

number is (309) 782-0627, fax (309) 782-0604.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Regulatory History

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553, a notice of proposed rulemaking has not been published for this regulation and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days from the date of publication. Following normal rulemaking procedures would have been impracticable.

##### Background and Purpose

The Big River Rendezvous consists of a national celebration of the steamboat era in America. The event will run from 7 a.m. to 1 a.m. local time, October 10 through October 13, 1996. In order to provide for the safety of spectators and participants, and for safe passage of through traffic, the Coast Guard will restrict vessel movement in the regulated area. The river will be closed during part or all of the affected period to all vessel traffic except official regatta vessels and patrol craft.

##### Regulatory Evaluation

This rule is not a significant regulatory action under section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 and does not require an assessment of potential costs and benefits under section 6(a)(3) of that order. It has been exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget under that order. It is not significant under the regulatory policies and procedures of the Department of Transportation (DOT) (44 FR 11040; February 26, 1979). The Coast Guard expects the economic impact of this rule to be so minimal that a full Regulatory Evaluation under paragraph 10(e) of the regulatory policies and procedures of DOT is unnecessary because of the event's short duration.

##### Federalism Assessment

The Coast Guard has analyzed this action in accordance with the principles and criteria of Executive Order 12612 and has determined that this rule does not raise sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

##### Environmental Assessment

The Coast Guard considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that under section 2.B.2.C of Commandant Instruction M16475.1B, (as revised by 61 FR 13563; March 27, 1996) this rule is excluded from further environmental documentation

#### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 100

Marine Safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Waterways.

#### Temporary Regulation

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 100 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows.

#### PART 100—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 100 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1233; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 100.35

2. A temporary section 100.35T08-041 is added, to read as follows:

#### § 100.35-T08-041 Upper Mississippi River, Davenport, IA

(a) *Regulated Area.* Mississippi River mile 483.0 to 493.0.

(b) *Special Local Regulations:*

(1) Except for official regatta vessels and patrol craft no person or vessel may enter or remain in the regulated area without permission of the Patrol Commander.

(2) The Coast Guard Patrol Commander will be a commissioned officer designated by the Commanding Officer, Marine Safety Office, St. Louis, MO, and may be contacted, during the event, on VHF-FM Channel 16 (156.8 MHZ) by the call sign "Scioto Control." The Patrol Commander may:

(i) Direct the anchoring, mooring, or movement of any vessel within the regulated area,

(ii) Restrict vessel operation within the regulated area to vessels having particular operating characteristics,

(iii) Terminate the marine event or the operation of any vessel when necessary for the protection of life and property.

(iv) Allow vessels to transit the regulated area whenever an event is not being conducted and the transit can be completed.

(3) Coast Guard commissioned or petty officers will patrol the event on board patrol vessels which display the Coast Guard Ensign. If radio or other voice communications are not available with a vessel, they will use a series of sharp, short blast by whistle or horn to signal the operator of any vessel in the vicinity of the regulated area to stop. When signaled, the operator of any vessel in the immediate vicinity of the regulated area shall stop the vessel immediately and shall proceed as directed.

(4) Vessels desiring to transit the regulated area may do so only with the prior approval and direction of the Patrol Commander.

(5) The Patrol Commander will terminate enforcement of this section at the conclusion of the marine event if earlier than the announced termination time.

(c) *Effective Dates.* This section is effective from 7 a.m. October 10 to 1 a.m. October 11; 7 a.m. October 11 to 1 a.m. October 12; 7 a.m. October 12 to 1 a.m. October 13; and 7 a.m. October 13 to 1 a.m. October 14, local time, 1996.

Dated: September 19, 1996.

T.W. Josiah,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 96-26150 Filed 10-10-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

#### POSTAL SERVICE

##### 39 CFR Part 111

#### Experimental First-Class and Priority Mail Small Parcel Automation Rate Category; Prescribed ZIP Code Areas

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** On April 18, 1996, the Postal Service published a final rule in the Federal Register (61 FR 17206-17215) and adopted amendments to the Domestic Mail Manual implementing the Decision of the Governors of the United States Postal Service on the Recommended Decision of the Postal Rate Commission on the Experimental First-Class and Priority Mail Small Parcel Automation Rate Category, Docket No. MC96-1. Since that time, certain aspects of the original Domestic Mail Manual amendments dealing with prescribed ZIP Code areas for the test have been affected by ZIP Code realignments that this rule change accommodates.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 1, 1996.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paul H. Lettmann, (202) 268-6261, or Susan Duchek, (202) 268-2990.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This change is necessitated by a realignment and redesignation of certain ZIP Codes in southwest Florida that took effect on July 1, 1996. Previously, the area of eligibility in Florida comprised 3-digit ZIP Code area 337 and the following 5-digit ZIP Codes: 33504 (redesignated as 33744), 34634 (redesignated as 33786), 34635 (redesignated as 33785), 34640-34649 (redesignated as 33770-33779), and 34664-34666 (redesignated as 33780-33782). The area of eligibility originally delineated for the test has therefore been neither expanded nor

contracted on account of these redesignations.

