recommended for an award, the Grants Officer will request certain organizational, management, and financial information.

The following information on grant administration dealing with questions such as General Requirements, Prior Approval Requirements, Transfer of Project Director, and Suspension or termination of Award, should be referred to the Grants Officer.

Reporting: In addition to working closely with the Institute, the applicant will be required to submit an annual report of activities, and other products as described in the DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM above and in the cooperative agreement between the applicant and the NIFL.

Acknowledgment of Support and Disclaimer: An acknowledgment of Institute support and a disclaimer must appear in publications of any material, whether copyrighted or not, based on or developed under NIFL-supported projects: "This material is based upon work supported by the National Institute for Literacy under Grant No. (Grantee should enter NIFL grant number)."

Except for articles of papers published in professional journals, the following disclaimer should be included: "Any opinion, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Institute for Literacy."

## Instructions for Estimated Public Reporting Burden

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid control number for this information collection is 3200–0033, Expiration date August 1999. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 80 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection.

Carolyn Staley,

Deputy Director, National Institute for Literacy.

[FR Doc. 96–27115 Filed 10–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6055–01–M

# National Institute for Literacy Advisory Board; Notice of Meeting

**SUMMARY:** This Notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda of a forthcoming meeting of the National

Institute for Literacy Advisory Board (Board). This notice also describes the function of the Board. Notice of this meeting is required under Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. This document is intended to notify the general public of their opportunity to attend the meeting.

**DATE AND TIME:** November 8, 1996, 9:30 AM to 4:30 PM.

ADDRESSES: National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Carolyn Staley, Deputy Director, National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006. Telephone (202) 632–1526.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Board is established under Section 384 of the Adult Education Act, as amended by Title I of P.O. 102-73, the National Literacy Act of 1991. The Board consists of ten individuals appointed by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Board is established to advise and make recommendations to the Interagency Group, composed of the Secretaries of Education, Labor, and Health and Human Services, which administers the National Institute for Literacy (Institute). The Interagency Group considers the Board's recommendations in planning the goals of the Institute and in the implementation of any programs to achieve the goals of the Institute. Specifically, the Board performs the following functions: (a) makes recommendations concerning the appointment of the Director and the staff of the Institute; (b) provides independent advice on operation of the Institute; and (c) receives reports from the Interagency Group and Director of the Institute. In additional, the Institute consults with the Board on the award of fellowships. The Board will meet in Washington, DC on November 8, 1996 from 9:30 am to 4:30 am. The meeting of the Board is open to the public. The agenda will include introductions of the new Advisory Board members, a review of the recently completed strategic plan, an overview of the major projects of the Institute, and a discussion of future directions for the organization. Records are kept of all Board proceedings and are available for public inspection at the National Institute for Literacy, 800 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 200, Washington, DC 20006 from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Dated: October 17, 1996.

Carolyn Staley,

Deputy Director, National Institute for Literacy.

[FR Doc. 96–27114 Filed 10–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6055–01–M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

**AGENCY:** U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

**ACTION:** Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Extension.
- 2. The title of the information collection: Generic Letter 91–02, "Reporting Mishaps Involving LLW Forms Prepared for Disposal".
- 3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.
- 4. How often the collection is required: Reports are made only when the licensee or waste processor experiences a mishap that is reportable under the guidelines described in the Generic Letter.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Nuclear power reactor licensees and Agreement State and non-Agreement State waste processors and disposal site operators.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 34.
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 34.
- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 272 hours (an average of 8 hours per response).
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not applicable.
- 10. Abstract: Generic Letter 91–02 encourages voluntary reporting (by both waste form generators and processors) of information concerning mishaps to low-level radioactive waste (LLW) forms prepared for disposal. The information

is used by NRC to determine whether followup action is necessary to assure protection of public health and safety.

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., (Lower Level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access the submittal via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advance Copy Document Library) NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703-321-3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703-487-4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1-800-397-4209, or within the Washington, DC, area at 202-634-3273.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by November 22, 1996: Edward Michlovich, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0156), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, (301) 415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 15th day of October 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Gerald F. Cranford.

