Comment date: November 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

# 10. Florida Power & Light Company [Docket No. ER97–166–000]

Take notice that on October 17, 1996, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL), tendered for filing a proposed notice of cancellation of an umbrella service agreement with Coastal Electric Services Company for Firm Short-Term transmission service under FPL's Open Access Transmission Tariff.

FPL requests that the proposed cancellation be permitted to become effective on July 9, 1996.

FPL states that this filing is in accordance with Part 35 of the Commission's Regulations.

Comment date: November 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 11. Cinergy Services, Inc.

[Docket No. ER97-167-000]

Take notice that on October 17, 1996, Cinergy Services, Inc. (Cinergy), tendered for filing a service agreement under Cinergy's Open Access Transmission Service Tariff (the Tariff) entered into between Cinergy and Phibro Inc.

Cinergy and Phibro Inc. are requesting an effective date of October 15, 1996.

Comment date: November 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

## 12. Kansas Gas and Electric Company [Docket No. ER97–168–000]

Take notice that on October 17, 1996, Western Resources, Inc. (Western Resources), on behalf of its whollyowned subsidiary Kansas Gas and Electric Company (KGE), tendered for filing a Fourth Revised Exhibit B to the Electric Power, Transmission and Service Contract between KGE and Kansas Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (KEPCo). KGE states that the filing is to update Exhibit B to reflect the installation of the Koch point of delivery. This filing is proposed to become effective October 3, 1996.

A copy of this filing was served upon KEPCo and the Kansas Corporation Commission.

Comment date: November 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 13. Tampa Electric Company

[Docket No. ER97-169-000]

Take notice that on October 17, 1996, Tampa Electric Company (Tampa Electric), tendered for filing a letter of commitment providing for the sale of capacity and energy to the Florida Municipal Power Agency (FMPA) under Service Schedule D of the Agreement for Interchange Service between Tampa Electric and FMPA. Tampa Electric requests that the letter of commitment be made effective on December 16, 1996.

Tampa Electric also submitted a notice of termination of a preexisting letter of commitment with FMPA, effective December 31, 1996.

Copies of the filing have been served on FMPA and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Comment date: November 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### 14. Black Creek Hydro, Inc.

[Docket No. OA97-19-000]

Take notice that on October 18, 1996, Black Creek Hydro, Inc. tendered for filing a request for waiver of Order No. 889.

Comment date: November 6, 1996, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

#### Standard Paragraph

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–27921 Filed 10–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–P

#### [Project No. 2616-004-NY]

#### Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation; Notice of Availability of Draft Environmental Assessment

October 25, 1996.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission's) regulations, 18 CFR Part 380 (Order No. 486, 52 FR 47897), the office of

Hydropower Licensing has reviewed the application for a new license for the Hoosic River Project located in Rensselaer and Washington counties, New York, and has prepared a Draft Environmental Assessment (DEA) for the project. In the DEA, the Commission's staff has analyzed the potential environmental impacts of the existing project and has concluded that approval of the project, with appropriate environmental protection or enhancement measures, would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

Copies of the DEA are available for review in the Public Reference Branch, Room 2A of the Commission's offices at 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Any comments should be filed within 45 days from the date of this notice and should be addressed to Lois D. Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. Please affix "Hoosic River Project No. 2616–004" to all comments. For further information, please contact Edward R. Meyer at (202) 208–7998.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96–27915 Filed 10–30–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

### [Docket No. CP96-164-000 and CP96-254-0001

#### Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation; Notice of Availability of the Environmental Assessment for the Proposed Tennessee Domac Projects

October 25, 1996.

The staff of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC or Commission) has prepared an environmental assessment (EA) on the natural gas pipeline facilities proposed by Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee) and the vaporization facilities proposed by Distrigas of Massachusetts Corporation (DOMAC) in the above-referenced dockets.

The EA was prepared to satisfy the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act. The staff concludes that approval of the proposed project, with appropriate mitigating measures, would not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

The EA assesses the potential environmental effects of the construction and operation of the proposed facilities including:

Tennessee's proposed facilities:

- 7.4 miles of 20-inch-diameter natural gas pipeline beginning at its existing 10-inch-diameter Malden-Melrose-Revere Line 270C–300 pipeline in Saugus, crossing Revere and Malden, and ending at the DOMAC liquefied natural gas (LNG) facility in Everett, Massachusetts;
- two new valve stations, one at milepost (MP) 0.0 at the northern end of the pipeline in Saugus, Massachusetts and one at MP 3.3 in Malden, Massachusetts (about 400 feet northeast of Maplewood Street); and
- a new meter station and odorization system at MP 7.4 at the southern end of the pipeline at DOMAC's facility in Everett, Massachusetts.

