the above

described land within the Baker R.A. will be suitable for disposal by exchange under section 206 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA), 43 U.S.C. 1716. Disposal of the public lands considered for exchange within the Central Oregon R.A. are in conformance with current Land Use Plans. These parcels are generally considered to be isolated and inefficient to manage. The total acreage considered for disposal in both the Baker and Central Oregon Resource Areas totals approximately 95,000 acres.

The Baker and Central Oregon Resource Areas have received exchange proposals from Clearwater Land Exchange for property from Pioneer Resources, the JV Ranch and other private entities, potentially affecting the public lands noted above. Some lands offered by these private landholders for this exchange are located along the North Fork of the John Day River which straddles the Grant, Morrow, and Umatilla county lines. They adjoin a second piece of land that is located west of the North Fork and includes Ditch and Cabin Creeks to the east, Wall Creek on the west and are adjacent to Forest Service administered lands to the north. Other lands considered for acquisition are located on and in the vicinity of Rudio Mountain in Grant County and along the South Fork of the John Day River. The Baker R.A. has designated the following "target" acquisition areas within which it would seek to acquire private lands from willing land owners: the west side of the Snake River from Homestead to Huntington (including the Lookout Mountain area), the Pedro Mountain area, the Dooley Mountain/Burnt River Canyon area and along the Powder River downstream from Thief Valley Reservoir. Additionally, any opportunity to acquire lands within or adjacent to land tenure retention zone 1 as identified in the land use plans, will also be considered for acquisition in both districts.

The parcels identified for acquisition through the exchange process are considered to contain high public values including significant forest resources, anadromous fish and wildlife habitat, substantial recreational opportunities and miles of riparian habitat. They would block up and consolidate public lands managed by the BLM, adjacent to the National Forest. Other lands offered for acquisition will be considered on the basis of the following values: key anadromous or other fisheries habitat, important wildlife habitats, wetlands and riparian values, significant cultural/historic sites eligible for National Register of Historic places, T&E/

sensitive species habitat, Unique/outstanding recreational values, provide legal public access, within or adjacent to special designated areas (ACEC, W&S Rivers), manageability and cost of administration, substantially improves manageability of existing BLM or other public land, opportunities for partnerships in management and acquisition, unique lands with ecologic, geologic, scientific or scenic values and significance in stabilizing business, social and economic conditions and/or lifestyles. Issues raised at initial scoping that will be addressed in the analysis include, but are not limited to, multiple adjacent landowners desiring acquisition of BLM disposal tract(s), adjacent landowner(s) does not wish to acquire BLM disposal tract(s), appraisal issues, tribal, values/historic use areas, county land base, water rights and agricultural lands, outright sale of public lands, resource management of acquired tracts, resource management on tracts considered for disposal, late successional forest stands/habitat, access and wildlife habitat.

Parcels will be screened by an interdisciplinary (ID) team through the environmental impact statement (EIS) process. Public parcels will be inventoried for sensitive values including special status wildlife and plants, and cultural resources. Disciplines to be represented on the ID team preparing the plan amendment and EIS include, but are not limited to: archaeology, anthropology, economics, lands and minerals, recreation, forestry, fisheries, hydrology, botanical, soils, wildlife, geology and hazardous materials.

The value of lands proposed for exchange have not yet been determined. Upon completion of final appraisal, acreage would be adjusted and/or money would be used to equalize the values. Lands will be exchanged on a value basis, based on current fair market value appraisals.

Public lands would be transferred subject to: (1) A reservation to the United States of a right-of-way for ditches canals constructed by the authority of the United States. Act of August 30, 1890 (43 U.S.C. 945); and (2) all valid existing easements, leases, permits, licenses, rights-of-way or other rights, and other terms and conditions that may be identified in the EIS.

The BLM is inviting comments to be considered in the preparation of the EIS for the proposed exchange. Comments may be addressed to Dick Cosgriffe, Central Oregon Resource Area Manager, at the Prineville District Office and Gloria Brown, Baker Resource Area Manager in Baker City. Comments

should be postmarked by January 17, 1997.

Public meetings have been held in John Day, Heppner, Pendleton, LaGrande and Baker City regarding this proposal. Public open houses will be held in Heppner, Pendleton, La Grande and Baker City. The need for additional meetings will be evaluated based on the level of public input as a result of public notification procedures. Any public meetings will be announced at least 15 days in advance.

Detailed information concerning the proposed exchange and plan amendment, including the EIS, will be available at a later date at BLM offices in Prineville, Baker City, John Day, and Portland. In Heppner this information will be available in the public library. When the EIS is completed in the early spring of 1997, another comment period will be provided to allow for additional public input to the exchange and associated plan amendment. This comment period will be announced in a Federal Register notice and local media. Any final decision will also be published to these same standards and applicable appeal or protest period(s) provided.

Pursuant to 7 CFR, Part 1, Subpart B, Section 1.27, all written submissions in response to this notice shall be made available for public inspection including the submitter's name, unless the submitter specifically requests confidentiality. Anonymous comments will not be accepted. All written submissions from business entities and organizations, submitted on official letterhead, in response to this notice shall be made available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: November 20, 1996.

James L. Hancock,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 96-30735 Filed 12-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-M

National Park Service

Notice of Availability of the final General Management Plan/ Development Concept Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for the Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park

AGENCIES: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Availability of the final General Management Plan/ Development Concept Plan and Environmental Impact Statement for the Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park.

SUMMARY: The National Park Service announces the availability of the final General Management Plan/Development Concept Plan (GMP/DCP) and Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for the Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park. The final GMP/DCP and EIS describes a proposed action for the three Alaska units and one Seattle unit of the park and three alternatives (two in Seattle) to provide additional opportunities for residents and visitors to enjoy the park units while protecting the park's cultural and natural resources. A no-action alternative also is evaluated.

DATES: A Record of Decision will be made no sooner than January 2, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the statement are available on request from: Superintendent Willie Russell, Klondike Gold Rush-Seattle, 117 South Main St, Seattle WA, 98104, telephone: (206) 553-7220, FAX: (206) 553-0614 or Superintendent Clay Alderson, Klondike Gold Rush NHP, PO Box 517, Skagway, AK 99840, telephone: (907) 983-2921, FAX: (907) 983-2046.

Public reading copies of the final GMP/DCP EIS will be available for review in the following locations:

Office of Public Affairs, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, 1849 C Street, Room 3424, Washington, DC 20240, telephone: (202) 208-6843.

Alaska System Support Office, National Park Service, 2525 Gambell Street, Room 404, Anchorage, Alaska 99503-2892, telephone: (907) 257-2650.

Klondike Gold Rush National Park—Seattle, 117 South Main St, Seattle, WA 98104, telephone: (206) 553-7220.

Klondike Gold Rush National Historical Park, Second & Broadway, Skagway, AK 99840, telephone: (907) 983-2921.

Columbia Cascades Sytem Support Office & Library, NPS, 909 First Ave, 6th Floor, Seattle, WA 98104, telephone: (206) 220-4154.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (Pub.L. 91-190, as amended), the National Park Service has prepared a final GMP/DCP and EIS that describes a proposed action for the three Alaska units and one Seattle unit of the park and three alternatives (two in Seattle) to provide additional opportunities for residents and visitors to enjoy the park units while protecting the park's cultural and natural resources.

The proposed action (alternative C) in Alaska includes development concept plans for Dyea and the Chilkoot Trail and would expand park management,