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 96–27160 Filed 10–22–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

[Docket Nos. 50-259, 50-260 and 50-296]

## Tennessee Valley Authority; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments To Facility Operating Licenses and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC, the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR– 33, DPR–52 and DPR–68 issued to the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA or the licensee) for operation of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant (Browns Ferry, BFN), Units 1, 2 and 3, located in Limestone County, Alabama.

The proposed amendments, requested by the licensee in a letter dated

September 6, 1996, would represent a full conversion from the current Technical Specifications (TSs) to a set of TS based on NUREG-1433, Revision 1, "Standard Technical Specifications for General Electric Plants, BWR/4," dated April 1995. NUREG-1433 has been developed through working groups composed of both NRC staff members and the BWR/4 owners and has been endorsed by the staff as part of an industry-wide initiative to standardize and improve TS. As part of this submittal, the licensee has applied the criteria contained in the Commission's "Final Policy Statement on Technical Specification Improvements for Nuclear Power Reactors (final policy statement)," published in the Federal Register on July 22, 1993 (58 FR 39132), to the current Browns Ferry TSs, and, using NUREG-1433 as a basis. developed a proposed set of improved TSs for BFN. The criteria in the final policy statement were subsequently added to 10 CFR 50.36, "Technical Specifications," in a rule change which was published in the Federal Register on July 19, 1996 (60 FR 36953) and became effective on August 18, 1995.

The licensee has categorized the proposed changes to the existing TSs into four general groupings. These groupings are characterized as administrative changes, relocated changes, more restrictive changes, and less restrictive changes.

Administrative changes are those that involve restructuring, renumbering, rewording, interpretation and complex rearranging of requirements and other changes not affecting technical content or substantially revising an operational requirement. The reformatting, renumbering and rewording process reflects the attributes of NUREG-1433 and do not involve technical changes to the existing TSs. The proposed changes include: (a) Providing the appropriate numbers, etc., for NUREG-1433 bracketed information (information which must be supplied on a plantspecific basis, and which may change from plant to plant), (b) identifying plant-specific wording for system names, etc., and (c) changing NUREG-1433 section wording to conform to existing licensee practices. Such changes are administrative in nature and do not impact initiators of analyzed events or assumed mitigation of accident or transient events.

Relocated changes are those involving relocation of requirements and surveillances for structures, systems, components or variables that do not meet the criteria for inclusion in the TSs. Relocated changes are those current TS requirements which do not

satisfy or fall within any of the four criteria specified in the Commission's policy statement and may be relocated to appropriate licensee-controlled documents.

The licensee's application of the screening criteria is described in Enclosure 1 of their September 6, 1996, application titled "Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Application of Selection Criteria." The affected structures, systems components or variables are not assumed to be initiators of analyzed events and are not assumed to mitigate accident or transient events. The requirements and surveillances for these affected structures, systems, components or variables will be relocated from the TS to administratively controlled documents such as the Final Safety Analysis Report, the BASES, the Technical Requirements Manual or plant procedures. Changes made to these documents will be made pursuant to 10 CFR 50.59 or other appropriate control mechanisms. In addition, the affected structures, systems, components or variables are addressed in existing surveillance procedures which are also subject to 10 CFR 50.59. These proposed changes will not impose or eliminate any requirements.

More restrictive changes are those involving more stringent requirements for operation of the facility. These more stringent requirements do not result in operation that will alter assumptions relative to mitigation of an accident or transient event. The more restrictive requirements will not alter the operation of process variables, structures, systems and components described in the safety analyses. For each requirement in the current BFN TSs that is more restrictive than the corresponding requirement in NUREG-1433 which the licensee proposes to retain in the ITS, they have provided an explanation of why they have concluded that retaining the more restrictive requirement is desirable to ensure safe operation of the facilities because of specific design features of the

because of specific design features of th plant.

Less restrictive changes are those where current requirements are relaxed or eliminated, or new flexibility is provided. The more significant "less

restrictive'' requirements are justified on a case-by-case basis. When requirements have been shown to provide little or no safety benefit, their removal from the TSs may be appropriate. In most cases, relaxations previously granted to individual plants on a plant-specific basis were the result of (a) generic NRC actions, (b) new NRC staff positions that have evolved from technological

advancements and operating