Accordingly, section G091.1.4 of the Domestic Mail Manual is amended to indicate that qualifying parcels claimed at the experimental automation rates for First-Class Mail and Priority Mail must be entered at a post office for which outgoing primary distribution is performed at the St. Petersburg, FL, Processing & Distribution Center (P&DC) (ZIP Code area 337).

#### List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Postal Service.

For the reasons discussed above, the Postal Service hereby adopts the following amendments to the Domestic Mail Manual, which is incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations (see 39 CFR part 111).

### PART 111—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C 101, 401, 403, 404, 3001–3011, 3201–3219, 3403–3406, 3621, 3626, 5001.

2. Revise G091.1.4 of the Domestic Mail Manual as set forth below:

#### G General Information

\* \* \* \* \*

G090 Experimental Classifications and Rates

G091 Barcoded Small Parcels

#### 1.0 Basic Eligibility

\* \* \* \* \*

#### 1.4 Test Sites

As specified in the authorization letter, mail prepared under G091 must be entered at a post office for which outgoing primary distribution is performed as follows:

a. For Priority Mail, at either the St. Petersburg, FL, Processing and Distribution Center (P&DC) (3-digit ZIP Code area 337) or the Philadelphia, PA, Airport Mail Center (3-digit ZIP Code areas 080–084, 189–194, and 197–199).

b. For First-Class Mail, at either the St. Petersburg, FL, P&DC (3-digit ZIP Code area 337) or the Southeastern, PA, P&DC (3-digit ZIP Code areas 189, 193, and 194).

Stanley F. Mires,

Chief Counsel, Legislative.

[FR Doc. 96–26088 Filed 10–10–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[WA52–7125; FRL–5631–6]

### Approval and Promulgation of Maintenance Plan for Air Quality Planning Purposes for the State of Washington: Carbon Monoxide

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is redesignating the Central Puget Sound (also referred to as the Seattle-Tacoma area) nonattainment area to attainment for the carbon monoxide (CO) air quality standard and approving a maintenance plan that will insure that the area remains in attainment. Under the Clean Air Act as amended in 1990 (CAA), designations can be revised if sufficient data is available to warrant such revisions. In this action, EPA is approving The Washington Department of Ecology's request because it meets the redesignation requirements set forth in the CAA.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** This rulemaking is effective as of October 11, 1996.

**ADDRESSES:** Copies of the State's redesignation request and other information supporting this action are available during normal business hours at the following locations: EPA, Alaska-Washington Unit (OAQ–107), 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington, 98101, and the Washington State Department of Ecology, Air Quality Program, P.O. Box 47600, Olympia, Washington 98504–7600.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Christi Lee, EPA Region 10 Washington Operation's Office, at (360) 753–9079.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. Background

In a March 15, 1991, letter to the EPA Region 10 Administrator, the Governor of Washington recommended the Central Puget Sound area, including the western portions of King, Pierce, and Snohomish Counties, be designated as nonattainment for carbon monoxide (CO) as required by section 107(d)(1)(A) of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments (CAA) (Public Law 101–549, 104 Stat. 2399, codified at 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q). The area which includes lands within the Puyallup Reservation, Tulalip Reservation and Muckleshoot Reservation, was designated nonattainment and classified as “moderate” under the provisions

outlined in sections 186 and 187 of the CAA. (See 56 FR 56694 (Nov. 6, 1991), codified at 40 CFR part 81, § 81.348.)

The Washington State Department of Ecology (WDOE) requested that the Central Puget Sound area be redesignated to attainment in a letter dated February 19, 1996, and received by EPA on March 6, 1996. On June 11, 1996, EPA proposed to approve the WDOE's requested redesignation. The WDOE has met all of the CAA requirements for redesignation pursuant to section 107(d)(3)(E). EPA has approved all State Implementation Plan (SIP) requirements for the Central Puget Sound area that were due under the 1990 CAA. In addition, on June 11, 1996, EPA proposed redesignation to attainment those areas in the Central Puget Sound CO nonattainment area that are located within the Tulalip Reservation, the Puyallup Reservation and the Muckleshoot Reservation.

The WDOE provided monitoring, modeling and emissions data to support its redesignation request. The 1993 CO attainment emissions inventory totals in tons per day are 316, 214, 1497, 61, respectively, for the area, non-road, mobile and point sources. The emission budget established through the year 2010 is 1,497 tons per day. The State relied on the existence of an approved Inspection and Maintenance (I/M) program as part of the maintenance demonstration. The WDOE will discontinue implementation of the oxygenated fuel program in the Central Puget Sound Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) once approval of the CO maintenance plan becomes effective.

The WDOE will retain the oxygenated fuels program as a contingency measure as required under section 175A(d) of the CAA. The program will be re-implemented the next full winter season following the date of a quality assured violation of the CO National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

##### II. Public Comment/EPA Response

The following comments were received during the public comment period ending July 11, 1996. EPA's response follows each comment.

(1) *Comment:* The removal of the oxygenated fuels program should not be considered. It is imperative that the most sensitive segment of the population be protected, and to do that the carbon monoxide (CO) levels must be kept significantly below the standard.

*Response:* Under Title I of the CAA, Congress established a system of state and federal cooperation. EPA is required to establish the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS)—i.e., the