

FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). Fifteen copies of such petitions and protests should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above. Comments on the application by Quixx should be clearly marked with Docket No. EA-127. Comments on SPS's request to export to Mexico should be clearly marked with Docket No. EA-128.

Additional copies are to be filed directly with: Michael E. Small, Wright & Talisman, P.C., 1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 600, Washington, D.C. 20005 and Louis Ridings, President, Quixx Corporation, 6th & Tyler, Suite 1510, P.O. Box 12033, Amarillo, Texas 79101.

A final decision will be made on these applications after the environmental impacts have been evaluated pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), and a determination is made by the DOE that the proposed actions will not adversely impact on the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system.

Copies of these applications will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above.

Issued in Washington, DC, on November 1, 1996.

Anthony J. Como,

Director, Office of Coal & Electricity, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 96-28667 Filed 11-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

[Docket No. EA-102-A]

Application for Supplemental Order; Enron Power Marketing, Inc.

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: Enron Power Marketing, Inc. (Enron) has submitted an application to supplement its Order in FE Docket EA-102 authorizing exports of electricity to Mexico. Specifically, Enron is seeking an order that will require El Paso Electric Company (EPE) to provide nondiscriminatory transmission access to Mexico using the two cross border transmission lines owned by EPE.

DATES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene must be submitted on or before December 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments, protests or requests to intervene should be addressed as follows: Office of Coal & Electricity (FE-52), Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20585 (FAX 202-287-5736).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ellen Russell (Program Office) 202-586-9624 or Michael Skinker (Program Attorney) 202-586-6667.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Exports of electricity from the United States to a foreign country are regulated and require authorization under section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (FPA) (16 U.S.C. § 824a(e)).

On February 6, 1996, the Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) issued Order EA-102 to EPMI authorizing it to export electric energy to Mexico pursuant to section 202(e) of the Federal Power Act (FPA). Among other things, Order EA-102 allowed EPMI to transmit the exported energy over the two 115-kV international transmission lines owned and operated by EPE. The construction and operation of these lines was previously authorized by Presidential Permits PP-48-3 and PP-92, issued by the DOE on December 13, 1990, and April 16, 1992, respectively. The authority contained in Order EA-102 was conditioned on EPMI obtaining the necessary transmission service to wheel the exported energy from the source(s) to the U.S. border with Mexico. However, Order EA-102 did not require any transmission system to provide service.

EPE presently has a contract for the sale of electric power to the Comision Federal de Electricidad (CFE, the national electric utility of Mexico). That contract expires in December 1996 and CFE has solicited bids from EPE and other entities for the supply of firm power starting in 1997. In order to complete a proposal in response to CFE's solicitation, on July 18, 1996, EPMI requested that EPE provide firm point-to-point transmission service under EPE's open access tariff filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) pursuant to Order 888. On August 30, 1996, EPE denied EPMI's request for several reasons.

On September 13, 1996, EPMI filed a complaint with the FERC under section 206 of the FPA alleging that EPE's denial of transmission service was unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, anticompetitive, and in violation of EPE's open-access transmission tariff on file with the FERC. On October 4, 1996, the FERC granted EPMI's requested relief and ordered EPE to provide transmission service (under its FERC-filed open-access tariff) from designated points of receipt between EPE and other U.S. utilities to EPE's Diablo and Ascarate substations in the United States. However, the FERC determined that it did not have jurisdiction to order EPE

to provide comparable transmission service over the U.S. portion of EPE's transmission lines connecting the Diablo and Ascarate substations with CFE's Insurgentes and Riverena substations in Mexico. Accordingly, on October 7, 1996, EPMI filed an application requesting the DOE to order EPE to provide nondiscriminatory transmission access over EPE's two 115-kV international transmission lines extending from EPE's Diablo and Ascarate substations. EPMI requested that this be accomplished by: (1) Supplementing Order EA-102; (2) amending EPE's electricity export authorization contained in Order EA-48-I; and (3) amending Presidential Permits PP-48-3 and PP-92.

On October 29, 1996, the Secretary of Energy signed Delegation Order No. 0204-163, which delegated and assigned to the FERC authority to carry out such functions vested in the Secretary to regulate access to, and the rates, terms and conditions for, transmission services over these EPE facilities. This authority was delegated to FERC for the sole purpose of authorizing FERC to take any actions necessary to effectuate open access transmission over the United States portion of EPE's electric transmission lines connecting the Diablo and Ascarate substations in the United States with the Insurgentes and Riverena substations in Mexico. Notice and a copy of the Delegation Order were published in the Federal Register on November 1, 1996 at 61 FR 56525.

PROCEDURAL MATTERS: Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this application should file comments, protests or petitions to intervene at the address provided above in accordance with §§ 385.211 or 385.214 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 C.F.R. 385.211, 385.214). Fifteen copies of such comments, protests or petitions to intervene should be filed with the DOE on or before the date listed above. Additional copies are to be filed directly with: Richard S. Shapiro, Enron Power Marketing, Inc., 1400 Smith Street (77002), Post Office Box 1188, Houston, TX 77251-1188 (FAX: 713-646-8160) AND Joseph R. Hartsoe, Enron Washington, Inc., 750 17th Street, NW, Suite 400, Washington, DC 20006-4607 (FAX 202-466-3450).

Pursuant to 18 C.F.R. 385.211, protests and comments will be considered by the DOE in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene under 18 C.F.R.

385.214. Section 385.214 requires that a petition to intervene must state, to the extent known, the position taken by the petitioner and the petitioner's interest in sufficient factual detail to demonstrate either that the petitioner has a right to participate because it is a State Commission; that it has or represents an interest which may be directly affected by the outcome of the proceeding, including any interest as a consumer, customer, competitor, or security holder of a party to the proceeding; or that the petitioner's participation is in the public interest.

On the date this notice was issued DOE had already received interventions in this docket from NorAm Energy Services, Inc., Destec Power Services, Inc., Southwestern Public Service Company, Detroit Edison Company, and the Public Utility Commission of Texas. These entities are accepted as parties to this proceeding and need not reapply.

A final decision will be made on this application after the environmental impacts of the proposed action has been evaluated pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), and a determination is made by the DOE that the proposed action will not adversely impact on the reliability of the U.S. electric power supply system.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the address provided above.

Issued in Washington, DC on November 1, 1996.

Anthony J. Como,

Director, Office of Coal & Electricity, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 96-28670 Filed 11-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP97-58-000]

Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, Notice of Application

November 1, 1996.

Take notice that on October 21, 1996, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia), 1700 MacCorkle Avenue, Charleston, West Virginia 25325-1273, filed an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and Part 157 of the Commission's Regulations thereunder for an order granting permission and approval to abandon by transfer certain natural gas facilities, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the

Commission and open to public inspection.

Columbia proposes to abandon fifty-three (53) meters used to measure receipts of volumes from independent producers located in Kentucky, Ohio and West Virginia. On July 31, 1991, Columbia filed for protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. In the process of liquidating claims, Columbia entered into settlement agreements with individual producers which involved, among other things, Columbia's agreement to transfer to the settling producers certain receipt meters. These meters were no longer needed by Columbia to support gas purchase activity but were of interest to the producers who would continue to introduce gas into Columbia's system for transportation.

Columbia states that the meters were originally functionalized as gathering facilities, however, Columbia received Section 7(c) authorization for those meters in its proceeding to refunctionalize to transmission plant at Docket No. CP95-657-000.¹ The estimated net debit to accumulated provision for depreciation of the facilities to be abandoned is \$313,384.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before Nov. 22, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public

convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Columbia to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-28597 Filed 11-6-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP97-56-000]

Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America; Notice of Application for Abandonment

November 1, 1996.

Take notice that on October 21, 1996, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural), 701 East 22nd Street, Lombard, Illinois, 60148, filed in Docket No. CP97-56-000, an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) requesting permission and approval to abandon a transportation service performed by Natural under its Rate Schedule X-84 for Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway) authorized in Docket No. CP76-392, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Natural states that in Docket No. CP76-392, it was authorized, among other things, to provide an interruptible transportation service for Koch Gateway, formerly known as United Gas Pipe Line Company, pursuant to a gas transportation agreement (Agreement) between Natural and Koch Gateway dated May 24, 1976. Koch Gateway notified Natural by a letter dated June 26, 1996, that the transportation service provided under the Agreement and Natural's Rate Schedule X-84 is no longer required. Natural further states that this Agreement carries no imbalance and has not been used since March 1987. Therefore, Natural requests authority to abandon its transportation service for Koch Gateway performed under the Agreement and Natural's Rate Schedule X-84 authorized in Docket No. CP76-392.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before November 22, 1996, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of

¹ See, 73 FERC ¶ 61,264 (1995).