

Original Sheet No. 237A
Second Revised Sheet No. 461

WNG states that this filing is being made to amend WNG's provisions for periods of daily balancing and operational flow orders included in its FERC Gas Tariff. WNG's experience during the extremely cold periods in January and February, 1996, highlighted the need to modify its tariff to protect the integrity of its pipeline system.

WNG states that a copy of its filing was served on all jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such motions or protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission's Regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-25050 Filed 9-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP96-517-000]

Algonquin LNG, Inc.; Notice of Site Inspection and Technical Conference Algonquin LNG Modifications Project

September 25, 1996.

On October 2 and 3, 1996, the Office of Pipeline Regulation environmental staff will conduct an inspection of the proposed and alternative project sites. Those planning to attend must provide their own transportation.

On October 10 and 11, 1996, the staff will meet with representatives of Algonquin LNG, Inc. at the Providence Marriott to conduct a cryogenic design and engineering review of the LNG facilities proposed in the above docket. The discussion will initially be limited to the staff and members of the applicant's staff who have expertise in the given topics. Other attendees will be given the opportunity to ask questions on the above issues after the initial discussions have concluded.

For the times and locations or further information on the site visit or the Technical Conference, call Chris Zerby, Project Manager, at (202) 208-0111.

Kevin P. Madden,

Director, Office of Pipeline Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96-25046 Filed 9-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Southern Natural Gas Company; Notice of Environmental Site Visit for the Proposed North Alabama Pipeline Project

September 25, 1996.

On October 2, 1996, the Office of Pipeline Regulation staff will conduct an environmental site visit with affected landowners of the North Alabama Pipeline Project of the locations related to the facilities proposed in Cullman and Morgan Counties, Alabama. All interested parties may attend. Those planning to attend must provide their own transportation.

Information about the proposed project is available from Ms. Alisa Lykens, Environmental Project Manager, at (202) 208-0766.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-25044 Filed 9-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders; Week of January 1 Through January 5, 1996

Office of Hearings and Appeals

During the week of January 1 through January 5, 1996, the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals, applications, petitions, or other requests filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 1E-234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585-0107, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system. Some decisions and orders are available on the Office of Hearings and Appeals World Wide Web site at <http://www.oha.doe.gov>.

Dated: September 19, 1996.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Decision List No. 953

Appeal

Raytheon Company, 1/4/96, VFA-0103

Raytheon Company filed an Appeal from a denial by the Office of Economic Impact and Diversity of the Department of Energy (DOE/ED) of a request for information which it had submitted under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). Raytheon sought records related to a DOE Office of Inspector General investigation of allegations of sexual harassment or other inappropriate conduct by a DOE employee. DOE/ED withheld in its entirety a report pursuant to FOIA Exemption 7(C). In considering the Appeal, the DOE found that (i) DOE/ED need not make a particularized finding regarding the privacy interests of each individual that would be infringed by a release of information, (ii) the names and identifying information of investigating officials named in the report may be withheld; (iii) witnesses and sources have a strong privacy interest in remaining anonymous and the public interest favors protecting their identities; but (iv) some portions of the report can be released. Accordingly, the matter was remanded in part to DOE/ED for a new determination either releasing information other than that protected by FOIA Exemption 7(C) or explaining the reasons for withholding that information. The Appeal was denied in all other respects.

Personnel Security Hearing

Nevada Operations Office, 1/4/96, VSO-0049

A Hearing Officer from the Office of Hearings and Appeals issued an Opinion regarding the eligibility of an individual for access authorization under the provisions of 10 C.F.R. Part 710. The Hearing Officer found that the individual had omitted and falsified significant information concerning a DUI arrest from a written statement made in response to an official inquiry regarding his eligibility for DOE access authorization, and that the individual had suffered from alcohol dependency. The Hearing Officer rejected the individual's arguments that he had not falsified information in his written statement and further found no evidence of significant rehabilitation or reformation regarding the individual's falsification and omission. With regard to the individual's alcohol dependency, the Hearing Officer found that the individual had been rehabilitated. Given

the above findings, the Hearing Officer found that the individual's access authorization should not be restored.

