

Schedule LLIT and for Rate Schedule VKIT.

Texas Eastern states that the ASA Percentages proposed herein are increased compared to those percentages in Texas Eastern's currently effective tariff due primarily to the reduction in the annual quantity of gas available to use as fuel from the Appendix C contracts as provided in the Settlement which is offset by the decrease in the projected portion of the Spot Fuel Components. Texas Eastern has requested waiver of its tariff or any other waivers the Commission may deem necessary in order to permit Texas Eastern to levelize its ASA percentages for the eight month period covering the Spring, Summer and Fall seasons in the interest of rate stability based upon several requests from its customers.

Texas Eastern states that copies of its filing have been served on all Firm Customers of Texas Eastern and Interested State Commissions, as well as all current interruptible shippers and all parties to the Settlement in Docket No. RP85-177-119, et al.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make Protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on a file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-28825 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP97-49-000]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 5, 1996.

Take notice that on October 31, 1996, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1 and Original Volume No. 2, the tariff sheets listed on Appendix A to the filing to become effective December 1, 1996.

Texas Eastern asserts that the purpose of this filing is to comply with the Stipulation and Agreement filed by Texas Eastern in Docket Nos. RP88-67, et al. (Phase II/PCBs) and with Section 26 of Texas Eastern's FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1.

Texas Eastern states that such tariff sheets reflect a decrease in the PCB-Related Cost component of Texas Eastern's currently effective rates. For example, the reduction in the 100% load factor average cost of long-haul service under Rate Schedule FT-1 to Market Zone 3 is \$0.0067/dth.

Texas Eastern states that copies of the filing were served on firm customers of Texas Eastern and interested state commissions. Texas Eastern further states that copies of this filing have also been mailed to all parties on the service list in Docket Nos. RP88-67, et al. (Phase II/PCBs) and to all current interruptible shippers.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.211 and 385.214 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-28826 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP97-79-000]

Texas Gas Transmission Corporation; Notice of Request Under Blanket Authorization

November 5, 1996.

Take notice that on October 31, 1996, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP97-79-000 a request pursuant to Sections 157.205 and 157.212 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.212) for authorization to construct and operate a new delivery point in Coahoma County,

Mississippi, to serve an existing customer, Mississippi Valley Gas Company (MVG), a local distribution company, under Texas Gas's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-407-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas states that the proposed delivery point will be known as the Viney Ridge Road Delivery Point and will be located on Texas Gas's Mainline System in Coahoma County, Mississippi. Texas Gas also states that it will install, own, operate and maintain the side valve, dual 2" orifice meter station, electronic flow measurement, telemetry and related facilities, and that all necessary construction by Texas Gas will occur inside previously disturbed existing right-of-way.

Texas Gas states that the new delivery point will provide operating flexibility and increased supply security to MVG in the Clarksdale, Mississippi area. The estimated maximum daily volume for this point is 5,000 MMBtu.

Texas Gas states that MVG will serve the new delivery point with natural gas transported pursuant to its Firm Transportation Agreement and Firm No Notice Transportation Agreement with Texas Gas, and has informed Texas Gas it will not require any increase in existing contract quantities to accommodate service to the new delivery point.

Texas Gas states that since no increase in contract quantities has been requested by MVG, the above proposal will have no significant effect on Texas Gas's peak day and annual deliveries, and service to MVG through this point can be accomplished without detriment to Texas Gas's other customers.

Texas Gas states that MVG will reimburse Texas Gas for the cost of the facilities to be installed by Texas Gas, which cost is estimated to be \$86,100.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to Section 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefor, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for

authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-28835 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP97-71-000]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 5, 1996.

Take notice that on November 1, 1996, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the tariff sheets listed in Appendix A to that filing, to become effective December 1, 1996.

Transco states that the cost of service proposed in the instant filing is \$793,104,220, compared to a cost of service of \$710,024,010 underlying Transco's rates found just and reasonable in Docket No. RP92-137. Transco notes that since the effectiveness of the Docket No. RP92-137 case, it has filed a general rate case in Docket No. RP95-197, as to which settlements addressing issues including cost of service are currently awaiting Commission action. Transco also notes that the cost of service for Docket No. RP92-137 is not directly comparable to the cost of service in the instant case, due to the fact that certain Transco expansion projects, which increased cost of service but which did not require Transco to file a general Section 4 rate case, were placed into service after the effectiveness of the Docket No. RP92-137 case, i.e., September 1, 1992.

Transco states that the principal factors supporting the increase in cost of service are (1) an increase in rate base resulting from additional plant, and (2) an increase in operation and maintenance expenses.

Transco states that changes proposed in the instant filing compared to the pre-filed methods in place on the Transco system are principally: (1) allocation of 100% of the Hester Storage field cost of service to its system firm and interruptible transportation services; (2) allocation of Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes based on a net plant allocation; (3) capitalization of pipeline recoating expenses beginning May 1, 1997; (4) elimination of the "at-risk" certificate condition governing Transco's Mobile Bay facilities (although the instant case has been filed consistent with the "at-risk" condition); and (5) refunctionalization, pursuant to

a prior Commission order, of certain jointly owned transmission facilities to the gathering function.

Transco also states that its rates for firm backhauls are currently at issue in Docket No. RP96-211, and that the rates reflected in the instant filing are consistent with Transco's October 2, 1996 compliance filing in that proceeding.

Transco states that it is not making any proposals in the instant filing which are inconsistent with the Stipulation and Agreement filed on June 19, 1996, in Docket No. RP95-197, which was certified to the Commission on July 31, 1996 by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge in that proceeding.

Transco further states that the instant filing also proposes on a prospective only basis the following changes to the pre-filed methods: (1) roll-in of the costs of the Leidy Line and Southern expansion transmission facilities which currently are subject to incremental pricing; and (2) establishing rates for interruptible backhaul service equal to rates for interruptible forward haul service. Transco has included in Statement Q pro forma tariff sheets reflecting the interruptible backhaul rate proposal. These changes are proposed to be effective prospectively only after Commission approval.

Transco states that copies of the filing have been served upon its affected customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protect this filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-28815 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. RP96-397-001 and RP95-425-002]

Transwestern Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

November 5, 1996.

Take notice that on October 31, 1996 Transwestern Pipeline Company (Transwestern) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets, with an effective date of November 1, 1996:

1st Revised Sheet No. 5B.03

Transwestern states that the purpose of this filing is to reduce the amount originally filed to be recovered in its TCR II, No. 2 filing made September 30, 1996, based on the Stipulation and Agreement filed in Docket No. RP95-271-003 on May 21, 1996 and an amendment filed in Docket No. RP95-425 filed July 18, 1996.

Transwestern states that this filing will reduce TCR II, No. 2 by \$159,121.09 and Transwestern is filing a tariff sheet to reflect this reduction in the TCR II surcharge.

Transwestern states that copies of the filing were served on its gas utility customers, interested state commissions, and all parties to this proceeding.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-28832 Filed 11-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TM97-3-30-000]

Trunkline Gas Company; Notice of Filing

November 5, 1996.

Take notice that on November 1, 1996, Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) tendered for filing its Annual Interruption Storage Revenue Credit Surcharge Adjustment in accordance with Section 24 of the