

if the Department determines it is reasonable to do so. Although Daido's request for termination was submitted beyond the 90-day time limit, termination of the review is reasonable under the circumstances of this case, *i.e.*, because the Department has determined that merchandise produced by Daido and sold to the United States during the period of review is not within the scope of the antidumping duty order on SSPF's from Japan. Moreover, there were no requests for review from other interested parties. Accordingly, we are terminating this review.

This notice is published in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5).

Dated: February 9, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96-3620 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-823-803]

Titanium Sponge From Ukraine; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On November 22, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of review of the antidumping duty order on titanium sponge from Ukraine (57 FR 36070, August 12, 1992). The review covers one manufacturer, Zaporozhye Titanium and Magnesium Combine (Zaporozhye) and exports of the subject merchandise to the United States from Ukraine during the period from August 1, 1992, through July 31, 1993.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of review. Since the Department received no comments, these final results of review remain unchanged from the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 20, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Genovese or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-5254.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 30, 1993 and August 31, 1993, respectively, two U.S. producers of titanium sponge, Oregon Metallurgical Corporation (OREMET) and Titanium Metals Corporation (TIMET), requested an administrative review of the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Ukraine. The Department initiated the review on September 30, 1993, (58 FR 51053), covering the period August 1, 1992, through July 31, 1993. On November 22, 1995, the Department published the preliminary results of review. In the preliminary results of review, the Department determined that Zaporozhye was a non-shipper for the purposes of an antidumping review since all entries of the subject merchandise were entered under temporary importation bond (TIB) procedures.¹ The Department has now completed this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise covered by this review is all imports of titanium sponge from Ukraine. Titanium sponge is chiefly used for aerospace vehicles, specifically in the construction of compressor blades and wheels, stator blades, rotors, and other parts in aircraft gas turbine engines.

Imports of titanium sponge are currently classifiable under the harmonized tariff schedule (HTS) item number 8108.10.50.10. The HTS item number is provided for convenience and Customs purposes; our written description of the scope of this finding is dispositive.

This review covers sales and entries by Ukrainian exporters, producers, sellers, and resellers of the subject merchandise during the period August 1, 1992, through July 31, 1993.

¹ Merchandise entered under TIB procedures are not entries for consumption, and therefore, cannot be considered merchandise subject to an antidumping duty order and included within a determination resulting from a 751(a) administrative review. Moreover, a review of TIB entries cannot serve as the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of the merchandise included within the determination and for deposits of estimated duties, which is the purpose of an administrative review.

Final Results of Review

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Since the Department received no comments, we have continued to treat Zaporozhye as a non-shipper of the subject merchandise for these final results. Accordingly, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act, the cash deposit rate for all shipments of titanium sponge from Ukraine, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, will be 83.96 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: February 8, 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-3621 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[A-821-803]

Titanium Sponge From Russia; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On October 30, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of review of the antidumping finding on titanium sponge from Russia (33 FR 12138, August 28, 1968). The review covers four manufacturers/exporters, VILS-All Union Institute of Light Alloys (VILS), Verkhnyaya Salda Metallurgical Production Organization (VSMPO), V/O Techsnabexport (TENEX), and the Berezniaki Titanium-Magnesium Works (AVISMA), and exports of the subject merchandise to the United States for the period August 1, 1992 through July 31, 1993.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of review. Since the Department received no comments, these final results of review remain unchanged from the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 20, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Genovese or Zev Primor, Office of Antidumping Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482-5254.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 30, 1993, Oregon Metallurgical Corporation (OREMET), a petitioner, requested an administrative review of TENEX and AVISMA. On August 27 and 31, 1993, Titanium Metals Corporation (TIMET), also a petitioner, requested an administrative review of VILS, VSMPO, TENEX, and AVISMA. The Department initiated the review on September 30, 1993 (58 FR 51053), covering the period August 1, 1992, through July 31, 1993. On October 30, 1995, the Department published the preliminary results of review (60 FR 55241). The Department has now completed this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act). Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

The merchandise covered by this review is titanium sponge from Russia. Titanium sponge is chiefly used for aerospace vehicles, specifically, in the construction of compressor blades and

wheels, stator blades, rotors, and other parts in aircraft gas turbine engines.

Imports of titanium sponge are currently classifiable under the harmonized tariff schedule (HTS) subheading 8108.10.50.10. The HTS subheading is provided for convenience and U.S. Customs purposes; our written description of the scope of this finding is dispositive.

This review covers four manufacturers/exporters of titanium sponge, VILS, VSMPO, TENEX, and AVISMA. The review period is August 1, 1992, through July 31, 1993.

Final Results of Review

In the preliminary results of review, the Department determined that while there were entries of the subject merchandise during the period of review, AVISMA was a non-shipper since it lacked knowledge at the time of sale of the ultimate destination of the merchandise. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. The Department received no comments. Accordingly, we have determined that, consistent with the preliminary results, the margin for Russian titanium sponge that entered the United States during the period of review will continue to be the rate from the most recent review, which is 83.96 percent. The Department will issue appraisal instruction directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act, the cash deposit rate for all shipments of titanium sponge from Russia, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, will be 83.96 percent. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written

notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: February 8, 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-3619 Filed 2-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[Docket No. 951213300-5300-01; I.D. 120795A]

Weakfish; Interstate Fishery Management Plans

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of determination of noncompliance; notice of intent to implement a moratorium.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Atlantic Coastal Fisheries Cooperative Management Act of 1993 (Act), the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) has determined that the State of Maryland is not in compliance with the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission's (Commission) Interstate Coastal Fishery Management Plan (FMP) for weakfish and that the measures Maryland has failed to implement are necessary for the conservation of the fishery in question. Pursuant to the Act, a Federal moratorium on weakfish-fishing within Maryland State waters is hereby declared. This moratorium will become effective on April 15, 1996, unless, by April 1, 1996, Maryland adopts measures to come into compliance with the Commission's FMP.

DATES: Date of moratorium declaration: January 31, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard H. Schaefer, Director, Office of Fisheries Conservation and Management, NMFS, 301-713-2334.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Act, 16 U.S.C. 5101 *et seq.*, was enacted to support and encourage the development, implementation, and enforcement of the Commission's Interstate Coastal FMPs to conserve and