If the applicant is a law enforcement agency, it must partner with a university or academy or a POST Commission, and a non-profit community organization. Partnering with other departments is encouraged.

DATES: Regional Community Policing Institute Application Kits will be available after November 19, 1996. The COPS Office will accept completed Application Kits for Regional Community Policing Institutes on or before January 31, 1997.

ADDRESSES: Regional Community Policing Institute Application Kits may be obtained by writing to Regional Community Policing Institutes, 1100 Vermont Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 20530, or by calling the Department of Justice Response Center, (202) 307–1480 or 1–800–421–6770. Completed Application Kits should be sent to Regional Community Policing Institute Applications, COPS Office, Eleventh Floor, 1100 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Department of Justice Crime Bill Response Center, (202) 307–1480 or 1–800–421–6770.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Overview

The Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act of 1994 (Pub. L. 103–322) authorizes the Department of Justice to provide technical assistance, including the establishment and operation of training centers and facilities, in the implementation of community policing.

Community policing requires a substantial investment in training. Regional Community Policing Institutes can facilitate an infusion of community policing principles into all forms of police training. Regional Community Policing Institutes will be expected to apply the principles of adult learning to all training and professional development activities. Institutes will need to demonstrate how they will differ from traditional training academies, how they will apply critical thinking to complex enforcement, community and organizational problems, and how they will integrate capacity development into the scope of their activities. This initiative will permit organizations to develop a training infrastructure that will help institutionalize and sustain community policing after federal funding has ended.

The ČOPS Office will fund the expansion of current ongoing community policing training efforts and establish a network of Community Policing Institutes across the United

States. The work of a Regional Community Policing Institute can be performed within an agency's training academy, a state training academy, POST Commission, community college or university.

Partnerships are required for Community Policing Institutes and applicants are encouraged to engage more than one partner. The partnership consists of one or more police departments, an academic institution, and a recognized community or nonprofit organization. At least one of the partners will have been engaged in comprehensive community policing training for at least two years.

An Institute is a partnership created to provide comprehensive and innovative education, training, and technical assistance to COPS grantees and other departments throughout a designated region. Generally a region is considered to be state-wide. However, other intra- and inter-state configurations also will be considered. An Institute provides basic community policing training as well as training in a community policing speciality. Speciality training could include executive or management development, ethics training, problem solving, technology-based training, building partnerships, organizational transformation, organizational/ community assessment, or implementing community policing. Although an Institute differs from a traditional police academy, it may coexist with a department's training academy.

An Institute partnership will have one primary grantee and signed collaboration agreements with all partners. The agreements will clarify roles and responsibilities of partners. The primary grantee will be responsible for the financial management of the grant. An Institute will ensure that training reaches as many grantees as possible by including a train-the-trainer component for developing community policing trainers who will be available throughout the region.

An Institute will have a program director and a core staff. It is expected that current training staff will participate in the training. Institute core staff will be housed by one of the partners but the training can occur in different facilities provided either by the partners or hosted by local departments throughout the region.

All awards made under Regional Community Policing Institutes will be cooperative agreements, instead of grants. Cooperative agreements are entered into when the Federal government plans to have substantial program oversight of the funded agency during the performance of the proposed activity. Funding will be for one year and each award will range up to \$1 million total. The amount of funding is dependent upon jurisdiction/agency size and the nature of the proposed training efforts. Although a local match is not required for this program, applicants are encouraged to contribute cash or in-kind resources to their proposed projects.

Regional Community Policing
Institutes have special requirements on
funding allocation. Applicants are
required to allocate at least 5 percent of
the total award budget for research or
evaluation efforts. Additionally,
applicants are required to allocate at
least 5 percent of the total award budget
for hosting conferences, and up to 10
percent for travel stipends that will
ensure access to training.

Application Kits will be available after November 19, 1996. Completed Application Kits must be received by the COPS Office on or before January 31, 1997

An award under the Regional Community Policing Institutes will not affect the eligibility of an agency's application for a grant under any other COPS program.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) reference for this program is 16.710.

Dated: November 14, 1996.

Joseph E. Brann,

Director.