DOMAC's proposed facilities:

- two shell and tube hot water vaporization trains, each with a nominal capacity rating of 75 million cubic feet per day;
- three submerged motor LNG booster pumps (including one installed spare);
- about 660 feet of 12-inch-diameter natural gas sendout pipeline; and
- auxiliary equipment located in separate buildings required to operate the vaporization trains, including boilers, water circulation pumps, electrical switchgear, and a distributed control system.

The purpose of the Tennessee's proposed facilities would be to transport up to 90,000 decatherms per day of natural gas on a firm basis for DOMAC. The purpose of DOMAC's proposed facilities would be to increase reliability and meet the anticipated need for increased LNG vaporization capacity.

The EA has been placed in the public files of the FERC and is available for public inspection. A limited number of copies are available for distribution from: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Public Reference and Files Maintenance Branch, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, (202) 208–1371.

Copies of the EA have been mailed to Federal, state and local agencies, public interest groups, interested individuals, newspapers, and parties to this proceeding.

Any person wishing to comment on the EA may do so. Written comment must reference Docket Nos. CP96–164– 000 and CP96–254–000, and be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426

Comments should be filed as soon as possible, but must be received no later than November 25, 1996 to ensure consideration prior to a Commission decision on this proposal. A copy of any

comments should also be sent to Ms. Lauren O'Donnell, Environmental Project Manager, Room 72–57, at the above address.

Comments will be considered by the Commission but will not serve to make the commentor a party to the proceeding. Any person seeking to become a party to the proceeding must file a motion to intervene pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.214).

The date for filing timely motions to intervene in this proceeding has passed. Therefore, parties now seeking to file late interventions must show good cause, as required by section 385.214(b)(3), why this time limitation should be waived. Environmental issues have been viewed as good cause for late intervention. You do not need intervenor status to have your comments considered.

Additional information about this project is available from Ms. Lauren O'Donnell, Environmental Project Manager.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-27907 Filed 10-30-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-5643-7]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

Total Human Exposure in Arizona: A Comparison of the Border Communities and the State; and Exposure of Children to Pesticide in Yuma Co., Arizona. Supplemental Studies related to NHEXAS Arizona Study.

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.), this notice announces that EPA is planning to submit a revision of the following Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB): Total Human Exposure in Arizona: A Comparison of the Border Communities and the State (Total Human Exposure); and Exposure of Children to Pesticide in Yuma Co. Arizona (Exposure of Children), EPA ICR No. 1702.03, OMB Control No. 2080–0053, expiring 7/31/ 98. Before submitting the ICR supplement to OMB for review and

approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collections as described below.

**DATES:** Comments must be submitted on or before December 30, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons may obtain a copy of the ICR without charge by contacting: Gary Robertson (ASB), U.S. EPA, NERL, P.O. Box 93478, Las Vegas, NV 89193. Electronic copies are available by contacting Mary Kay O'Rourke at maryk@hrp.arizona.edu. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Robertson (ASB), U.S. EPA, NERL, P.O.Box 93478, Las Vegas, NV 89193 (Border Community Exposure Study), or Chris Saint, U.S. EPA, 401 M Street SW

#### PROJECT ABSTRACTS:

**Border Community Exposure Study** 

(8723), Washington, D.C. 20460

(Exposure of Children study).

There are concerns among border communities that their exposures are high relative to other parts of the country. These communities believe they encounter elevated exposures related to their proximity to Mexico. Associated with increased exposure is a community-wide fear of increased health effects. Currently, there are no data available to validate this perception of elevated exposure among border communities. A project called NHEXAS (National Human Exposure Assessment Survey) is currently underway in the State of Arizona (NHEXAS AZ). In NHEXAS AZ, multiple media (air, soil, house dust, skin, food and beverages, water, blood and urine) will be evaluated to determine contributions to the exposure through the various pathways (inhalation, absorption, ingestion). The proposed Arizona Border Study will enable comparison of Border exposures with those from adjacent non-border areas (NHEXAS AZ). In the Arizona Mexico Border Study, exposure information will be gathered directly from subjects, from environments frequented by subjects (primarily home environments) and from public records. Questionnaires will be employed to characterize the study population, evaluate common practices believed to contribute to exposures and evaluate potential bias in the study due to non-participation. Blood and urine samples will be gathered directly from the subjects and concentrations of target pollutants will be measured. Additional concentrations of target pollutants will be measured from the air, dust, soil, water and home environments. Duplicate diets (regardless of food and beverage source) will be collected. Investigators will also include data from