Dismissals

The following submissions were dismissed:

Name	Case No.
Center Equipment Company	RF272-96155
El Toro Express	RF272-77988
James J. Williams Trucking Co	RF272-97883
Johnny Bowen Gulf Station #1	RF300-21710
New York State Electric & Gas	RF300-21566
Redi-Froz Dist. Co	RF272-97821

[FR Doc. 96-25062 Filed 9-30-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Office of Hearings and Appeals Week of April 22 Through April 26, 1996

Notice of Issuance of Decisions and Orders

During the week of April 22 through April 26, 1996, the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals, applications, petitions, or other requests filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 1E-234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20585-0107, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in *Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines*, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system. Some decisions and orders are available on the Office of Hearings and Appeals World Wide Web site at <http://www.oha.doe.gov>.

Dated: September 19, 1996.

George B. Breznay,
Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Decision List No. 969

Personnel Security Hearings

Oakland Operations Office, 4/25/96, VSO-0078

An OHA Hearing Officer issued an opinion concerning the continued eligibility of an individual for access authorization under 10 CFR Part 710. The Oakland Operations Office (OOA) had suspended the individual's access authorization based on its finding that the individual had turned in a forged

firearms credential in order to avoid disciplinary action for a lost credential. The Hearing Officer found the individual had not demonstrated that someone else had forged the credential. Accordingly, the Hearing Officer found that the individual's access authorization should not be restored.

Rocky Flats Field Office, 4/24/96, VSO-0076

A Hearing Officer from the Office of Hearings and Appeals issued an Opinion regarding the eligibility of an individual for access authorization under the provisions of 10 CFR Part 710. The Hearing Officer found that the individual had been diagnosed by a board-certified psychiatrist as suffering from alcohol abuse, and had not been rehabilitated. Given the above findings, the Hearing Officer found that the individual's access authorization should not be restored.

Pittsburgh Naval Reactor Office, 4/22/96, VSO-0082

A Hearing Officer recommended that access authorization not be restored to an employee whose access was suspended due to mental illness. The Hearing Officer found that the mental illness caused a defect in the employee's judgment and reliability that was not mitigated by the fact that the employee took medication for the illness.

Request for Exception

Pierce Oil Company, 4/26/96, LEE-0163

Pierce Oil Company filed an Application for Exception from the requirement that it file Form EIA-782B, the "Reseller/Retailer's Monthly Petroleum Product Sales Report." The DOE found that the firm was not affected by the reporting requirement in a manner different from other similar firms, and consequently was not experiencing a special hardship, inequity, or unfair distribution of burdens. Accordingly, the firm's Application for Exception was denied.

Refund Applications

Congress Financial Corp., 4/22/96, RK272-03234

Congress Financial Corporation submitted an Application for Supplemental Refund on behalf of Service Control Corporation (SCC), which filed for bankruptcy in 1993. Congress submitted the Application as a creditor of SCC which had been assigned certain assets of SCC per order of the bankruptcy court. Because the right to receive refund monies due to SCC was not specifically transferred by the bankruptcy court to Congress, the DOE determined that it was unable to issue the refund check directly to Congress. However, in consultation with the bankruptcy trustee and the representative at Congress, the DOE determined that the refund check could be issued directly to the trustee to act in accordance with the directives of the bankruptcy court.

Continental Steel, 4/23/96, RF272-77619

The DOE denied a refund to Continental Steel Corporation in the crude oil refund proceeding. The DOE found that the estimation technique used by Continental's representative, LK, Inc., was unreasonable. LK's estimate was based on comparing Continental's total revenues during 1981 with the total revenues of other steel companies that have received refunds in this proceeding. Since Continental failed to effectively support its gallonage estimate, the DOE denied its Application for Refund.

Amerbelle Corporation, 4/26/96, RR272-00237

The DOE granted a Motion for Reconsideration filed by Amerbelle Corporation in the DOE's Subpart V crude oil overcharge refund proceeding. In its Motion, Amerbelle contended that it had never received an April 1989 supplemental refund check, and the firm requested that the DOE reissue the check. The DOE found that the check