[FR Doc. 96–29740 Filed 11–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–AT–M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decrees Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that two proposed consent decrees in United States v. Farmer Oil, et al., Civil Action No. 95-CV-3231, were lodged on November 1, 1996, with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. The consent decrees settle claims against separate defendants brought under Section 107(a) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), for response costs incurred by the United States at the Daytona Antifreeze site (the "Daytona site") in Marietta, Georgia. Under the proposed consent decrees, defendants Watkins Omega, Inc. ("Watkins") and Enterprise Waste Oil, Inc. ("Enterprise") will pay \$25,000 and \$20,000, respectively, to the United States in reimbursement of response

costs incurred by the Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in connection with the Daytona site. EPA has incurred costs in excess of \$357,000 in connection with the Daytona site. Efforts to secure additional reimbursement continue against several other defendants named in the lawsuit.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed consent decrees. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* v. *Farmer Oil*, et al., DOJ Ref. #90–11–2–1145A.

The proposed consent decrees may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Richard Russell Federal Building, Suite 1800, 75 Spring Street, S.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30335; the Region 4 Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 100 Alabama St., S.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303; and at the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624–0892. A copy of either proposed consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy of either decree please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$4.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library.

Joel M. Gross,

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division. [FR Doc. 96–29741 Filed 11–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act

Notice is hereby given that a consent decree with thirteen settling defendants in *United States* versus *Stephen D. Heleva, et al.*, Civ. Act. No. 93–1339 (E.D. Pa.) was lodged on October 28, 1996.

The proposed decree resolves the claims of the United States against thirteen parties under Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, as amended ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607, for past response costs and certain response actions at the Heleva Landfill Superfund Site in North Whitehall Township, Pennsylvania. The thirteen settling defendants are Air Products and

Chemicals, Inc.; American Nickeloid Company; the American Telephone & Telegraph Company ("ATT"); General Electric Company; Howmet Cercast (U.S.A.), Inc.; Olin Corporation; Pennsylvania Power & Light Company: Robert J. McAuliffe, Inc. and Robert J. McAuliffe; Gramet Holdings Corp. as successor in interest to Alpo Pet Foods, Inc.; GAF Corporation; Pfizer, Inc.; and Mack Trucks, Inc. The decree obligates the Settling Defendants to reimburse \$12,067,696.32 of the United States' past response costs. In exchange, the United States covenants not to sue the Settling Defendants under Sections 106 and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607, to recover past response costs or to perform prior response actions listed in the decree.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed partial consent decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General for the Environment and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States* versus *Stephen D. Heleva, et al.*, DOJ Ref. # 90–11–2–684.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the United States Department of Justice, Environment and Natural Resources Division, Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005, (202) 624-0892. A copy of the proposed partial consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Consent Decree Library, 1120 G Street, N.W., 4th Floor, Washington, D.C. 20005. In requesting a copy, please refer to the referenced case and enclose a check in the amount of \$10.00 (25 cents per page reproduction costs), payable to the Consent Decree Library. Attachments to the proposed partial consent decree can be obtained for an additional amount. Joel M. Gross.

Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section. [FR Doc. 96–29742 Filed 11–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–15–M

Antitrust Division

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993; Intelligent Modular Array System

Notice is hereby given that, on October 11, 1996, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. § 4301 *et seq.* ("the Act"), Sawtek, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing the addition of one member to the venture. The notification was filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, The Perkin Elmer Corporation, Wilton, CT, has become a member to the venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the venture. Membership in the venture remains open and Sawtek, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing any future changes in membership.

On October 11, 1995, Sawtek, Inc. filed the original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on December 5, 1995 (60 FR 62261).

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 96–29743 Filed 11–20–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Emergency Review; Comment Request

November 18, 1996.

The Department of Labor has submitted the Work Opportunity Tax Credit (WOTC) administrative forms and information collection request (ICR), utilizing emergency review procedures, to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and clearance in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). OMB approval has been requested by November 21, 1996. A copy of this ICR, with applicable supporting documentation, may be obtained by calling the Department of Labor Acting Departmental Clearance Officer, Theresa O'Malley ((202) 219-5096 x. 166).

Comments and questions about the WOTC ICR should be forwarded to the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attn: OMB Desk Officer for the Employment and Training Administration, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503 ((202) 395–7316).

The Office of Management and Budget is particularly interested in comments which:

 Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary