Whereas, by an Act of Congress approved June 18, 1934, an Act "To provide for the establishment of foreign-trade zones in ports of entry of the United States, to expedite and encourage foreign commerce, and for other purposes," as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u) (the Act), the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) is authorized to grant to qualified corporations the privilege of establishing foreign-trade zones in or adjacent to U.S. Customs ports of entry;

Whereas, the Board's regulations (15 CFR Part 400) provide for the establishment of special-purpose subzones when existing zone facilities cannot serve the specific use involved;

Whereas, an application from the Little Rock Port Authority, submitted on behalf of the Arkansas Department of Industrial Development, grantee of Foreign-Trade Zone 14, for authority to establish special-purpose subzone status at the agricultural and specialty chemical manufacturing facility of Cedar Chemical Corporation located in West Helena, Arkansas, was filed by the Board on January 19, 1996, and notice inviting public comment was given in the Federal Register (FTZ Docket 6–96, 61 FR 3000, 1/30/96);

Whereas, on April 15, 1996, the application was amended to withdraw the manufacture of Trometamol from the scope of the request; and,

Whereas, the Board adopts the findings and recommendations of the examiner's report, and finds that the requirements of the FTZ Act and Board's regulations are satisfied, and that approval of the application, as amended, is in the public interest;

Now, Therefore, the Board hereby authorizes the establishment of a subzone (Subzone 14B) at the Cedar Chemical Corporation plant in West Helena, Arkansas, at the location described in the application, subject to the FTZ Act and the Board's regulations, including § 400.28.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 4th day of November 1996.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Import Administration, Alternate Chairman, Foreign-Trade Zones Board.

Attest:

John J. Da Ponte, Jr., *Executive Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 96-29239 Filed 11-14-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE: 3510-DS-P

International Trade Administration

Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews and Requests for Revocation in Part

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Initiation of antidumping and countervailing duty administrative reviews and requests for revocation in part.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) has received requests to conduct administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with October anniversary dates. In accordance with the Department's regulations, we are initiating those administrative reviews. The Department also received a request to revoke one countervailing duty order in part.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 15, 1996. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Holly A. Kuga, Office of AD/CVD Enforcement, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4737.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department has received timely requests, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a) and 355.22(a)(1994), for administrative reviews of various antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings with October anniversary dates. The Department also received a timely request to revoke in part the countervailing duty order on certain agricultural tillage tools from Brazil.

Initiation of Reviews

In accordance with sections 19 CFR 353.22(c) and 355.22(c), we are initiating administrative reviews of the following antidumping and countervailing duty orders and findings. The Department is not initiating an administrative review of any exporters and/or producers who were not named in a review request because such exporters and/or producers were not specified as required under section 353.22(a) (19 CFR 353.22(a)). The Department will issue preliminary results of these reviews within 245 days of the last day of the anniversary month of each finding/order. The Department will issue notices of final results of this review within 120 days of publication in the Federal Register of the reviewspecific notices of preliminary results, unless it extends specific due dates in accordance with section 751(a)(3) of the Act.

Antidumping duty proceedings	Period to be re- viewed
JAPAN: Tapered Roller Bearings, 4 Inches and Under A-588-054	10/1/95–9/30/96
NSK Ltd., Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd., Fuji Heavy Industries, MC International	
JAPAN: Tapered Roller Bearings, Over 4 Inches	
A-588-604	10/1/95-9/30/96
NTN Corporation, NSK Ltd., Koyo Seiko Co., Ltd., Fuji Heavy Industries, MC International	
MALAYSIA: Extruded Rubber Thread	
A-557-805	10/1/95-9/30/96
Filati Lastex Sdn. Bhd., Filmax Sdn. Bhd., Heveafil Sdn. Bhd., Rubberflex Sdn. Bhd., Rubfil Sdn. Bhd.	
THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA: Lock Washers	
A-570-822	10/1/95-9/30/96
Hangzhou Spring Washer Plant, Zhejiang Wanxin Group Co., Ltd.	
Countervailing Duty Proceedings	
BRAZIL: Certain Agricultural Tillage Tools *	
C-351-406	1/1/95-12/31/95
Marchesan Implementos e Maquinas Argicolas "TATU" S.A.	

AA*Marchesan has submitted a request for partial revocation of the order under 19 CFR 355.25(a)(3). The Department will examine the request for revocation to determine whether Marchesan meets the threshold requirements for revocation under 19 CFR 355.25(a)(3).

If requested within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, the Department will determine, where appropriate, whether antidumping duties have been absorbed by an exporter or producer subject to any of these reviews if the subject merchandise is sold in the United States through an importer which is affiliated with such exporter or producer.

Interested parties must submit applications for disclosure under administrative protective orders in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(b) and

355.34(b).

These initiations and this notice are in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)) and 19 CFR 353.22(c)(1) and 355.22(c)(1).

Dated: November 8, 1996.

Jeffrey P. Bialos,

Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96–29364 Filed 11–14–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

[A-570-808]

Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts From the People's Republic of China; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce. **ACTION:** Notice of final results of antidumping administrative review.

SUMMARY: On August 16, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on chromeplated lug nuts (lug nuts) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) (60 FR 48687). This review covers shipments of this merchandise to the United States during the period September 1, 1993, through August 31, 1994. We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on our preliminary results. Based upon our analysis of the comments received we have changed the results from those presented in the preliminary results of review. **EFFECTIVE DATE:** November 15, 1996. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald Little, Elisabeth Urfer, or Maureen Flannery, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482-4733.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise stated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are references to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published in the Federal Register an antidumping duty order on lug nuts from the PRC on April 24, 1992 (57 FR 15052). On September 2, 1994, the Department published in the Federal Register (59 FR 45664) a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on lug nuts from the PRC covering the period September 1, 1993, through August 31, 1994.

On September 21, 1994, in accordance with 19 CFR 353.22(a)(1994), the petitioner. Consolidated International Automotive, Inc., requested that we conduct an administrative review of China National Automotive Industry I/E Corp. (China National); China National Machinery & Equipment Import and Export Corporation, Jiangsu Co., Ltd. (Jiangsu); Rudong Grease Gun Factory (Rudong); China National Automotive Industry I/E Corp., Nantong Branch (Nantong); China National Automotive Industry Shanghai Automobile Import & Export Corp. (Shanghai Automobile); Tianjin Automotive Import & Export Co. (Tianjin); China National Automobile Import and Export Corp., Yangzhou Branch (Yangzhou); and Ningbo Knives & Scissors Factory (Ningbo). We published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on October 13, 1994 (59 FR 51939).

On August 16, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register the preliminary results of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on lug nuts from the PRC (60 FR 48687). There was no request for a hearing. The Department has now completed this review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Tariff Act).

Scope of Review

On April 19, 1994, the Department issued its "Final Scope Clarifications on Chrome-Plated Lug Nuts from Taiwan and the PRC." The scope, as clarified, is described in the subsequent paragraph. All lug nuts covered by this review conform to the April 19, 1994, scope clarification.

Imports covered by this review are one-piece and two-piece chrome-plated lug nuts, finished or unfinished. The

subject merchandise includes chromeplated lug nuts, finished or unfinished, which are more than 11/16 inches (17.45 millimeters) in height and which have a hexagonal (hx) size of at least 3/4 inches (19.05 millimeters) but not over one inch (25.4 millimeters), plus or minus 1/16 of an inch (1.59 millimeters). The term "unfinished" refers to unplated and/or unassembled chromeplated lug nuts. The subject merchandise is used for securing wheels to cars, vans, trucks, utility vehicles, and trailers. Zinc-plated lug nuts, finished or unfinished, and stainlesssteel capped lug nuts are not included in the scope of this review. Chromeplated lock nuts are also not subject to this review.

Chrome-plated lug nuts are currently classified under subheadings 7318.16.00.15, 7318.16.00.45, and 7318.16.00.80 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). Although the HTS subheading is provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

This review covers the period September 1, 1993, through August 31, 1994, and eight producers/exporters of

Chinese lug nuts.

Analysis of Comments Received

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. We received case and rebuttal briefs from petitioner and Rudong.

Comment 1: Petitioner concurs with the Department's decision to use the best information available (BIA) for nonresponding parties, and argues that the Department should apply partial BIA to Rudong. Petitioner states that, while Rudong did respond to the Department's requests for information, Rudong submitted erroneous cost information relating to packing costs, reported outof-date factors of production values rather than actual factors-of-production and factory overhead for the period of review (POR), and included a substantial additional amount for electricity that is unexplained. Petitioner further asserts that scrap amounts reported by Rudong were incorrect, and that the Department could not verify the percentage of materials purchased from each supplier.

For the six non-responding companies, petitioner contends that the Department should apply a first-tier BIA rate of 44.99 percent from the final results of the second administrative review (1992–1993). Petitioner argues that the use of this rate is supported by the record and follows applicable law and administrative practice.